1 MARK BRNOVICH ATTORNEY GENERAL 2 (Firm State Bar No. 14000) ALYSE C. MEISLIK (No. 024052) 3 MITCHELL ALLEE (No. 031815) Assistant Attorneys General 4 OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 2005 N Central Ave 5 Phoenix, AZ 85004-1532 6 Telephone: (602) 542-3702 Facsimile: (602) 542-4377 7 consumer@azag.gov Attorneys for Plaintiff State of Arizona 8 9 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA 10 11 STATE OF ARIZONA, ex rel. MARK Case No.: CV2014-013632 BRNOVICH, Attorney General, 12 Plaintiff, STIPULATED JUDGMENT 13 VS. 14 ALLSTARS MOVERS AND STORAGE (Assigned to the Honorable Timothy INC., a/k/a ALLSTAR MOVING & Thomason) 15 STORAGE, INC., ALLWAYS MOVING & 16 STORAGE, EASYMOVEAZ, ALLSTAR MOVING AND STORAGE, ALLSTARS 17 MOVERS, MOVERS AND A TRUCK, THE MOVING AND STORAGE COMPANY; 18 AMRU MOHAMED HAMDY ABDALLA, 19 a/k/a ANDREW ABDALLA, and AMANI ADAM, husband and wife; EMAD 20 MOHAMED HAMDY ABDALLA and ALIAA MAHMOUD ALI ADAM, husband 21 and wife, 22 Defendants. 23 Plaintiff State of Arizona ex rel. Mark Brnovich, the Attorney General (the "State"), 24 25 and defendants Mohamed Hamdy Abdalla ("Amru Abdalla"), Amru Amani

Granted as Submitted
See eSignature page

Clerk of the Superior Court
*** Electronically Filed ***

N. Johnson, Deputy 5/3/2019 8:00:00 AM Filing ID 10415824

Adam, Emad Mohamed Hamdy Abdalla ("Emad Abdalla"), Aliaa Mahmoud Ali Adam 2 ("Aliaa Adam"), and Bargain Moving, Inc. ("Bargain Moving"), having entered into a Consent 3 Judgment in this matter which has an effective date of August 6, 2015 ("2015 Judgment"), 4 stipulate that the Court has continuing jurisdiction over the subject matter and the parties for 5 purpose of entry of this Stipulated Judgment, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1532. Defendants Amru 6 Abdalla, Emad Abdalla, and Bargain Moving (collectively "Defendants") admit that the 7 findings of fact and conclusions of law are correct, and stipulate that the Court may enter this 8 judgment, which resolves both (1) the statutory enforcement action, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-9 1532, which this Court heard during an evidentiary hearing on December 4, 5, and 6, 2018, and January 17, 2019 ("Statutory Enforcement Proceeding"), and (2) the criminal contempt 10 proceeding against Amru Abdalla, pursuant to A.R.S. § 12-864 ("Criminal Contempt

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Court in this matter on April 26, 2019. FINDINGS OF FACT I.

Parties

Proceeding"). This Stipulated Judgment supersedes and vacates the Judgment entered by the

- 1. Plaintiff is the State who is authorized to bring this action pursuant to the Arizona Consumer Fraud Act, A.R.S. §§ 44-1521 to 44-1534 (collectively, the "CFA").
- 2. Defendants Amru Abdalla, Emad Abdalla, and Bargain Moving conducted business as a packing and moving company at 856 West Broadway Road in Mesa, Arizona.
- 3. Amru Abdalla and Amani Adam, husband and wife, are residents of Maricopa County, Arizona. At all times material and relevant, Amru Abdalla acted on behalf of his and Amani Adam's marital community ("Amru Marital Community"). Amani Adam is named solely for any interest that she may have in the Amru Marital Community.
- 4. Emad Abdalla and Aliaa Adam, husband and wife, are residents of Maricopa County, Arizona. At all times material and relevant, Emad Abdalla acted on behalf

of his and Aliaa Adam's marital community ("Emad Marital Community"). Aliaa Adam is named solely for any interest that she may have in the Emad Marital Community.

- 5. Emad Abdalla incorporated Bargain Moving on or about August 12, 2016.
- 6. At all relevant times, Bargain Moving's primary business was packing and moving goods for consumers.
- 7. Emad Abdalla was an owner, manager, supervisor, and employee at Bargain Moving from approximately August 12, 2016 until July 3, 2018.
- 8. Amru Abdalla was an owner, manager, supervisor, and employee at Bargain Moving from approximately November 2016 until July 3, 2018.

