1	KRISTIN K. MAYES		
2	ATTORNEY GENERAL (Firm State Bar No. 14000)		
3	Dylan Jones (Bar No. 034185)		
4	ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL		
	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL		
5	2005 North Central Avenue Phoenix, AZ 85004-1592		
6	Telephone: (602) 542-5210		
7	Facsimile: (602) 542-4377		
8	Email: consumer@azag.gov		
9	Email: <u>Dylan.Jones@azag.gov</u>		
	Attorneys for the State of Arizona		
10	SUPERIOR COUR	RT OF ARIZONA	
11	SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA IN MARICOPA COUNTY		
12			
13	STATE OF ARIZONA, ex rel. KRISTIN K.	Case No.: CV2025-026395	
14	MAYES, Attorney General,	STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT	
15	Plaintiff,		
	v.	(Assigned to the Hon. Christopher Whitten)	
16			
17	CITIZENS UTILITIES RURAL COMPANY,		
18	INC., a Delaware corporation; CITIZENS TELECOMMUNICATIONS COMPANY OF		
19	THE WHITE MOUNTAINS, INC., a Delaware		
20	corporation; FRONTIER		
21	COMMUNICATIONS OF THE		
	SOUTHWEST INC., a Delaware corporation; a Delaware corporation; and NAVAJO		
22	COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY, INC., a		
23	New Mexico corporation,		
24	Defendants.		
25	Deterioris.		
26			
27		Tayes, the Attorney General (the "State"), filed a	
28	Complaint alleging violations of the Arizona Cons	umer Fraud Act, A.R.S. §§ 44-1521 to -1534 (the	

"ACFA"), and the Citizens Utilities Rural Company, Inc., a Delaware corporation, Citizens Telecommunications Company of the White Mountains, Inc., a Delaware corporation, Frontier Communications of the Southwest, Inc., a Delaware corporation, and Navajo Communications Company Inc., a New Mexico corporation (collectively "Frontier" or "Defendants") have waived service of the Complaint, have been advised of the right to a trial in this matter, and have waived the same. Frontier admits the jurisdiction of this Court over the subject matter and parties, stipulates that this Court may enter the following Background, Conclusions of Law and Order, and acknowledges that this Court will retain jurisdiction for the purpose of enforcing this stipulated Consent Judgment.

PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff is the State of Arizona *ex rel*. Kristin K. Mayes, the Attorney General of Arizona, who is authorized to bring this action under the ACFA.
- 2. Defendants are Citizens Utilities Rural Company, Inc., a Delaware corporation, Citizens Telecommunications Company of the White Mountains, Inc., a Delaware corporation, Frontier Communications of the Southwest, Inc., a Delaware corporation, and Navajo Communications Company Inc., a New Mexico corporation.
- 3. All events, acts and practices described in, and relevant to, this Consent Judgment took place in Arizona.
- 4. This Court has jurisdiction over the Complaint and the parties necessary for the Court to enter this Consent Judgment and any orders hereafter appropriate pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1528 and this Consent Judgment.
 - 5. Venue is proper in Maricopa County pursuant to A.R.S. § 12-401.

BACKGROUND

6. The State was a plaintiff together with certain other states and the Federal Trade Commission in a civil complaint filed in the United States District Court for the Central District of California (*Federal Trade Commission et al v. Frontier Communications Corporation et al* (2:21-cv-04155 CDCA)). In the complaint the State alleged, *inter alia*, that certain of the Defendants had violated the ACFA in their advertising, marketing, sales, provisioning, and billing of DSL Internet service in Arizona (the "Alleged Conduct").

