1	MARK BRNOVICH			
2	ATTORNEY GENERAL (Firm State Bar No. 14000)			
3	BRYCE CLARK (BAR NO. 034080) Assistant Attorney General			
4	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL			
5	2005 North Central Avenue Phoenix, AZ 85004-1592 Telephone: (602) 542-5210			
6				
7	Facsimile: (602) 542-4377 Email: consumer@azag.gov			
8	Attorneys for the State of Arizona			
9	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA			
10	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA			
10	IN AND FOR THE CO	UNTY OF MARICOPA		
10 11	STATE OF ARIZONA, <i>ex rel.</i> MARK	UNTY OF MARICOPA Case No. CV2018-006242		
	STATE OF ARIZONA, <i>ex rel.</i> MARK BRNOVICH, Attorney General,			
11	STATE OF ARIZONA, <i>ex rel.</i> MARK	Case No. CV2018-006242 CONSENT JUDGMENT		
11 12	STATE OF ARIZONA, <i>ex rel.</i> MARK BRNOVICH, Attorney General,	Case No. CV2018-006242		
11 12 13	STATE OF ARIZONA, <i>ex rel.</i> MARK BRNOVICH, Attorney General, Plaintiff, v. DIY NEUROCARE OF AMERICA, LLC, an	Case No. CV2018-006242 CONSENT JUDGMENT		
11 12 13 14	STATE OF ARIZONA, <i>ex rel.</i> MARK BRNOVICH, Attorney General, Plaintiff, v. DIY NEUROCARE OF AMERICA, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; LYLE K.	Case No. CV2018-006242 CONSENT JUDGMENT		
<ol> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> </ol>	STATE OF ARIZONA, <i>ex rel.</i> MARK BRNOVICH, Attorney General, Plaintiff, v. DIY NEUROCARE OF AMERICA, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; LYLE K. DAY, individually; LYLE K. DAY and MICHELLE R. POLEN, husband and wife;	Case No. CV2018-006242 CONSENT JUDGMENT		
<ol> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> </ol>	STATE OF ARIZONA, <i>ex rel.</i> MARK BRNOVICH, Attorney General, Plaintiff, v. DIY NEUROCARE OF AMERICA, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; LYLE K. DAY, individually; LYLE K. DAY and	Case No. CV2018-006242 CONSENT JUDGMENT		
<ol> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> </ol>	STATE OF ARIZONA, <i>ex rel.</i> MARK BRNOVICH, Attorney General, Plaintiff, v. DIY NEUROCARE OF AMERICA, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; LYLE K. DAY, individually; LYLE K. DAY and MICHELLE R. POLEN, husband and wife;	Case No. CV2018-006242 CONSENT JUDGMENT		
<ol> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> </ol>	STATE OF ARIZONA, <i>ex rel.</i> MARK BRNOVICH, Attorney General, Plaintiff, v. DIY NEUROCARE OF AMERICA, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; LYLE K. DAY, individually; LYLE K. DAY and MICHELLE R. POLEN, husband and wife; and JOSEPH O. DIDURO, individually, Defendants.	Case No. CV2018-006242 CONSENT JUDGMENT		
<ol> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> </ol>	STATE OF ARIZONA, <i>ex rel.</i> MARK BRNOVICH, Attorney General, Plaintiff, v. DIY NEUROCARE OF AMERICA, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company; LYLE K. DAY, individually; LYLE K. DAY and MICHELLE R. POLEN, husband and wife; and JOSEPH O. DIDURO, individually, Defendants. The State of Arizona, <i>ex rel.</i> Mark Brnor	Case No. CV2018-006242 CONSENT JUDGMENT (Assigned to the Hon. Rosa Mroz)		

waived service of the Complaint, has been advised of the right to a trial in this matter, and have
waived the same. Defendants Day and Polen admit the jurisdiction of this Court over the subject
matter and parties, stipulates that this Court may enter the following Findings of Fact,
Conclusions of Law and Judgment, and acknowledges that this Court shall retain jurisdiction for
the purpose of enforcing this Consent Judgment.