Procedural History

- 9. On April 30, 2010, the State filed a lawsuit in the Maricopa County Superior Court, in CV2010-013314, pursuant to the CFA, against Allstar Moving & Storage, Inc., d/b/a Always Moving & Storage; Mohamed Elsayed and Jane Doe Elsayed; and Amru Abdalla and Amani Adam, which was resolved with a consent judgment, with an effective date of December 8, 2010 (the "2010 Judgment").
- Abdalla including, but not limited to, the following: (a) prohibitions from representing that the moving company is bonded unless such representation is true and can be substantiated (2010 Judgment at 3 ¶ 9); (b) prohibitions from representing that the company uses "experienced" employees or agents unless the representation can be substantiated (Id. at 3 ¶ 8); (c) prohibitions from representing that the moving company is insured unless consumers will be provided full reimbursement from a third-party insurer for damage incurred during a move (Id. at 3 ¶ 10); and (d) requirements to provide consumers with written instructions for filing reimbursement claims (Id. at 4 ¶ 14).

- On November 4, 2014, the State filed a lawsuit in this matter, pursuant to the CFA, against Allstars Movers and Storage Inc., a/k/a Allstar Moving & Storage, Inc., Always Moving & Storage, Easymoveaz, Allstar Moving and Storage, Allstars Movers, Movers and a Truck, and The Moving and Storage Company; Amru Abdalla and Emad Abdalla; Amani Adam; and Aliaa Mahmoud Ali Adam ("2014 Lawsuit").
- 12. The State resolved the 2014 Lawsuit when this Court entered the 2015 Judgment.
- 13. Defendant Amru Abdalla and Emad Abdalla both executed the 2015 Judgment on July 27, 2015.
- 14. The Court signed the 2015 Judgment on August 6, 2015, the effective date of the 2015 Judgment.
- 15. On September 7, 2017, the State filed a Petition for Order to Show Cause re Contempt as to Defendants Amru Abdalla, Emad Abdalla, and Bargain Moving in this matter.
- 16. On August 21, 2018, the Court entered a Stipulated Addendum to Consent Judgment, which resolved the State's civil contempt allegations regarding the failure to pay the amounts owed to the State pursuant to the 2015 Judgment by Amru Abdalla, the Amru Marital Community, Emad Abdalla, and the Emad Marital Community ("2018 Addendum").
- 17. The 2018 Addendum incorporated all monetary judgments set forth in the 2015 Judgment, and replaced paragraph 20 of the 2015 Judgment with a new payment schedule.
- 18. On December 4, 5, and 6, 2018, and January 17, 2019, the Court heard the State's Statutory Enforcement Proceeding against Defendants, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1532, regarding the State's allegations that Defendants violated the terms of the 2015 Judgment. The Court entered a Judgment regarding the Statutory Enforcement Proceeding on April 26, 2019, which is superseded and vacated by this Stipulated Judgment.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Defendants' Violations of the 2015 Judgment

- 19. Page 10, paragraph 4 of the 2015 Judgment requires Defendants to comply with the CFA. Defendants failed to comply with the CFA in the course of operating their moving business.
- 20. Page 10, paragraph 5 of the 2015 Judgment requires Defendants, when consumers call to obtain estimates, to disclose and explain all fees consumers would be obligated to pay for Defendants' moving services. Page 11, paragraph 6 requires Defendants to provide oral estimates of all fees they may require consumers to pay. Page 11, paragraph 7 requires Defendants, before beginning any moving services, to email or mail a written list of all fees Defendants may require consumers to pay.
- 21. During an undercover call by the State, Defendants violated paragraphs 5 and 6 of the 2015 Judgment by failing to explain the specifics of a 19% fuel charge or to explain other charges for moving materials.
- 22. Defendants violated paragraphs 5, 6, and 7 by failing to provide some consumers with oral and written estimates of any and all fees that they may require consumers to pay for their packing, moving, and/or storage services. Although Defendants did generally email consumers a written list of fees, the email list sometimes did not fully and fairly disclose all fees. In particular, Defendants often failed to fully and fairly disclose the full nature of the gas or fuel fee.
- 23. Defendants routinely violated paragraphs 5, 6, and 7 by failing to fully and fairly disclose to consumers that they typically charged a percentage of the total cost of the move as the gas fee. In both oral and written estimates, Bargain Moving routinely failed to fully and fairly disclose the nature of the gas fee and how it was charged.
- 24. Page 11, paragraph 8 of the 2015 Judgment requires Defendants to "post a complete list of any and all fees that they may require consumers to pay for their packing,

moving, and/or storage services on Defendants' website(s)." Defendants failed to disclose fees for packing materials or to adequately disclose the gas fee or fuel fee on Bargain Moving's website in violation of paragraph 8.