8 9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

- 7. The District Court, on October 3, 2021, declined to exercise pendant personal jurisdiction over Defendants with respect to the claims brought by the non-California states and granted Defendants' motion to dismiss the State's claims for lack of personal jurisdiction in the federal United States District Court for the Central District of California.
- The Parties by this Judgment intend to resolve any claims relating to the Alleged 8. Conduct that the State has authority to bring under federal or state law.
- In entering this Judgment, the mutual objective of the Parties is to resolve, without further litigation, the State's potential claims relating to the Alleged Conduct under the ACFA or other statutes or sources of authority for preliminary and permanent injunctive relief through the Effective Date of this Judgment, as well as the potential claims for payment of damages or other financial relief and reimbursement of the State's costs relating to the investigation of the Alleged Conduct. The entry into this Judgment by Defendants is not an admission of liability with respect to any issue addressed in this Judgment, nor is it an admission of any factual allegations or legal conclusions stated or implied herein. Defendants are offering this Judgment to avoid the time and expense of litigating this matter further.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 10. The State alleges Frontier violated the ACFA by engaging in or directing others to engage in the Alleged Conduct.
- 11. Pursuant to the ACFA, Frontier's alleged violations entitle the State to relief necessary to prevent the unlawful acts and practices described in this Judgment and to remedy the consequences of past unlawful practices.
- 12. Frontier neither admits nor denies any of the Alleged Conduct, except as specifically stated in the following sentence. Only for purposes of this action, Defendants admit only those facts necessary to establish personal jurisdiction.

ORDER

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED:

Pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1528, this Judgment, including but not limited to the injunctive relief set forth in Paragraphs 15 through 22 below, binds Defendants, whether acting

through associates, principals, officers, directors, employees, representatives, successors, or assigns, or through any subsidiary corporation, assumed name, or business entity. Defendants are responsible for compliance with the terms of this Judgment, and must ensure that all employees, subcontractors, agents, and representatives comply with the terms of this Judgment.

DEFINITIONS

- 14. The following definitions apply throughout this Judgment:
- (a) "Advertised Speed" means the download speed advertised or offered, including at point of sale, in association with a specific Internet Service Plan, such as "18 Mbps," "As Fast As 18 Mbps," "Max Speeds As Fast As 18 Mbps," or "9 to 18 Mbps."
- (b) "Clear(ly) and Conspicuous(ly)" means that a required disclosure is difficult to miss (*i.e.*, easily noticeable) and easily understandable by ordinary consumers, including in all of the following ways:
 - (i) In any communication that is solely visual or solely audible, the disclosure must be made through the same means through which the communication is presented. In any communication made through both visual and audible means, such as a television advertisement, the disclosure must be made visually or audibly.
 - (ii) A visual disclosure, by its size, contrast, location, the length of time it appears, and other characteristics, must stand out from any accompanying text or other visual elements so that it is easily noticed, read, and understood.
 - (iii) An audible disclosure, including by telephone or streaming video, must be delivered in a volume, speed, and cadence sufficient for ordinary consumers to easily hear and understand it.
 - (iv) In any communication using an interactive electronic medium, such as the Internet or software, the disclosure must be unavoidable.
 - (v) The disclosure must use diction and syntax understandable to ordinary consumers and must appear in each language in which the representation that requires the disclosure appears.

- (vi) The disclosure must comply with these requirements in each medium through which it is received, including all electronic devices and face-to-face communications.
- (vii) The disclosure must not be contradicted or mitigated by, or inconsistent with, anything else in the communication.
- (viii) When the representation or sales practice targets a specific audience, such as children, the elderly, or the terminally ill, "ordinary consumers" includes members of that group.
- (c) "Close Proximity" means that the disclosure is near the triggering representation. For example, a disclosure made through a hyperlink, pop-up, interstitial, or other similar technique is not in close proximity to the triggering representation. Notwithstanding the foregoing sentence, in connection with any internet, mobile, social media, Point of Sale, or other electronic Advertisement, if restrictions on format, size, and/or technical specifications prevent Frontier from Clearly and Conspicuously disclosing all material terms, conditions, limitations, and obligations reasonably adjacent to the representations contained in the Advertisements, Defendants may include a Clear and Conspicuous link, click-through, pop-up, or similar method to direct Consumers to such disclosures.
- (d) "Congestion Report" means Defendants' "Monthly DSLAM Congestion Report," or any future version of that report, which provides congestion information for all Arizona DSLAM uplinks and the circuits that affect more than one DSLAM, including Host, Aggregator, and BRAS uplinks.
- (e) "Covered Conduct" shall mean the promotion, advertisement, offer, sale, or provision of Defendants' an Internet Service Plan to Consumers. It shall also include all billing, payment, and collections practices relating to the provision of Defendants' Internet Service Plan to Customers.
 - (f) "Consent Judgment" or "Judgment" means this Consent Judgment.

