

1 TERRY GODDARD  
2 The Attorney General  
3 Firm No. 14000

4 Sandra R. Kane, No. 007423  
5 Assistant Attorney General  
6 1275 West Washington  
7 Phoenix, Arizona 85007  
8 Telephone: (602) 542-8862  
9 CivilRights@azag.gov  
10 Attorneys for Plaintiff

11 **IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA**  
12 **IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA**

13 THE STATE OF ARIZONA *ex rel.* TERRY  
14 GODDARD, the Attorney General, and THE  
15 CIVIL RIGHTS DIVISION OF THE  
16 ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF LAW,

17 Plaintiff,

18 vs.

19 STANISLAWA BARBARA OGORSALY, an  
20 unmarried woman; REMODELERS LTD; W.  
21 JUNE LAMB and JOHN DOE LAMB, wife and  
22 husband; WWKK, L.L.C., an Arizona limited  
23 liability company, d/b/a KELLER WILLIAMS,  
24 PROFESSIONAL PARTNERS,

25 Defendants.

No.

**COMPLAINT**  
(Non-Classified Civil)

26 Plaintiff, the State of Arizona *ex rel.* Terry Goddard, the Attorney General, and the  
Civil Rights Division of the Arizona Department of Law (collectively “the State”), for its  
Complaint, alleges as follows:

///

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8

## INTRODUCTION

This is an action brought under the Arizona Fair Housing Act (“AFHA”), A.R.S. §§ 41-1491 to 41-1491.37, to correct a discriminatory housing practice, provide appropriate relief to aggrieved persons, and vindicate the public interest. Specifically, the State brings this matter to redress the injury sustained when Defendants and/or their agents made discriminatory statements, refused to rent after receiving a bona fide offer, or otherwise made a townhouse unavailable to applicants Herman Green, Jr. and Velvie C. Green based on race.

9  
10  
11

## JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This court has jurisdiction of this matter pursuant to A.R.S. § 41-1491.34(A).
2. Venue is proper in Maricopa County pursuant to A.R.S. § 12-401(17).

12  
13  
14

## PARTIES

3. The Civil Rights Division of the Arizona Department of Law is an administrative agency established by A.R.S. § 41-1401 to enforce the provisions of the Arizona Civil Rights Act (“ACRA”), A.R.S. §§ 41-1401 to 41-1493.02, including the AFHA.

4. The State brings this action on its own behalf and on behalf of Herman Green, Jr. and Dr. Velvie C. Green (collectively “the Greens”), who are complainants and aggrieved persons within the meaning of A.R.S. § 41-1491(1).

5. Defendant Stanislawa Barbara Ogorsaly (“Ogorsaly”) is an unmarried woman residing in Maricopa County, Arizona.

6. Defendant Remodelers Ltd. is and, at all relevant times, has been the record owner of that certain residential real property located at 18650 N. 91<sup>st</sup> Ave. #601, in Peoria, Arizona (“the townhouse”). Upon information and belief, Defendant Remodelers Ltd. is neither incorporated nor registered to do business in the State of Arizona. Upon information and belief, Defendant Remodelers Ltd. is wholly owned and/or controlled by Defendant Ogorsaly.

25  
26

///



1           13.    On or about June 23, 2006, Bird telephoned Lamb to advise that his clients would  
2 be making an offer to rent the townhouse. On that day, Bird also faxed Lamb a written offer  
3 from the Greens for rental of the townhouse at the full rental rate listed on the MLS. Bird, who  
4 had served on GCC's search committee to locate its new president, included in his fax a letter  
5 of reference for Dr. Velvie Green concerning her new position as GCC's President, together  
6 with the Green's \$500 deposit check.

7           14.    On or about the morning of Saturday, June 24, 2006, Lamb met with Ogorsaly to  
8 review the Greens' full price rental offer. Ogorsaly asked Lamb if the Greens were Black, and  
9 stated that she did not want to rent the townhouse to Black people. Ogorsaly commented that  
10 Black people were smelly and dirty, and that renting to them would require her to repaint the  
11 townhouse sooner than seven years. Ogorsaly also said she was concerned about what her  
12 neighbors would think if she rented to Black people.

13           15.    Although the Greens' offer was the first rental offer that Ogorsaly had received  
14 on the townhouse after approximately six months on the market, Ogorsaly refused to accept the  
15 Greens' full price rental offer. Instead, Ogorsaly changed the Greens' offer to increase the  
16 rental rate by an additional \$140 per month for HOA fees, and then signed the revised offer.