- 25. Page 11, paragraph 9 of the 2015 Judgment prohibits Defendants from charging for unearned fees. Defendants violated paragraph 9 by charging for unearned fees or fees for work hours not performed.
- 26. Page 11, paragraph 10 of the 2015 Judgment prohibits Defendants from requesting payment or collecting fees prior to completing their moving services and from refusing to return a consumer's property until after receiving payment. Defendants violated paragraph 10, on at least one occasion, by demanding payment before completing the move.
- 27. Page 11, paragraph 11 of the 2015 Judgment prohibits Defendants from representing that they are "bonded, licensed, and/or insured unless such representation is true and can be substantiated." Defendants violated this paragraph by representing in a number of instances that they were bonded, licensed, or insured when this was not true. Bargainmoving.net, the website for Bargain Moving, falsely stated that the company was licensed. Defendants placed Craigslist advertisements that referred to the company as being "licensed," "bonded," and/or "insured." During an undercover call by the State, Bargain Moving represented that it was licensed and insured.
- 28. Page 11, paragraph 12 of the 2015 Judgment prohibits Defendants from representing that they are insured unless consumers are able to obtain full reimbursement from a third-party insurer of the Defendants for damages and/or losses incurred as a result of the Defendants' actions in providing packing, moving, and/or storage services. Defendants violated this paragraph by misrepresenting that they were insured when consumers were not able to obtain full reimbursement from a third-party insurer for any damages or losses.
 - 29. Page 11, paragraph 13 of the 2015 Judgment requires that, if Defendants choose

not to provide insurance for their services, they must provide notice to consumers at the time moves are scheduled and obtain signatures of all consumers on a waiver prior to beginning the move. Defendants violated this paragraph by failing to advise consumers that they do not have insurance and failing to obtain signatures of consumers on insurance waivers.

- 30. Page 11, paragraph 14 of the 2015 Judgment requires Defendants, if they represent they are insured or will provide reimbursement to consumers for damages caused by Defendants, to do the following: (1) provide consumers with written instructions on how to file a claim for reimbursement; (2) state all formal requirements for such claims to be processed; (3) process claims within 10 calendar days; and (4) for all damages and/or losses caused by Defendants, repair and pay the full costs of repair, or reimburse consumers for the value of lost or damaged items, within 15 calendar days from the date of the claim. Defendants violated this provision by omitting clear information on how to file claims for reimbursements and providing only a general description of the claims procedure. Moreover, the written claims material states that the customer must identify damaged property at the time of the move. Obviously, a consumer may not know that property has been damaged at the time of the move.
- 31. Page 12, paragraph 16 of the 2015 Judgment contains a two-year ban for Amru Abdalla from engaging in the moving business; accepting payment; owning; operating; holding an ownership interest, share, or stock in, or serving as a manager, supervisor, officer, director, or an employee of any business entity engaged in the business of packing, moving, or storage in Arizona or on behalf of any Arizona consumer. Amru Abdalla violated paragraph 16 by working in the moving business prior to July 27, 2017. Amru Abdalla began working in the moving business no later than November 2016, well before the two-year term ended. The two-year prohibition was clear and unambiguous and Amru Abdalla was, or should have been, aware of this prohibition and violated it.