- (g) "Customer" means a consumer who subscribes to an Internet Service Plan with an Advertised Speed in the State of Arizona.
 - (h) "DSL" means digital subscriber line.
 - (i) "DSLAM" means DSL access multiplexer.
- (j) "Existing Customer" means a Customer who is already subscribed to an Internet Service Plan with an Advertised Speed and who is not a New Customer.
- (k) "FTC Settlement" means the Stipulated Order for Permanent Injunction, Monetary Judgment and Other relief entered in *Federal Trade Commission et al v. Frontier Communications Corporation et al* (2:21-cv-04155 CDCA) on May 6, 2022.
- (l) "Internet Service Plan" means a service to provide residential Internet access via DSL.
- (m) "Material Restriction" means a restriction on the amount or speed of Internet access that is likely to affect a Customer's purchase or use of the Internet Service Plan.
- (n) "New Customer" means a person who becomes a Customer after the Effective Date, *i.e.*, a person who subscribes to an Internet Service Plan with an Advertised Speed, an Existing Customer who changes service plans to an Internet Service Plan with an Advertised Speed, or both.
- (o) "Parties" means the State of Arizona *ex rel*. Kristin K. Mayes, the Attorney General, and Defendants, collectively.
- (p) "Provision" and "Provisioned" refer to the Defendants' setting of the speed on Defendants' network for a Customer's DSL Internet service.
- (q) "Speed Assessment Procedure" means a procedure, algorithm, process, or data analysis, based on competent and reliable evidence, for assessing the speed at which Defendants are able to provide DSL Internet service to a residential address. For purposes of this definition and references hereto, "competent and reliable evidence" means tests, including loop tests, analyses, research, or studies that have (1) been conducted and evaluated in an objective manner by qualified personnel, and (2) are generally accepted to

yield accurate and reliable results, and provide a reasonable basis for representations concerning an Advertised Speed.

IMPLEMENTATION OF COMPLIANCE MEASURES

Prohibition Against Misrepresenting Internet Services

- 15. Defendants, whether acting directly or indirectly, in connection with the advertising, marketing, or sale of any Internet Service Plan, shall refrain from, expressly or by implication:
 - (a) Advertising or marketing Internet Service Plans with Advertised Speeds:
 - (i) In television and radio advertisements or other ads disseminated to or meant for a general audience and that contain Advertised Speed claims, unless Defendants Clearly and Conspicuously disclose that the maximum Advertised Speeds may not be available in a consumer's area, if that is the case; and that the actual speed a Customer is likely to be able to obtain is subject to multiple factors, if that is the case;
 - (ii) In Internet advertisements or other advertisements that appear when a consumer has provided his or her residential address, or if Defendants have targeted the consumers based on their residential address or zip code, if the maximum Advertised Speed exceeds what is available in those consumers' zip codes;
 - (iii) In mailer advertisements or other advertisements targeted to consumers based on their geographic area or neighborhood, if the maximum Advertised Speed exceeds what is available to the consumers receiving such advertisements.
 - (b) Misrepresenting the amount or speed of data transmission that an Internet Service Plan will provide.
 - (c) Making any representation about the amount or speed of data transmission without disclosing, Clearly and Conspicuously, all Material Restrictions to obtaining the represented amount or speed of data transmission. For purposes of this Judgment:

- (i) For any representation that an Internet Service Plan will deliver an Advertised Speed, the advertisement must disclose Clearly and Conspicuously with and in Close Proximity to the representation:
 - (aa) the range of speeds available for that tier, such as "6.1 Mbps to 12 Mbps download"; and
 - (bb) that the average speed may be lower than the maximum speed represented, if that is the case.
- (ii) For internet ads or mailer ads, if the actual speed a Customer is likely to be able to obtain is subject to multiple factors, the advertisement must contain a Clear and Conspicuous website location or link to a Clear and Conspicuous disclosure that states that actual speed is subject to multiple factors, such as (aa) the effect of WiFi; (bb) multiple users on the same account; (cc) device limitations; and (dd) network congestion.
- (d) Misrepresenting the performance or central characteristics of an Internet Service Plan.

Prohibited Subscription and Billing Practices

- 16. Defendants, whether acting directly or indirectly, in connection with any Internet Service Plan, shall refrain from:
 - (a) At the point of sale, subscribing or upgrading any New Customer to an Internet Service Plan with an Advertised Speed unless the geographic area of the New Customer's residential address provides reason to believe that Defendants can provide service at the Advertised Speed to the New Customer.
 - (b) Provisioning any New Customer for an Internet Service Plan with an Advertised Speed unless the Customer is Provisioned within 10% of the maximum Advertised Speed or higher for that Internet Service Plan, provided that if a Customer cannot be Provisioned within 10% of the maximum Advertised Speed or higher, Defendants shall notify the New Customer as set forth in the FTC Settlement and/or Paragraph 17(b) of this Judgment.

- (c) Subscribing any New Customer to an Internet Service Plan with service to be provided by a DSLAM for which Defendants' Congestion Reports indicate that the DSLAM has had an average peak utilization of 90% or greater during at least the three months prior to service installation.
- (d) Billing, charging, collecting, or attempting to collect from any New Customer the costs or fees for an Internet Service Plan with an Advertised Speed unless a Speed Assessment Procedure performed at the time of service installation provides reason to believe that Defendants can provide service within 10% of the maximum Advertised Speed or higher to the New Customer's residential address; *provided*, *however*, that Defendants shall not be deemed to be in violation of this Paragraph 16(d) if the Customer continues with the current Internet Service Plan after receiving written notice as provided pursuant to the FTC Settlement and/or in Paragraph 17(b) of this Judgment.
- Customer or New Customer the costs or fees for an Internet Service Plan with an Advertised Speed, if after receipt of a customer-initiated trouble ticket or written complaint from the Customer asserting that the Customer's Internet speed experienced is slower than the Advertised Speed and the Customer requests or it is otherwise clear from the communication that Defendants should investigate or take action to address service speed, Defendants do not, at their option, (i) initiate a review to respond to the Customer's trouble ticket or complaint, such as through a Speed Assessment Procedure; or (ii) issue a notice that offers the Customer the option to (aa) continue with the current Internet Service Plan; (bb) cancel the Internet Service Plan without incurring any additional fee associated with an Internet Service Plan, such as an early termination fee, for cancelling the Internet Service Plan, or (cc) move to another available Internet Service Plan product tier in which the Existing Customer can be Provisioned at a minimum of 90% of the maximum Advertised Speed for Defendants' service.