**PARTIES** 

1. The State is authorized to bring this action under the Arizona Consumer Fraud Act, A.R.S. §§ 44-1521 to 1534 (the "CFA").

2. From July to December 2016 and then from mid-January to late January 2017, Defendant Day directed, managed, and controlled DIY Neurocare of America, LLC ("DIY Neurocare") as the business's Chief Executive Officer ("CEO").

3. Defendant Polen is named solely for any interest she possesses in her marital community with Defendant Day.

4. At all times relevant to this Consent Judgment, Defendants Day and Polen were married to each other, and Defendant Day was acting for the benefit of himself and the marital community between himself and Defendant Polen.

5. All events, acts and practices described in, and relevant to, this Consent Judgment took place in Maricopa County, Arizona.

6. This Court has jurisdiction over the Complaint and the parties necessary for the Court to enter this Consent Judgment and any orders hereafter appropriate pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1528 and this Consent Judgment.

7. Venue is proper in Maricopa County pursuant to A.R.S. § 12-401(17) because the action was brought on behalf of the State and the seat of the State is located in Maricopa County, Arizona.

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

## **FINDINGS OF FACT**

8. The State alleges that Defendant Day's conduct as described below constituted deceptive and/or unfair acts and practices in violation of the CFA.

23 9. Defendant Day co-founded DIY Neurocare with Joseph DiDuro in approximately
24 December 2015.

10. From July 2016 to early December 2017 and then in late January 2017, Defendant
Day acted as the CEO of DIY Neurocare and was responsible for directing the actions of DIY
Neurocare and its employees.

28

11. DIY Neurocare advertised, marketed, and sold DIY Neurocare Home Therapy

Systems ("Systems") to consumers in several states, including Arizona consumers.

12. The main component of these Systems were low-level LED light pads that consumers applied daily to affected areas of their bodies, which DIY Neurocare represented would help treat symptoms of peripheral neuropathy, a painful neurological condition.

13. Sales representatives from DIY Neurocare represented to consumers that consumers who applied the LED light pads twice daily for at least one year would see improvement in their peripheral neuropathy.

14. The Systems also included coaching calls from DIY trained employees and various vitamins and supplements represented as treatments for peripheral neuropathy.

15. DIY Neurocare sold Systems for approximately \$3,500 to \$4,500.

16. Often, DIY Neurocare salespeople enticed consumers to purchase Systems by making consumers aware of the company's "No Risk 100% Money Back Guarantee ("Guarantee").

17. The Guarantee was a promise that unsatisfied consumers could receive a full refund of their purchase from DIY Neurocare if they: (1) followed the DIY Neurocare protocol for 12 months; (2) applied the LED light pads twice daily; (3) participated in all home coaching calls; (4) used the nutritional support protocols for the entire period; and (5) followed the nutritional guidelines and tutorial.

18. Numerous Arizona consumers purchased and followed the System's protocol for 12 months.

19. Further, numerous Arizona consumers could not complete the conditions of the Guarantee because DIY Neurocare ceased operating in approximately January 2017 and ceased to provide the vitamins and coaching calls around the same time.

20. Since virtually all Arizona consumers purchased Systems after January 2016, Arizona consumers who wanted to request a refund under the Guarantee after using the product for 12 months were not able to do so.

21. Thus, DIY Neurocare ultimately failed to refund money to many Arizona consumers who requested a refund.

- 3 -

1

22. On May 18, 2017, Defendant Day drafted and sent a letter to Arizona consumers who had requested refunds from DIY Neurocare under the Guarantee.

23. The letter stated that although Defendant Day was the current CEO of DIY Neurocare, he was left with no equity in the company and no money to operate the business.

24. The letter went on to state that Defendant Day had resigned from DIY Neurocare and could help customers no longer.

25. In the letter, Defendant Day claimed that he was not an owner of the business and that the owners of the business were three other individuals.

26. Defendant Day knew or should have known that the conduct described above was deceptive or unfair.

27. Defendant Day does not agree with the State's allegations, but wishes to resolve this matter without the need for further litigation, and therefore consents to the entry of this Judgment.

## **CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**

28. Defendant Day violated the CFA by engaging in or directing others to engage in the actions described in paragraphs 16 through 25 above.