- 32. A consumer with the initials L.L. ("L.L.") testified during the Statutory Enforcement Proceeding about her move with Bargain Moving. Defendants violated the 2015 Judgment and CFA in connection with the services provided to L.L. by not orally disclosing all fees, not providing a written list of all fees, demanding payment before the job was completed and failing to disclose that they were uninsured. Additionally, Defendants violated the CFA by subjecting L.L. to deceptive practices, including misrepresentations concerning the size of the moving truck and the number of movers. Similarly, Bargain Moving damaged L.L.'s furniture and did not compensate her as agreed.
- 33. Consumer A.G. testified during the Statutory Enforcement Proceeding about a move with Bargain Moving. Defendants violated the 2015 Judgment and the CFA during A.G.'s moving service because Defendants charged her for time not spent and failed to fully and fairly disclose the gas fee.
- 34. Consumer H.S. testified during the Statutory Enforcement Proceeding about her move with Bargain Moving. Defendants violated the 2015 Judgment and CFA in connection with the services provided to H.S. by failing to provide an oral and written statement of all charges, misrepresenting the existence of insurance coverage, failing to disclose the absence of insurance coverage, and billing for time not spent on the move. In addition, Defendants violated the 2015 Judgment and CFA by subjecting H.S. to deceptive and unfair practices, including inaccurate estimates of the moving cost, overcharges for shrink wrap, and unresolved damage to her furniture and piano.

II. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

35. The Defendants' actions, described in the Findings of Fact set forth above, violate the orders and injunctions issued in the 2015 Judgment, pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 44-1522 and 44-1532.

36. While engaging in the acts and practices alleged above, Defendants acted willfully, as defined by A.R.S. § 44-1531(B).

III. ORDER

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:

- 37. The "Effective Date" of this Stipulated Judgment means the date this Stipulated Judgment is entered by the Court.
- 38. The terms set forth in the 2015 Judgment remain in effect and are incorporated herein by this reference unless specifically amended in this Stipulated Judgment.
- 39. The terms set forth in the 2018 Addendum remain in effect and are incorporated herein by this reference unless specifically amended in this Stipulated Judgment.
- 40. Defendants will comply with the CFA as it is currently written or as it may be amended in the future.
- 41. Defendant Amru Abdalla is permanently enjoined, restrained, and prohibited from doing any of the following in Arizona or on behalf of any person moving to or from Arizona:
 - a. engaging in the business of and/or accepting payment for packing, moving, and/or storage services for consumers;
 - b. owning, operating, holding an ownership interest, share or stock in, or serving as a manager, supervisor, officer, director, trustee or employee of, or serving as a consultant or independent contractor associated with, any company engaged, in whole or in part, in the business of packing, moving, and/or storage for consumers.
- 42. Defendant Emad Abdalla is permanently enjoined, restrained, and prohibited from doing any of the following on behalf of a company engaged, in whole or in part, in the business of packing, moving, and/or storage in Arizona or on behalf of any person moving to or from Arizona:

- a. Employing Amru Abdalla, hiring Amru Abdalla, contracting with Amru Abdalla, owning a company with Amru Abdalla, engaging Amru Abdalla's services, and/or otherwise assisting Amru Abdalla to violate or circumvent paragraph 41 of this Stipulated Judgment.
- 43. For any moving company in which Emad Abdalla is an owner, operator, supervisor, or employee, Emad Abdalla is ordered to immediately implement a program to ensure that he is in compliance with all of the provisions in the 2015 Judgment. Among other things, all employees are to be provided a training program instructing them on, inter alia, the terms of the 2015 Judgment ("Training Program").
- 44. Within 30 days of the Effective Date of this Stipulated Judgment, Emad Abdalla shall implement the Training Program and complete it, or in the alternative, within 30 days of the Effective Date of this Stipulated Judgment, submit an affidavit to the Attorney General's Office and file the affidavit with the Court avowing that he is not an owner, operator, supervisor, or employee of any moving companies. If Emad Abdalla files an affidavit avowing that he is not an owner of, operator, supervisor, or employed at any moving company, and subsequently begins owning, operating, supervising, or being employed at a moving company, he must implement programs, including training programs, to ensure that the moving company's employees regularly adhere to provisions of the 2015 Judgment. Within 10 calendar days of owning, operating, supervising or being employed at a moving company, Emad Abdalla must implement and complete the Training Program with his employees and submit an affidavit to the Attorney General's Office and file the affidavit with the Court establishing that the program was in fact completed.
- 45. Defendants must disclose the fact that a gas or fuel fee is or may be charged and how it is generally calculated on any company websites, any advertisements, and in verbal and written estimates to consumers. Defendants must fully and fairly disclose the general manner

in which the gas or fuel fee is calculated and charged.