Required Actions for New and Upgrading Customers

17. Defendants will, not later than 120 days after the Effective Date:

- (a) Clearly and Conspicuously disclose, to all New Customers, at or before the point of sale or change in Internet Service Plan with an Advertised Speed: the maximum Advertised Speed, the range of Internet service speeds Defendants have reason to believe the New Customer is likely to receive based on the geographic location of the New Customer's service address, and how such speeds may impact the New Customer's use of certain online content and services, such as streaming or gaming;
- (b) Within 10 business days of the time of the installation and provisioning of the Internet Service Plan with an Advertised Speed, whether by a technician, self-installation, or another method, Defendants shall identify the provisioned speed and provide New Customers who have been Provisioned at less than the maximum Advertised Speed for their Internet Service Plan with a notice via any method Defendants use to communicate with the Customer (including but not limited to mail, e-mail, or text message). Defendants' notice described in this Section shall:
 - (i) Clearly and Conspicuously inform the New Customer of the maximum Advertised Speed for the Internet Service Plan to which the New Customer is subscribed; the maximum speed for which the New Customer is Provisioned; the range of Internet service speeds Defendants have reason to believe the New Customer is likely to receive based on a Speed Assessment Procedure performed at the time of service installation; and how such speeds may impact the New Customer's access to various Internet services, such as streaming or gaming;
 - (ii) Offer the New Customer the options to (aa) discontinue the Internet Service Plan without incurring any additional fee associated with an Internet Service Plan, such as an early termination fee, for cancelling the Internet Service Plan, (bb) move to another available Internet Service Plan product tier for which the New Customer can be Provisioned at a minimum of 90% of the maximum Advertised Speed or another service of Defendants, or (cc) continue with the current Internet Service Plan. If the New Customer elects to discontinue the Internet Service Plan,

Defendants shall refund or waive any applicable installation, service connection, and early termination fees; and

(iii) Not enclose anything in or with the Notice or email other than the Notice, including any billing statements or marketing messages.

Required Actions Regarding Existing Customers

- 18. Defendants will identify each Existing Customer with an Internet Service Plan for whom either (i) the Provisioned Speed is less than the maximum Advertised Speed to which the Customer is subscribed, or (ii) the Provisioned Speed cannot be readily ascertained, and Customers identified in connection with Defendants' ongoing reporting obligations under this Judgment. For each such Customer who has not previously received notice pursuant to the FTC Settlement or under this Paragraph or Paragraph 17 of this Judgment:
 - (a) Defendants shall, for Existing Customers for whom the Provisioned Speed is less than the maximum Advertised Speed, issue a notice via any method Defendants uses to communicate with the Existing Customer (including but not limited to mail, email, or text message). Defendants' Notice described in this Section shall:
 - (i) Clearly and Conspicuously inform the Existing Customer of the maximum Advertised Speed for the Internet Service Plan to which the Existing Customer is subscribed; the maximum speed for which the Existing Customer is Provisioned (if readily ascertainable); the range of Internet service speeds Defendants have reason to believe the Existing Customer is likely to receive based on the geographic location of the Existing Customer's residential address; and how such speeds may impact the Existing Customer's access to various Internet services, such as streaming or gaming;
 - (ii) Offer the Existing Customer the options to (aa) continue with the current Internet Service Plan; (bb) discontinue the current Internet Service Plan without incurring any additional fee associated with an Internet Service Plan, such as an early termination fee, for cancelling the Internet Service Plan; and (cc) move to another available Internet Service Plan product tier in which the Existing

Customer can be Provisioned at a minimum of 90% of the maximum Advertised Speed or another service of Defendants; and

- (iii) Not enclose anything in or with the Notice or email other than the Notice, including any billing statements or marketing messages.
- (b) Defendants shall, for Existing Customers for whom the Provisioned Speed cannot be readily ascertained based on limitations in Defendants' systems or facilities in the Existing Customer's area, issue a notice via any method Defendants use to communicate with the Existing Customer (including but not limited to mail, e-mail, or text message). Defendants' Notice described in this Section shall:
 - (i) Clearly and Conspicuously inform the Existing Customer of the maximum Advertised Speed for the Internet Service Plan to which Existing Customer is subscribed; the fact that the Provisioned Speed cannot be readily ascertained based on limitations in Defendants' systems or facilities in the Customer's area; the range of Internet service speeds Defendants have reason to believe the Existing Customer is likely to receive based on the geographic location of the Existing Customer's service address; and how such speeds may impact the Existing Customer's access to various Internet services, such as streaming or gaming;
 - (ii) Offer the Existing Customer the options to (aa) continue with the current Internet Service Plan; (bb) discontinue the current Internet Service Plan without incurring any additional fee associated with an Internet Service Plan, such as an early termination fee, for cancelling the Internet Service Plan; and (cc) move to another available Internet Service Plan product tier in which the Customer can be Provisioned at a minimum of 90% of the maximum Advertised Speed or another service of Defendants; and
 - (iii) Not enclose anything in or with the Notice or email other than the Notice, including any billing statements or marketing messages.