29. Defendant Day acted willfully, as defined by A.R.S. § 44-1531(B), while engaged in the acts, practices, and conduct described in the preceding paragraphs.

30. Pursuant to the CFA, Defendant Day's violations entitle the State to injunctive relief and awards of restitution, disgorgement of profits/gains/benefits, civil penalties, attorneys' fees and costs, investigative expenses and other relief necessary to prevent the unlawful acts and practices described in this Consent Judgment and to remedy the consequences of past unlawful practices.

## **ORDER**

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:

31. For the purposes of this Consent Judgment, the term "medical device" means any
instrument, apparatus, implement, machine, appliance, implant, reagent for in vitro use,
software, material or other similar or related article intended by the manufacturer to be used,
alone or in combination, for human beings for medical purposes.

32. The injunctive relief set forth in this Consent Judgment is binding upon any of the following that receive actual notice of this Consent Judgment through personal service or otherwise: (a) Defendant Day; (b) his officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys; and (c) those persons in active concert or participation with Defendant Day or any of his officers, agents, servants, employees, or attorneys.

33. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1528, for a period of 10 years, Defendant Day is enjoined, restrained and prohibited from selling medical devices to consumers in Arizona who do not possess a valid, current medical license.

34. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1528(A)(2), Defendants Day and Polen are liable and obligated to pay to the Attorney General \$105,000 in consumer restitution to be deposited into an interest-bearing consumer restitution subaccount of the Consumer Restitution and Remediation Revolving Fund pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1531.02(B). The Attorney General shall have sole discretion as to how and when restitution funds are distributed to consumers and the eligibility of any consumer to receive restitution. All unclaimed restitution shall be deposited into the Consumer Protection–Consumer Fraud Revolving Fund established by A.R.S. § 44-1531.01 and used for the purposes set forth therein.

35. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1531, Defendants Day and Polen are liable and obligated to pay to the Attorney General the amount of \$210,000 in civil penalties with interest accruing thereon at the statutory rate until paid, to be deposited into the Consumer Protection–Consumer Fraud Revolving Fund pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1531.01, and used for the purposes set forth therein.

36. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1534, Defendants Day and Polen are liable and obligated to pay to the Attorney General the amount of \$10,000 in attorneys' fees and costs to be deposited into the Consumer Protection–Consumer Fraud Revolving Fund pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1531.01, and used for the purposes set forth therein.

37. Before the State's filing of this Consent Judgment, Defendants Day and Defendant
Polen have made an initial payment of \$50,000 in partial satisfaction of their restitution
obligations as established by this Consent Judgment.

to the Attorneon at the s reon at the s ud Revolvin rein. 36. P to the Attorneon to the Consum used for the 37. Be

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

38. For each dollar Defendants Day and Polen pay toward total restitution due under this Consent Judgment without committing any breach of the Consent Judgment or defaulting on any payment terms, the State agrees to forgo collecting two dollars of civil penalties due under this Consent Judgment.

39. All partial payments of the total combined monetary award made by Defendants Day and Polen shall be applied first to the restitution awarded under this Consent Judgment, then to the attorneys' costs and fees award, then, if applicable, to any civil penalties owed, then, if applicable, to any interest owed.

40. Defendants Day and Polen shall make monthly payments of at least \$1,000. The first monthly payment is due by June 1, 2020, and each remaining monthly payment is due by the first day of each month thereafter. Failing to make a monthly payment within 14 days of the date due is a default on Defendants Day and Polen's payment obligations under this Consent Judgment. The entire \$65,000 restitution award due after this Consent Judgment's entry must be paid by June 1, 2023.

41. Should Defendants Day and Polen default on any payment obligation imposed by this Consent Judgment, in addition to any other penalties and remedies provided by law, all payments set forth herein shall be accelerated and shall become due and owing in their entirety as of the default date, with interest accruing thereon at the current statutory rate for the full amount owing as of that date.

42. Defendants Day and Polen may prepay all or any part of the outstanding balance at any time without penalty, but must pay at least \$1,000 per month until the combined monetary award is paid in full.

