

SUPREME COURT OF ARIZONA

ARIZONA CHAMBER OF COMMERCE & ) Arizona Supreme Court  
INDUSTRY, an Arizona non-profit ) No. CV-16-0314-SA  
corporation; the GREATER PHOENIX )  
CHAMBER OF COMMERCE, an Arizona ) Maricopa County  
non-profit corporation; the ) Superior Court  
TUCSON HISPANIC CHAMBER OF ) No. CV2016-018092  
COMMERCE, an Arizona non-profit )  
corporation; the GREATER )  
FLAGSTAFF CHAMBER OF COMMERCE, )  
an Arizona non-profit )  
corporation; and the )  
ARIZONA LICENSED BEVERAGE )  
ASSOCIATION, an Arizona )  
non-profit organization, Arizona )  
Restaurant Association, an )  
Arizona non-profit corporation; )  
the YUMA COUNTY CHAMBER OF )  
COMMERCE, an Arizona non-profit )  
corporation; MARC COMMUNITY )  
RESOURCES, INC., an Arizona )  
non-profit corporation; the )  
ARIZONA FREE ENTERPRISE CLUB, an )  
Arizona non-profit corporation; )  
and ABRIO FAMILY SERVICES AND )  
SUPPORTS, INC., an Arizona )  
corporation, )  
)  
Plaintiffs/Petitioners, )  
)  
v. )  
)  
THE HONORABLE DANIEL J. KILEY, )  
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF )  
THE STATE OF ARIZONA, in and for )  
the County of Maricopa, )  
)  
Respondent Judge, )  
)  
STATE OF ARIZONA; INDUSTRIAL )  
COMMISSION OF ARIZONA, a public )  
entity; ARIZONA HEALTH CARE COST )  
CONTAINMENT SYSTEM, a public )  
entity; THOMAS J. BETLACH, in )  
his official capacity as )  
Director of the Arizona Health )

Care Cost Containment System; )  
 ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF )  
 ADMINISTRATION, a public entity; )  
 CRAIG C. BROWN, in his official )  
 capacity as the Director of the )  
 Arizona Department of )  
 Administration, )

Defendants/Real )  
 Parties in Interest, )

and )

ARIZONANS FOR FAIR WAGES AND )  
 HEALTHY FAMILIES SUPPORTING PROP )  
 206, )

Intervenor-Defendant/ )  
 Real Party in Interest. )

**FILED 3/14/2017**

**O R D E R**

Having heard oral argument in this matter on March 9, 2017, and considered the written submission of the parties and amici, the Court, by a unanimous vote, rejects Petitioners' challenges to the constitutionality of Proposition 206 and therefore denies the requested special action relief. A written opinion further explaining the Court's decision will issue in due course. Petitioners' request for an award of attorney fees is denied.

DATED this 14<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2017.

\_\_\_\_\_/s/  
 SCOTT BALES  
 Chief Justice

TO:

Brett W Johnson  
Sara J Agne  
Timothy A LaSota  
Hon. Daniel J Kiley  
Charles A Grube  
Stephen W Tully  
Logan T Johnston III  
Israel G Torres  
James E Barton II  
Saman John Golestan  
Joseph I Vigil  
Joseph Branco  
Brian M Bergin  
Joshua Alan Kredit  
Michael T Liburdi  
Kathryn M Hackett  
Gregrey G Jernigan  
Jeffrey J Kros  
Rhonda L Barnes  
Jay Tomkus  
Lisette Flores  
Stanley Lubin  
David Wells  
Jonathan Matthew Riches  
John R Dacey  
Christopher L Hering  
Jean-Jacques Cabou  
lpb