7 1	TITTOTE (ET GET)ETETE		
2	(Firm State Bar No. 14000)		
3	Dylan Jones (Bar No. 034185)		
4	REBECCA SALISBURY (BAR No. 022006)		
	ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL		
5	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL		
6	2005 North Central Avenue		
7	Phoenix, AZ 85004-1592		
/	Telephone: (602) 542-5210		
8	Facsimile: (602) 542-4377		
9	Email: <u>Dylan.Jones@azag.gov</u> Email: <u>consumer@azag.gov</u>		
	Attorneys for the State of Arizona		
10	Altorneys for the State of Arizona		
11	SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA		
12	IN MARICOPA COUNTY		
13	STATE OF ARIZONA, ex rel. MARK	Case No.	
14	BRNOVICH, Attorney General,	COMPLAINT	
15	Plaintiff,		
16	V.		
17			
	PINNACLE NISSAN LLC D/B/A PINNACLE		
18	NISSAN,		
19	Defendant.		
20			
21	Plaintiff, State of Arizona ex rel. Mark Brnovich, the Attorney General (the "State"),		
22	alleges the following for its Civil Complaint (the "Complaint") against Defendant Pinnacle Nissan		
23	LLC d/b/a Pinnacle Nissan ("Pinnacle").		
24	INTRODUCTION		
25	1. Pinnacle is a Nissan dealership that has been operating in Scottsdale, Arizona since		
26	1996.		
			

27

28

2.

vehicle prices before coming to the dealership.

MARK BRNOVICH
ATTORNEY GENERAL

Pinnacle advertises its merchandise online, which allows consumers to view the

- 3. Pinnacle also offers optional "add-on" accessories, such as nitrogen in the tires, an exterior protective coating, door edge guards, door cups, and window tint, that consumers may purchase for an additional cost. The price of the add-on accessories is not included in the price advertised online.
- 4. However, Pinnacle at times has treated these optional add-on accessories as mandatory, and has refused to sell vehicles to consumers for the price advertised online.
- 5. Additionally, Pinnacle made sales calls to consumers after the consumers had asked not to be contacted.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. The State brings this action pursuant to the Arizona Consumer Fraud Act ("ACFA"), Arizona Revised Statutes ("A.R.S.") §§ 44-1521 to -1534 and the Arizona Telephone Solicitations Act ("ATSA"), A.R.S. §§ 44-1271 to -1282 to obtain injunctive relief to enjoin and prevent the unlawful acts and practices alleged in this Complaint, and to obtain other relief, including restitution, disgorgement of profits, gains, gross receipts, or other benefits, civil penalties, and costs and attorneys' fees.
 - 7. This Court has subject-matter jurisdiction.
- 8. This Court may issue appropriate orders both prior to and following a determination of liability pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1528.
- 9. Pinnacle caused events to occur in this state out of which the claims which are the subject of this Complaint arose.
 - 10. Venue is proper in Maricopa County pursuant to A.R.S. § 12-401(17).

PARTIES

- 11. Plaintiff is the State of Arizona *ex rel*. Mark Brnovich, the Attorney General of Arizona, who is authorized to bring this action under the ACFA and ATSA.
- 12. Defendant Pinnacle is a Delaware limited liability company with its principal place of business located at 7601 E Frank Lloyd Wright Blvd, Scottsdale, AZ 85260.

. . .

ALLEGATIONS

- 13. Pinnacle advertised new and used vehicles, parts, accessories, and related products and services in the State of Arizona, in connection with the sale of merchandise.
- 14. Pinnacle, on its website, posted misleading and/or deceptive prices for its vehicles, by engaging in the below-mentioned conduct.
- 15. Pinnacle listed new vehicles for sale by listing two prices. The first price was the "Manufacturer's Suggested Retail Price" ("MSRP") and the second price was the "Everyday Price."
- 16. Pinnacle typically listed used vehicles for sale by listing two prices. The first price was the "Retail Value" and the second price was the "Pinnacle Nissan Value Price."
- 17. Pinnacle did not disclose clearly that it subjected all vehicles—both new and used—to additional costs for a package of "add-on" accessories.
- 18. While Pinnacle officially claims these add-on accessories are optional, in practice Pinnacle employees frequently have represented to customers that the add-on accessories are mandatory, which increases the price of the vehicles above the Everyday Price or Pinnacle Nissan Value Price.
- 19. Pinnacle, on some occasions, refused to honor the Everyday Price or Pinnacle Nissan Value Price by (1) refusing to sell vehicles if consumers did not agree to purchase the add-on accessories when consumers attempted to purchase the vehicles at the price advertised on the website or (2) including add-on accessories which the consumer did not want in the purchase price.
- 20. In cases where the Everyday Price or Pinnacle Nissan Value Price was not honored due to the addition of add-on accessories, when consumers asked why, Pinnacle employees provided a variety of explanations. In some instances, employees informed the consumer that certain add-on accessories had been installed already on the vehicle and could not be removed, thus increasing the price of the vehicle above the advertised price. In other instances, Pinnacle employees informed consumers that they could not remove the add-on

28 ||

accessories, because if they removed the add-on accessories for one person, then they would have to remove add-on accessories for everyone.