17           16.    After Lamb met with Ogorsaly, Lamb met with Bird on or about June 24, 2006  
18 and asked Bird if the Greens were Black. Lamb also told Bird that she was asking that question  
19 because Ogorsaly did not want to rent to Black people.

20           17.    In response, Bird told Lamb that she was asking him an illegal question, and that  
21 he would not answer it. Lamb told Bird that she knew that it was an illegal question, but was  
22 asking it because Ogorsaly wanted to know if the Greens were Black. Bird took the revised  
23 offer from Lamb and left the meeting.

24           18.    On or about June 24, 2006, Bird and Herman Green each advised Lamb that the  
25 Greens had agreed to pay the additional rent requested in Ogorsaly's revised offer. During the  
26 course of negotiations on or about June 24, 2006, Lamb learned that the Greens are African

1 American.

2 19. Later on or about June 24, 2006, Lamb called Bird to advise that Ogorsaly now  
3 wanted to run a credit check on the Greens, and wanted the Greens to pay for it. Bird then met  
4 Lamb at a restaurant and provided her with the Greens' social security numbers, a \$40 check  
5 from the Greens for the credit check, and the telephone number of a major credit reporting  
6 agency.

7 20. Thereafter, on or about June 24, 2006, Lamb delivered the Greens' check and  
8 their social security numbers to Ogorsaly for a credit check.

9 21. On or about June 24, 2006, Lamb and Bird each separately contacted Lamb's real  
10 estate broker at Keller Williams, Pamela Burt ("Burt"), regarding the Greens. Bird informed  
11 Burt of the possibility that the Greens would file a housing discrimination complaint on  
12 Monday, June 26, 2006.

13 22. On or about the evening of June 25, 2006, Wayne LeBlanc of Keller Williams  
14 instructed Lamb on how to protect herself and Keller Williams from a possible housing  
15 discrimination complaint from the Greens. In particular, LeBlanc advised Lamb to sever her  
16 relationship for real estate services with Ogorsaly immediately, terminate the MLS listings for  
17 the townhouse and other properties, send a certified letter to Orgosaly to terminate the  
18 agreement to provide real estate services, and file a housing discrimination claim against  
19 Ogorsaly.

20 23. On or about June 25, 2006, Lamb met with Ogorsaly to terminate the MLS for the  
21 townhouse and other real property. On or about June 26, 2006, Lamb mailed Ogorsaly a  
22 certified letter dated June 25, 2006, terminating the agreement for Lamb and Keller Williams to  
23 provide real estate services to Ogorsaly because Ogorsaly "refused to rent her home to an  
24 individual because of the color of their skin." Lamb did not immediately notify Bird or the  
25 Greens that Lamb had terminated the listing for the townhouse or her real estate representation  
26 of Ogorsaly.

1           24. On or about June 26, 2006, Ogorsaly contacted Bird to request additional  
2 information to enable her to run a credit check on the Greens, including their addresses and  
3 places of employment. Later that day, Ogorsaly called Bird to request copies of the Greens'  
4 drivers' licenses and social security cards for the purpose of running a criminal background  
5 check on the Greens.

6           25. On or about June 26, 2006, Bird faxed a letter to Lamb to advise her of the direct  
7 contacts that he had received from Ogorsaly and Ogorsaly's friend, and to provide Lamb with  
8 the information that Ogorsaly had requested to run credit and criminal background checks on  
9 the Greens.

10          26. On or about June 27, 2006, Lamb informed Bird that, upon instructions from  
11 Keller Williams, she had terminated the listing for the townhouse and no longer represented  
12 Ogorsaly.

13          27. Thereafter, Ogorsaly did not rent the townhouse to the Greens, and the Greens  
14 were forced to pay more to rent a house that was not as conveniently located as the townhouse.

15          28. On or about August 28, 2006, the Greens filed a timely complaint of housing  
16 discrimination with the State's Civil Rights Division pursuant to A.R.S. § 41-1491.22(C), in  
17 which they alleged that they had been the victims of race discrimination by Defendants.

18          29. The State's Civil Rights Division investigated the Greens complaint pursuant to  
19 A.R.S. § 41-1491.24(B). At the conclusion of the State's investigation, the State issued a  
20 finding ("the Cause Finding") that reasonable cause exists to believe that Defendants  
21 discriminated against the Greens based on race, in violation of the AFHA.

22          30. The State issued a Reasonable Cause Finding Determination on November 29,  
23 2006. Since that time, the Greens and the Defendants have not entered into a conciliation  
24 agreement. Having exhausted administrative requirements, the State is authorized to file this  
25 Complaint pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 41-1491.29(D) and 41-1491.34(A).