- 46. In particular, if Emad Abdalla continues to be an owner, operator, supervisor, or employee of any moving company, Emad Abdalla must implement measures, within 30 days of the Effective Date of this Stipulated Judgment, to fully and fairly disclose the nature of the fuel charge and how it is calculated on all company websites, all advertisements, and in all verbal and written estimates. Emad Abdalla must provide an affidavit explaining what has been done to comply with this paragraph 46 of the Stipulated Judgment to the Attorney General's Office within 30 days of the Effective Date of this Stipulated Judgment, and file it with the Court.
- 47. If Emad Abdalla files an affidavit avowing that he is not an owner, operator, supervisor, or employee of any moving company, in the event he begins owning, operating, supervising, or being employed at a moving company, he must implement measures to fully and fairly disclose the nature of the fuel charge and how it is calculated on all company websites, all advertisements, and in all verbal and written estimates. Within 10 calendar days of owning, operating, supervising, or being employed at a moving company, Emad Abdalla must provide an affidavit, explaining what has been done to comply with this paragraph 47 of the Stipulated Judgment to the Attorney General's Office and file it with the Court.
- 48. In the event Emad Abdalla fails to provide the Training Program when required, fails to provide the aforementioned affidavits in a timely fashion, or further violates the 2015 Judgment, this failure will likely result in an order banning him from the moving and storage business.
- 49. Defendants are prohibited from accepting non-refundable deposits at a moving, packing, and/or storage company.
- 50. Emad Abdalla is ordered to comply with each and every provision of the 2015 Judgment and this Stipulated Judgment. Emad Abdalla is being provided an opportunity to

stay in business in this Stipulated Judgment; however, any further violations will not be tolerated. Defendants need to adhere to what they agreed to. If the Attorney General's Office learns of further violations, a motion should be filed and the Court will try to set a hearing as quickly as possible.

- 51. The payment awards set forth in this Stipulated Judgment are in addition to the amounts Defendants currently owe pursuant to the 2015 Judgment.
- 52. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1528(A)(2), the State is awarded judgment against Amru Abdalla, Amru Abdalla's marital community, Emad Abdalla, and Emad Abdalla's marital community, jointly and severally, in the amount of \$2,173, as restitution ("Statutory Enforcement Proceeding Restitution"). The State shall deposit all Statutory Enforcement Proceeding Restitution payments ordered herein into an interest-bearing consumer restitution subaccount of the consumer restitution and remediation revolving fund, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1531.02(B), and distribute the amounts as follows: L.L. is to be paid \$899.98, A.G. is to be paid \$347.43 and H.S. is to be paid \$926.02. In the event that any portion of the Statutory Enforcement Proceeding Restitution cannot be distributed to the foregoing consumers, such portion shall be treated as unexpended funds and deposited by the Attorney General's Office into the Consumer Protection—Consumer Fraud Revolving Fund pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1531.01.
- 53. The State is awarded judgment against Amru Abdalla, Amru Abdalla's marital community, Emad Abdalla, and Emad Abdalla's marital community, jointly and severally, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1534, in the amount of \$4,308.19, as the State's costs to be paid as part of the payment plan set forth in this Stipulated Judgment. The State shall deposit this payment into the Consumer Protection—Consumer Fraud Revolving Fund pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1531.01.

#7161462.5 12

- 54. The following payment plan will supersede and replace paragraph 5 of the 2018 Addendum (pp. 3–4):
 - a. Defendant Amru Abdalla, the Amru Marital Community, Emad Abdalla, and the Emad Marital Community shall pay the entire Statutory Enforcement Proceeding Restitution amount within 30 days of the date of the Effective Date of this Stipulated Judgment.
 - b. Defendant Amru Abdalla and the Amru Marital Community will pay the State the amounts owed pursuant to this Stipulated Judgment and the remaining balance owed under the 2015 Judgment as follows:
 - i. From May 15, 2019 to August 15, 2019, and from June 15, 2020 until 120 months after the Effective Date, Amru Abdalla and the Amru Marital Community will make monthly payments to the State, in the amount of \$500 per month, on the 15th day of each month. From September 15, 2019 until May 15, 2020, Amru Abdalla and the Amru Marital Community will make monthly payments to the State, in the amount of \$750, on the 15th day of each month.
 - ii. Upon the expiration of the 120 month period, starting May 15, 2019, the remaining balance is immediately due and owing. There will be no prepayment penalty for early payments.
 - c. Defendant Emad Abdalla and the Emad Marital Community will pay the State the amounts owed pursuant to this Stipulated Judgment and the remaining balance owed under the 2015 Judgment as follows:
 - i. From May 15, 2019 to August 15, 2019, Emad Abdalla and the Emad Marital Community will make monthly payments to the State, in the amount of \$750 per month, on the 15th day of each month. From September 15, 2019 until May 15, 2020, Emad Abdalla and the Emad Marital Community will

make monthly payments to the State, in the amount of \$1,500, on the 15th day of each month. Beginning on June 15, 2020, Emad Abdalla and the Emad Marital Community will make monthly payments, in the amount of \$1,250, to the State on the 15th day of each month until paid.