- (c) Defendants shall, for Customers served by DSLAMs for which Congestion Reports indicate an average peak utilization of 90% or greater in any consecutive 3-month period, issue a notice via any method Defendants use to communicate with the Customer (including but not limited to mail, e-mail, or text message) to those Customers within 60 days of the end of the 3-month period, informing them of: (1) the speed at which they are provisioned; (2) the range of Internet service speeds they are likely to achieve; and (3) that the limitations of those speeds may impact various Internet services, such as streaming or gaming.
- (d) Defendants shall, when a New or Existing Customer subscribed to an Internet Service Plan with an Advertised Speed contacts Defendants with a trouble ticket or written complaint asserting that the Customer's Internet speed experienced is slower than the Advertised Speed and the Customer requests or it is otherwise clear from the communication that Defendants should investigate or take action to address service speed respond to the Customer by, at Defendants' option: (i) initiating a review to respond to the Customer's complaint, such as through a Speed Assessment Procedure; or (ii) issue a notice via any method Defendants use to communicate with the New or Existing Customer (including but not limited to mail, e-mail, or text message):
 - (i) Defendants' Notice described in this Section shall offer the Customer the options to (aa) continue with the current Internet Service Plan; (bb) discontinue the current Internet Service Plan without incurring any additional fee associated with an Internet Service Plan, such as an early termination fee; and (cc) move to the next lower Internet Service Plan product tier in which the Customer can be Provisioned at a minimum of 90% of the maximum Advertised Speed.
 - (ii) Defendants shall not enclose anything in or with the Notice or email other than the Notice including any billing statements or marketing messages.
- (e) Beginning 12 months and concluding 36 months after the Effective Date of this Judgment, Defendants shall credit one half the monthly Internet Service charge to individual customers for all such months in which (i) Defendants fail to provision such

customers at least 90% of the maximum Advertised Speed unless the customer has been advised in writing of the option to discontinue Internet Service or subscribe to another available Internet Service Plan product tier or Defendants service, if available, and elected not to subscribe to the lower speed tier level service or (ii) Defendants fail to provision such customer at least 100% of the speed at which they were informed they were provisioned.

(f) The customer credits described in Paragraph 18(e) above are remedial in nature and not fines, penalties, or disgorgements of funds.

Expenditure Commitments

- 19. Defendants agree to make an investment of Eight Million Dollars (\$8,000,000) in capital or other expenditures to provide or enhance internet services or to improve redundancy of internet or telecommunications services in Arizona and for Arizona consumers over a period of four years commencing on the first calendar day of the month following the Effective Date of this Judgment (the "Expenditure Commitment").
- 20. All of the Expenditure Commitment shall be expended to provide or enhance internet services or to improve redundancy of internet or telecommunications services within Navajo and Apache counties. Projects to be funded to benefit of Navajo and Apache counties shall include but are not limited to:
 - (a) Frontier to expend a minimum of \$2M to acquire/lease fiber from Navajo County's fiber project funded by a State grant and/or install fiber and transport/fiber equipment to improve network reliability and fiber diversity for voice/data traffic between Holbrook-Snowflake-Show Low
 - (b) Frontier to expend a minimum of \$2M to acquire/lease fiber from Apache County's fiber project funded by a State grant and/or install fiber and transport/fiber equipment to improve network reliability and fiber diversity for voice/data traffic between St. Johns, Concho, Springerville and Vernon and Show Low

- 3 4 5
- 8 9 10

12 13

11

1415

16

17 18

19

2021

23

22

24

2526

2728

ACC Dockets related to T-20679A-24-0252 include; T-01954B-24-0252; T-02115A-24-0252;

T-20680A-24-0252; T-03214A-24-0252; T-20681A-24-0252; and T-04036A-24-0252.

