

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA  
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2012-007203

10/12/2012

HONORABLE BENJAMIN E. VATZ

CLERK OF THE COURT  
S. Hack  
Deputy

STATE OF ARIZONA, et al.

GEORGE CROUGH

v.

SUN VALLEY TOWING L L C, et al.

BENJAMIN S KUIPERS

MINUTE ENTRY

On October 3, 2012, following default hearing regarding Defendants Sun Valley Towing, LLC and Kunselman Industries, LLC (“Defendants”), the court invited the parties to file supplemental briefs regarding the amount of damages being requested by Plaintiff. Plaintiff filed its memorandum by the deadline imposed by the court. No such briefing has been submitted by Defendants, who appeared through their counsel, Benjamin Kuipers. Further, pursuant to the court’s order, Plaintiff submitted a packet containing documentation in support of each of the 59 consumer complaints filed against Defendants (the “packet”). This matter was deemed to be under advisement upon the date of receipt of the above-stated supplemental brief and packet, October 10, 2012.

Based upon the matters presented at the default hearing and its review of Plaintiff’s supplemental brief and all 59 complaints set forth in the packet,

THE COURT FINDS that Defendants engaged in a highly culpable, persistent course of callous, willful and deceptive misconduct that caused severe damage to an extraordinary number of consumers over an extended period of time. In the interests of justice, this history of unlawful practices merits injunctive relief as well as a civil penalty to the fullest extent authorized by Arizona law. Accordingly,

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA  
MARICOPA COUNTY

CV 2012-007203

10/12/2012

IT IS ORDERED granting judgment all in accordance with the formal judgment signed by the court on this date.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED striking the Answer filed by Defendants on September 26, 2012 as it was untimely filed.

ALERT: The Arizona Supreme Court Administrative Order 2011-140 directs the Clerk's Office not to accept paper filings from attorneys in civil cases. Civil cases must still be initiated on paper; however, subsequent documents must be eFiled through AZTurboCourt unless an exception defined in the Administrative Order applies.