- 21. Despite Pinnacle's knowledge that some consumers reasonably believed the Everyday Price or the Pinnacle Nissan Value Price reflected the actual price for the vehicle, Pinnacle continued to advertise its online prices in the manner described in paragraphs 14-20 above.
- 22. Pinnacle regularly makes outbound telephone calls to consumers who have provided Pinnacle with contact information and have consented to allow Pinnacle to contact them regarding advertisements, promotions, and solicitations.
- 23. Repeatedly, Pinnacle continued to make outbound telephone calls to consumers after they stated a desire to no longer receive telephone calls from Pinnacle, in violation of A.R.S. § 44-1278(B). Many of these consumers also are on the national do-not-call registry.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

VIOLATIONS OF THE ARIZONA CONSUMER FRAUD ACT, A.R.S. §§ 44-1521 to -1534

- 24. The State realleges all prior allegations of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 25. The conduct described in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint constitutes deception, deceptive or unfair acts or practices, fraud, false pretenses, false promises, misrepresentations, or concealment, suppression or omission of material facts with intent that others rely on such concealment, suppression or omission, in connection with the sale or advertisement of merchandise in violation of A.R.S. §§ 44-1521 to -1534, including, but not limited to:
- a. Pinnacle engaged in deceptive and unfair acts and practices by refusing to sell the vehicles at the price that was advertised;
- b. Pinnacle engaged in deceptive and unfair acts and practices by concealing, suppressing, or omitting the material fact of the true purchase price of vehicles, and did so with intent that others rely on such concealments, suppressions, or omissions;

- c. Pinnacle engaged in deceptive and unfair acts and practices by falsely stating the certain add-on accessories were mandatory; and
- d. Pinnacle engaged in deceptive and unfair acts and practices by concealing, suppressing, or omitting the material fact that the purchase of certain add-on accessories was not required to purchase a vehicle, and Pinnacle did so with intent that others rely on such concealments, suppressions, or omissions.
- 26. While engaging in the acts and practices alleged in this Complaint, Pinnacle knew or should have known that its conduct was of the nature prohibited by A.R.S. § 44-1522, subjecting itself to enforcement and penalties as provided in A.R.S. § 44-1531(A).
- 27. With respect to the unfair acts and practices described above, these acts and practices caused or were likely to cause substantial injuries to consumers that were not reasonably avoidable by consumers and were not outweighed by countervailing benefits to consumers or to competition.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

VIOLATIONS OF THE ARIZONA TELEPHONE SOLICITATIONS ACT, A.R.S. §§ 44-1271 to -1282

- 28. The State realleges all prior allegations of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 29. Pinnacle was a "seller" as defined under the ATSA, A.R.S. § 44-1271. As a "seller" Pinnacle was required to comply with the mandates of the ATSA.
- 30. The conduct described in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint violates the ATSA, including, but not limited to:
- a. Pinnacle continued to call consumers after those consumers requested that Pinnacle stop calling, in violation of A.R.S. §§ 44-1278(B)(2) and 44-1278(B)(6) and 16 C.F.R § 310.4(b)(1)(iii)(A).
- b. Pinnacle called consumers whose numbers were on the Do Not Call Registry, in violation of A.R.S. §§ 44-1282 and 44-1278(B)(6) and 16 C.F.R § 310.4(b)(1)(iii)(B).

28 ||

- 31. Pinnacle is not exempt from the ATSA.
- 32. Pinnacle's violations of the ATSA constitute unlawful practices under the ACFA, A.R.S. § 44-1522, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1278(C) and 44-1282(B).
- 33. In all matters alleged in the preceding paragraphs, Pinnacle knew or should have known that its conduct was of the nature prohibited by A.R.S. § 44-1522, subjecting itself to enforcement and penalties as provided in A.R.S. § 44-1531(A).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the State respectfully requests that the Court:

- 34. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1528(A)(1), issue a permanent injunction in accordance with Ariz. R. Civ. P. 65(d)(1), enjoining and restraining (a) Pinnacle, (b) its officers, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, and (c) all persons in active concert or participation with anyone described in part (a) or (b) of this paragraph, directly or indirectly, from engaging in deceptive, misleading, or unfair acts or practices, or concealments, suppressions, or omissions, that violate the ACFA, A.R.S. § 44-1522(A), including specific injunctive relief barring Pinnacle from engaging in the unlawful acts and practices set forth above;
- 35. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1528(A)(2), order Pinnacle to restore to all persons in interest any monies or property, real or personal, which may have been acquired by any means or any practice in this article declared to be unlawful;
- 36. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1528(A)(3), order Pinnacle to disgorge all profits, gains, gross receipts, or other benefits obtained as a result of its unlawful acts alleged herein;
- 37. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1531, order Pinnacle to pay to the State of Arizona a civil penalty of up to \$10,000 for each willful violation of A.R.S. § 44-1522;
- 38. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1534, order Pinnacle to reimburse the State for its costs and attorneys' fees incurred in the investigation and prosecution of Pinnacle's activities alleged in this Complaint;
- 39. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1201, require Pinnacle to pay pre-judgment and post-judgment interest to the State and all consumers;

1	40. Award the State such further relief the Court deems just and proper under the	
2	circumstances.	
3	DATED this 28 th day of March, 2022.	
4		
5	MARK BRNOVICH	
6	Attorney General	
7		
8	By: Dylan Jones	
9	Assistant Attorney General	
10	Attorneys for the State of Arizona	
11		
12	Document electronically transmitted to the Clerk of the Court for filing using	
13	AZTurboCourt this day of, 2022.	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18	[S8T3XKMF0DKGA1]	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		