- ii. There will be no prepayment penalty for early payments.
- d. If all payments are made within 15 calendar days of the due date, the judgment shall bear no additional interest or collection costs. If either Amru Abdalla or Emad Abdalla fails to make a payment within 15 calendar days of the date it is due, that Defendant will be deemed in default of his payment obligation. In the event of a default of any payment obligation imposed by this Stipulated Judgment, and in addition to any other relief or remedy elected or pursued by the State, all payments owed by the defaulting Defendant shall be accelerated and immediately due and owing, plus interest at a rate of 7% per annum from the Effective Date of this Stipulated Judgment, and costs of collection less any amount previously paid.
- e. In the event of a default of any payment obligation imposed by this Stipulated Judgment, each defaulting Defendant agrees to submit to a sworn debtor's examination at the Office of the Arizona Attorney General upon his receipt of notice thereof.
- f. Until the time at which Amru Abdalla, the Amru Marital Community, Emad Abdalla, and the Emad Marital Community have paid the full amounts owed under this Stipulated Judgment and the 2015 Judgment, they will notify the Office of the Arizona Attorney General of the following:
 - i. Any change in their residence and mailing addresses, within 10 days of the date of such change;
 - ii. Any change in their name or use of any aliases or fictitious names.

- 55. Because Amru Abdalla and Emad Abdalla previously defaulted on their payments under the 2010 and 2015 Judgments, Amru Abdalla currently owes the State interest in the amount of \$74,383.24 and Emad Abdalla currently owes the State interest in the amount of \$16,432.01 ("Default Interest").
 - a. If, prior to July 16, 2021, Amru Abdalla and Emad Abdalla pay the entire restitution amount owed according to the 2015 Judgment and this Stipulated Judgment, then for every dollar that Amru Abdalla and Emad Abdalla have paid the State toward restitution after April 15, 2019, the State will decrease the total amount of Default Interest owed by one dollar, starting with Emad Abdalla's portion of the Default Interest owed.
- 56. Each payment required under the 2015 Judgment and this Stipulated Judgment, shall be made by wire or by cashier's check, payable to the Office of the Attorney General, State of Arizona, and is to be delivered or mailed and postmarked, postage prepaid, to the Attorney General's Office, Consumer Protection and Advocacy Section, 2005 N. Central Ave., Phoenix, AZ 85004.
- 57. The State shall allocate payments received from Defendants pursuant to this Stipulated Judgment and the 2015 Judgment first to the restitution award, then to the award for costs, then to the civil penalty award, and then to interest.
- 58. Failure of Amru Abdalla or Emad Abdalla to pay the Statutory Enforcement Proceeding Restitution within 15 days of the date that payment is due, will likely result in an order prohibiting Defendants from continuing in the moving and storage business, at least until the amount is paid. If there is a failure to pay, the Attorney General's Office should file a Motion with the Court asking for entry of such an order. Any response will be due ten days thereafter. The Court will determine if a hearing needs to be set.