43. If Defendants Day and Polen satisfy the total combined monetary award without breaching the Consent Judgment or defaulting on any payment terms, the State agrees to forgo the collection of all interest accrued under this Consent Judgment.

44. Payments must be paid by cashier's checks or money orders made payable to "The State of Arizona." Payments must be delivered, or mailed and postmarked, to:

Consumer Protection and Advocacy Section The Office of the Arizona Attorney General 2005 N. Central Ave. Phoenix, AZ 85004

45. Each partial payment made by Defendants Day and Polen shall be applied first to the restitution awarded under this Consent Judgment, then to the attorneys' costs and fees award, then, if applicable, to any civil penalties owed, and then, if applicable, to any interest owed.

46. Defendants Day and Polen agree that in any bankruptcy case or subsequent civil litigation pursued by the State to enforce its rights to any payment or money judgment pursuant to this Consent Judgment, the facts as alleged in this action's Complaint and this Consent Judgment's Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law in this Consent Judgment shall be taken as true without further proof, including, but not limited to, a nondischargeability complaint in any bankruptcy case. Defendants Day and Polen further stipulate and agrees that the facts alleged in the Complaint establish all elements necessary to sustain an action by Plaintiff pursuant to Section 523(a)(2)(A) and/or Section 523(a)(7) of the Bankruptcy Code, 11 U.S.C. § 523(a), and that this Consent Judgment shall have collateral estoppel effect for such purposes.

47. Defendants Day and Polen stipulate by entering this Consent Judgment that the Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law set forth herein establish all elements necessary to sustain an action by the State pursuant to Section 523(a)(2)(A) and Section 523(a)(7) of the Bankruptcy Code, 11 U.S.C. § 523(a), and that this Order shall have res judicata and collateral estoppel effect for such purposes and proceedings to enforce payment, including, but not limited to, a non-dischargeability complaint filed in a bankruptcy proceeding, and Defendants Day and Polen waive any right to contest any of the allegations in the State's Complaint in any such proceedings to enforce payment.

48. In the event Defendants Day and Polen materially breach this Consent Judgment, in addition to all other remedies available under Arizona law and the penalties specifically provided under A.R.S. § 44-1532, the State may, in its sole discretion, reopen proceedings and continue with this case as though this Consent Judgment had not been entered, provided that Defendants

Day and Polen shall be entitled to an offset for any amount actually paid to the State and not refunded to Defendants Day and Polen by the State.

49. Defendants Day and Polen warrant and represent that there is not pending any case, proceeding, or other action seeking reorganization, arrangement, adjustment, liquidation, dissolution, or recomposition of Defendants Day or Polen or their debts under any law relating to bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization, or the relief of debtors, or seeking the appointment of a receiver, trustee, custodian, or other similar official for Defendants Day and Polen. Defendants Day and Polen further warrant and represent that they will not file, or cause to be filed, any such case, proceeding, or other action prior to 91 days after complete payment of all amounts due under this Consent Judgment. If Defendants Day or Polen do file or cause to be filed such a case, proceeding, or other action prior to the expiration of that time, then the State shall have the right, at its sole discretion, to treat that as a material breach of this Consent Judgment, reopen proceedings, and proceed with this case as though this Consent Judgment had not been entered, provided that Defendants Day and Polen shall be entitled to an offset for any amount actually paid to the State and not refunded to Defendants Day and Polen by the State.

50. Defendants Day and Polen shall provide the State with written notice within 15 days of Defendants Day and Polen filing or causing to be filed any case, proceeding, or other action seeking reorganization, arrangement, adjustment, liquidation, dissolution, or recomposition of Defendants Day and Polen or their debts under any law relating to bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization, or the relief of debtors, or seeking the appointment of a receiver, trustee, custodian, or other similar official prior to complete payment of all amounts due under this Consent Judgment. If Defendants Day or Polen fail to provide the notice as required, the State may, at its sole discretion, accelerate the remaining payments due under this Consent Judgment. If the State chooses to accelerate the remaining payments, all amounts awarded under this Consent Judgment not previously paid to the State shall become due and immediately payable in full to the State, including interest accrued from the date the Consent Judgment is entered by the Court.