26       ///

1 **COUNT ONE**

2 **[Discrimination in Violation of A.R.S. § 41-1491.14(A) of AFHA]**

3 31. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in  
4 paragraphs 1 through 30 of this Complaint.

5 32. The townhouse is a dwelling within the meaning of A.R.S. § 41-1491(7) of the  
6 AFHA.

7 33. On or about June 23, 2006, the Greens made a bona fide offer to rent the  
8 townhouse.

9 34. Under A.R.S. § 41-1491.14(A) of AFHA, a person may not refuse to rent a  
10 dwelling after a bona fide offer has been made, or refuse to negotiate for the sale of a dwelling,  
11 or otherwise make unavailable or deny a dwelling to any person because of race or color.

12 35. Defendants refused to rent the townhouse to the Greens after a bona fide offer  
13 was made, otherwise made the townhouse unavailable, or denied the townhouse to the Greens  
14 because of the Green's race or color, in violation of A.R.S. § 41-1491.14(A) of AFHA.

15 36. As a result of discrimination by Defendants Ogorsaly and Lamb, the Greens  
16 suffered emotional distress, humiliation, embarrassment, inconvenience, denial of civil rights,  
17 and monetary damages.

18 37. Defendants Remodelers Ltd. and WWKK dba Keller Williams have a  
19 nondelegable duty not to discriminate in violation of AFHA, and are responsible for the  
20 discriminatory conduct of their respective agents, Ogorsaly and Lamb.

21 38. Punitive damages are appropriate because Defendants intentionally discriminated  
22 against the Greens because of their race or color and/or Defendants acted with callous disregard  
23 of or reckless indifference to the Greens' civil rights.

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

1 **COUNT TWO**

2 **[Discrimination in Violation of A.R.S. § 41-1491.14(B) of AFHA]**

3 39. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1  
4 through 38 of this Complaint.

5 40. Under A.R.S. § 41-1491.14(B) of AFHA, a person may not discriminate against  
6 any person in the terms, conditions or privileges of rental of a dwelling because of race or  
7 color.

8 41. Defendants discriminated against the Greens because of race or color by charging  
9 them a higher rate to rent the townhouse than they offered to charge others, in violation of  
10 A.R.S. § 41-1491.14(B).

11 42. Defendants discriminated against the Greens because of race or color by  
12 imposing credit and criminal background qualification requirements and fees to rent the  
13 townhouse which they did not impose on others, in violation of A.R.S. § 41-1491.14(B).

14 43. As a result of discrimination by Defendants Ogorsaly and Lamb, the Greens  
15 suffered emotional distress, humiliation, embarrassment, inconvenience, denial of civil rights,  
16 and monetary damages.

17 44. Defendants Remodelers Ltd. and WWKK dba Keller Williams have a  
18 nondelegable duty not to discriminate in violation of AFHA, and are responsible for the  
19 discriminatory conduct of their respective agents, Ogorsaly and Lamb.

20 45. Punitive damages are appropriate because Defendants intentionally discriminated  
21 against the Greens because of their race or color and/or Defendants acted with callous disregard  
22 of or reckless indifference to the Greens' civil rights.

23 **COUNT THREE**

24 **[Discrimination in Violation of A.R.S. § 41-1491.15 of AFHA]**

25 46. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1  
26 through 45 of this Complaint.



1 41-1491.20(B)(2).

2 55. Under A.R.S. § 41-1491.20 of AFHA, it is unlawful for a person whose business  
3 includes engaging in residential real estate related transactions to discriminate against a person  
4 in making a real estate related transaction available or in the terms or conditions of a real estate  
5 related transaction because of race or color.

6 56. Defendant Lamb discriminated against the Greens in making a real estate related  
7 transaction available or in the terms or conditions of a real estate related transaction because of  
8 the Greens' race or color.

9 57. Defendant WWKK dba Keller Williams has a nondelegable duty not to  
10 discriminate in violation of AFHA, and is responsible for the discriminatory conduct of its  
11 agent, Defendant Lamb.

12 58. Punitive damages are appropriate because Defendant Lamb intentionally  
13 discriminated against the Greens because of their race or color and/or Defendant Lamb acted  
14 with callous disregard of or reckless indifference to the Greens' civil rights.

15 **COUNT FIVE**

16 **[Discrimination in Violation of A.R.S. § 41-1491.18 of AFHA]**

17 59. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1  
18 through 58 of this Complaint.