#7161462.5

General Terms

- 59. This Stipulated Judgment constitutes a settlement of the State's Statutory Enforcement Proceeding against defendants Amru Abdalla, Emad Abdalla, and Bargain Moving, as described in the Petition for Order to Show Cause, filed on September 7, 2017, and the Revised Joint Report for Criminal and Statutory Contempt Proceeding, filed on June 12, 2018, and as heard by the Court during the Statutory Enforcement Proceeding.
- 60. This Stipulated Judgment also constitutes a settlement of the State's Criminal Contempt Proceeding, as described in the Petition for Order to Show Cause, filed on September 7, 2017, and the Revised Joint Report for Criminal and Statutory Contempt Proceeding, filed on June 12, 2018. Accordingly, the State and Amru Abdalla stipulate to dismiss the criminal contempt proceeding.
- 61. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the State may institute an action or proceeding to enforce the terms and provisions of this Stipulated Judgment, including the underlying 2010 Judgment, 2015 Judgment, and 2018 Addendum, and to take action based on future conduct by Defendants.
- 62. Nothing in this Stipulated Judgment shall be construed as an approval by the Attorney General, the State of Arizona, or any agency thereof, of Defendants' actions or past, present, or future business practices in Arizona, and Defendants are enjoined from directly or indirectly representing anything to the contrary.
- 63. Defendants shall not participate directly or indirectly in any activity to form a separate entity or corporation for the purpose of engaging in acts in Arizona that are prohibited in this Stipulated Judgment or for any other purpose which would otherwise circumvent any part of this Stipulated Judgment or the spirit or purposes of this Stipulated Judgment.
- 64. If any portion of this Stipulated Judgment is held invalid by operation of law, the remaining terms shall not be affected and shall remain in full force and effect.

	_
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	0
1	1
1	2
	3
	4
1	5
	6
1	7
1	8

20

21

22

23

24

25

65. This Court retains jurisdiction of this matter for the purpose of entertaining an application by the State for the enforcement of this Stipulated Judgment. The State may institute an action or proceeding to enforce the terms and provisions of this Stipulated Judgment or to take action based on future conduct by the Defendants.

66. This Stipulated Judgment resolves all outstanding claims alleged in the State's Petition for Order to Show Cause. As no further matters remain pending, this is a final judgment entered pursuant to Ariz. R. Civ. P. 54(c).

DATED this	day of	, 2019.

The Honorable Timothy Thomason Judge of the Superior Court

CONSENT TO JUDGMENT

- 1. Amru Abdalla and Emad Abdalla state that no promise of any kind or nature whatsoever was made to them to induce them to enter into this Stipulated Judgment and that they have entered into the Stipulated Judgment voluntarily.
- 2. Amru Abdalla and Emad Abdalla have fully read and understand this Stipulated Judgment, understand the legal consequences involved in signing it, assert that this is the entire agreement of the parties relating to the Statutory Enforcement Proceeding and Criminal Contempt Proceeding, and that there are no other representations or agreements not stated in writing herein, and no force, threats, or coercion of any kind have been used to obtain their signatures.

- 3. Defendants understand that acceptance of this Stipulated Judgment is solely for the purpose of settling this litigation and does not preclude the State, or any other agency or officer of this State, or subdivision thereof, from instituting other civil or criminal proceedings as may be appropriate for any acts unrelated to this litigation or committed after the entry of this Stipulated Judgment.
- 4. Bargain Moving, Inc. represents and warrants that Amru Abdalla, the person signing below on behalf of Bargain Moving, Inc. is duly appointed and authorized to sign on its behalf.

EXECUTED: April 22, 2019.	EXECUTED: April 22, ,2019.
Emad wheat Hamdy Abdalla	Aliaa Mahmoud Ali Adam
EXECUTED: April 22, 2019	EXECUTED: Apyl 22, 2019.
Amru Mohamed Hamdy Abdalla	Amani Adam
EXECUTED: 101/1 29, 2019.	APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT: April 29, 2019.
Bargain Moving, Inc.	Mark Brnovich Attorney General
Amru Mohamed Hamdy Abdalla	Alyse C. Meislik Assistant Attorney General

eSignature Page 1 of 1

Filing ID: 10415824 Case Number: CV2014-013632 Original Filing ID: 10401822

Granted as Submitted



ENDORSEMENT PAGE

CASE NUMBER: CV2014-013632 SIGNATURE DATE: 5/2/2019 E-FILING ID #: 10415824 FILED DATE: 5/3/2019 8:00:00 AM

ALYSE CHERYL MEISLIK

ALIAA MAHMOUD ALI ADAM NO ADDRESS ON RECORD

ALLSTARS MOVERS AND STORAGE INC NO ADDRESS ON RECORD

AMANI ADAM NO ADDRESS ON RECORD

AMRU MOHAMED HAMDY ABDALLA NO ADDRESS ON RECORD

BARGAIN MOVING INC NO ADDRESS ON RECORD

EMAD MOHAMED HAMDY ABDALLA NO ADDRESS ON RECORD

WITNESS NO ADDRESS ON RECORD