51. It is further ordered that Defendants Day and Polen must cooperate with the State and its representatives in this case and in any investigation related to or associated with

transactions or occurrences that are the subject of the Complaint as it is currently written or as it may be amended in the future. Defendants Day and Polen must provide truthful and complete information, evidence, and testimony. Defendants Day and Polen must also appear for interviews, discovery, hearings, trials, and any other proceeding that the State reasonably may request upon reasonable notice, at such places and times as the State representative may designate, without the service of a subpoena.

52. This Consent Judgment is contingent on Defendants Day and Polen's future cooperation, and any refusal to comply with the cooperation provision in Paragraph 50 of this Consent Judgment is a material breach of this Consent Judgment.

53. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an approval by the Attorney General, the Court, the State of Arizona, or any agency thereof of Defendants Day or Polen's past, present, or future conduct. Defendants Day and Polen shall not represent or imply that the Attorney General, the Court, the State of Arizona, or any agency thereof has approved or approves of any of Defendants Day and Polen's actions or any of Defendants Day and Polen's past, present or future business practices.

54. This Consent Judgment represents the entire agreement between the parties, and there are no representations, agreements, arrangements, or understandings, oral or written, between the parties relating to the subject matter of this Consent Judgment which are not fully expressed herein or attached hereto.

55. If any portion of this Consent Judgment is held invalid by operation of law, the remaining terms thereof shall not be affected and shall remain in full force and effect.

56. Jurisdiction is retained by this Court for the purpose of entertaining an application by the State for the enforcement of this Consent Judgment.

57. This Consent Judgment is the result of a compromise and settlement agreement between the parties. Only the parties to this action may seek enforcement of this Consent Judgment. Nothing herein is intended to create a private right of action by other parties.

58. This Consent Judgment shall not limit the rights of any private party to pursue any remedies allowed by law.

- 9 -

1	59.	The effective date	of this Consent Judgment is the date that it is entered by the	
2	Court.			
3	60. This Consent Judgment resolves all outstanding claims expressly identified in the			
4	Complaint as to Defendants Day and Polen. Finding no just reason for delay, the Court enters this			
5	final judgment pursuant to Ariz. R. Civ. P. 54(b).			
6				
7	DAT	ED this day of	, 20	
8				
9				
10				
11			JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT	
12				
13				
14				
15				
16 17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	#PNK0XUVD0	DE5HT3v1	- 10 -	

## CONSENT TO JUDGMENT

1. Defendants Day and Polen acknowledge that they have accepted service of the Summons and Complaint, have read the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order, and are aware of their right to a trial in this matter and have waived the same.

2. Defendants Day and Polen admit the jurisdiction of this Court, admit that the Findings of Fact are true and that the Conclusions of Law are correct and consent to the entry of the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and Order.

3. Defendants Day and Polen state that no promises of any kind or nature whatsoever were made to induce them to enter into this Consent Judgment and declare that they have entered into this Consent Judgment voluntarily.

4. This Consent Judgment is entered as a result of a compromise and a settlement agreement between the parties. Only the parties to this action may seek enforcement of this Consent Judgment. Nothing herein is intended to create a private right of action by other parties; however, this Consent Judgment shall not limit the rights of any private party to pursue any remedies allowed by law.

5. Defendants Day and Polen acknowledge that their acceptance of this Consent Judgment is for the purpose of settling the ongoing consumer fraud lawsuit filed by the State, and further acknowledge that this Consent Judgment does not preclude any other agency or officer of this State or subdivision thereof from instituting other civil or criminal proceedings as may be appropriate.

6. This Consent to Judgment may be executed in counterparts and be delivered by facsimile or electronic transmission, or a copy thereof, such constituting an original counterpart hereof, all of which together will constitute one and the same document.

day of May . 2020. DATED this 14 Lvle K. Day By Michelle R. Polen **APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT:** 

MARK BRNOVICH Attorney General

By: \_\_\_\_

Bryce Clark Assistant Attorney General Attorneys for the State of Arizona

# CATALYST LEGAL GROUP

Throllow

Nathan Finch Attorney for Defendants Day and Polen