19 60. Under A.R.S. § 41-1491.18 of AFHA, a person may not coerce, intimidate,  
20 threaten or interfere with any person in the exercise or enjoyment of any right granted or  
21 protected by A.R.S. § 41-1491.18 and A.R.S. §§ 41-1491.14, 41-1491.15 or 41-1491.20..

22 61. Defendants interfered with the Greens' exercise and enjoyment of their right to  
23 rent the townhouse without discrimination on the basis of race or color, in violation of A.R.S.  
24 §§ 41-1491.14, 41-1491.15, 41-1491.18, and 41-1491.20.

25 62. As a result of discrimination by Defendants Ogorsaly and Lamb, the Greens  
26 suffered emotional distress, humiliation, embarrassment, inconvenience, denial of civil rights,

1 and monetary damages.

2 63. Defendants Remodelers Ltd. and WWKK dba Keller Williams have a  
3 nondelegable duty not to discriminate in violation of AFHA, and are responsible for the  
4 discriminatory conduct of their respective agents, Ogorsaly and Lamb.

5 64. Punitive damages are appropriate because Defendants intentionally discriminated  
6 against the Greens because of their race or color and/or Defendants acted with callous disregard  
7 of or reckless indifference to the Greens' civil rights.

8 **COUNT SIX**

9 **[Practice of Discrimination in Violation of A.R.S. § 41-1491.35, AFHA]**

10 65. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of paragraphs 1  
11 through 64 of this Complaint.

12 66. Plaintiff has reasonable cause to believe that Defendants denied the Greens the  
13 right, under A.R.S. §§ 41-1491.14, 41.1491.15, 41-1491.18, and 41-1491.20 of the AFHA, to  
14 rent the townhouse without discrimination because of the Greens' race or color.

15 67. The denial of the Greens' right not to be subjected to discrimination in the rental  
16 of a dwelling based on race or color, raises an issue of general public importance under A.R.S.  
17 § 41-1491.35 of the AFHA.

18 68. Additionally, the discriminatory statements of Defendants Ogorsaly and Lamb  
19 and their related changes in terms and conditions, delay in processing, and failure to approve  
20 the Greens' rental application demonstrate that Defendants engaged in a pattern or practice of  
21 resistance to the full enjoyment of the right of the Greens and others not to be discriminated  
22 against in the rental of a dwelling because of race or color.

23 69. Defendants Remodelers Ltd. and WWKK dba Keller Williams have a  
24 nondelegable duty not to discriminate in violation of the AFHA, and are responsible for the  
25 discriminatory conduct of their respective agents, Ogorsaly and Lamb.

26 ///

1           70. To vindicate the public interest, imposition of a civil penalty against Defendants  
2 of up to \$50,000 for a first violation and up to \$100,000 for a subsequent violation is  
3 appropriate under A.R.S. § 41-1491.35 of the AFHA.

4           WHEREFORE, the State requests that this Court:

5           A. Enter judgment on behalf of the State, finding that Defendants unlawfully  
6 discriminated against the Greens because of their race or color, in violation of AFHA;

7           B. Enjoin Defendants, their successors, assigns and all persons in active concert or  
8 participation with Defendants from engaging in any housing practice that discriminates based  
9 on race or color or interferes with the exercise of rights granted by AFHA, as allowed by  
10 A.R.S. § 41-1491.34(C);

11           C. Assess a statutory civil penalty against Defendants to vindicate the public interest  
12 in an amount that does not exceed fifty thousand dollars (\$50,000) for the first violation or one  
13 hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000) for a second or subsequent violation, pursuant to A.R.S. §  
14 41-1491.35(B)(3);

15           D. Order Defendants to make the Greens whole for any damage they suffered and  
16 award them damages in an amount to be determined at trial;

17           E. Award punitive damages against Defendants for their intentional discrimination  
18 based on race and color and/or their callous disregard or reckless indifference to the Greens'  
19 civil rights;

20           F. Order the State to monitor Defendants' compliance with AFHA;

21           G. Award the State its costs incurred in bringing this action, and its costs in  
22 monitoring Defendants' future compliance with AFHA, as allowed by A.R.S. §§ 41-1491.34(C)  
23 and 41-1491.35(B)(2);

24           H. Award the State its reasonable attorneys fees, as allowed by § 41-1491.35(B)(2);  
25 and

26           I. Grant such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper in the

1 public interest.

2 DATED this \_\_\_\_\_ day of December, 2006.

3  
4 TERRY GODDARD  
5 Attorney General

6  
7 By \_\_\_\_\_  
8 Sandra R. Kane  
9 Assistant Attorney General  
10 Civil Rights Division  
11 1275 W. Washington Street  
12 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
307952V5