(c) Frontier to expend the remainder of the Expenditure Commitment not expended pursuant to subsections (a) or (b) above targeted at building fiber to the premises (FTTP) in Apache/Navajo County communities. Deployment location decisions to be made after federal/state BEAD awards announced. Actual number of FTTP locations served with fiber will depend on the specific areas chosen.

21. Defendants shall entirely fund the Expenditure Commitment, such as from internally generated funds, an equity infusion, issuing debt, or some combination thereof. To the extent Defendants incur expenditures related to any of the following activities, those expenditures will not apply against the Expenditure Commitment in this Agreement: (a) completing any Federal Communications Commission's Rural Digital Opportunity Fund build-out requirements and deployment milestones; and (b) completing any State or local government grant for internet broadband funding build-out requirements; however, to the extent Defendants contribute their own capital funding to complete the project, Defendants' funding will count toward the Expenditure Commitment. To the extent Defendants, or Defendants' parent companies, successors, or assigns, incur capital or other expenditures in complying with an order from the Arizona Corporation Commission (the "ACC"), including ACC Decision No. 78645 (as amended by Decision Nos. 78718 and 79021), or as it may later be amended, and any order, settlement, or agreement included in ACC Docket No. T-20679A-24-0252 or related dockets¹, or capital or other expenditures for which the ACC has authorized recovery through a rate case, those expenditures will not apply against the Expenditure Commitment in this Agreement. Under no circumstances shall this Expenditure Commitment described in this Consent Judgment be reflected in additional charges billed to public utility ratepayers or by customers of a Competitive Local Exchange Carrier.

22. The Expenditure Commitment described above is remedial in nature and not a fine, penalty, or disgorgement of funds.

Monitoring

- 23. Upon the execution of this Judgment, Defendants shall immediately commence efforts to fulfill the requirements set forth herein and unless otherwise provided herein shall comply with the requirements no later than 90 days from the Effective Date of this Judgment.
- 24. For a period of four years starting on the first calendar day of the month following the Effective Date of this Judgment, Defendants shall provide the State with a semi-annual written report or teleconference presentation detailing their compliance with the requirements set forth in this Judgment. The first report shall cover the six-month period starting on the first calendar day of the month following the Effective Date of this Judgment and shall be provided to the State within 60 days after the conclusion of that six-month period, and then semi-annually thereafter. Such reporting shall include, at a minimum:
 - (a) The number of Customers to which Defendants have sent each type of notice pursuant to Paragraphs 17 and 18 of this Judgment.
 - (b) A report and representative copy of all disclosures provided to customers in accordance with Paragraphs 17 and 18.
 - (c) Expenditures that were made during the reporting period pursuant to this Judgment, including amount spent, location where spent, capital improvements that were made, and a summary of the effect of such improvements on the number of consumers receiving new or improved internet access service.
- 25. For a period of four years starting on the first calendar day of the month following the Effective Date of this Judgment, Defendants shall provide to the State a copy of all reports Defendants file with the ACC pursuant to Attachment A Section IX of ACC Decision No. 78645 (as amended by Decision Nos. 78718 and 79021).
- 26. For a period of four years starting on the first calendar day of the month following the Effective Date of this Judgment, Defendants shall provide to the State a copy of all reports

Defendants file with the ACC pursuant to any decision, settlement, or agreement reached in ACC Docket No. T-20679A-24-0252 or related dockets.

27. For a period of four years after the Effective Date, Defendants shall cooperate with the State's consumer complaint mediation process by responding to future complaints regarding Internet Service Plans forwarded to it by the State. This would include providing the State information related to such customers at the State's request, including but not limited to a customer's advertised speed, the initial assessed speed, a customer's provisioning data, and the results of the most recent Speed Assessment Procedure relating to such customer.

PAYMENT TERMS

- 28. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1534, no later than 60 days after the Effective Date, Defendants shall pay the sum of \$30,000 in attorneys' fees and costs due to the State, to be deposited into the Consumer Protection-Consumer Fraud Revolving Fund pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1531.01, and used for the purposes set forth therein.
- 29. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1528(A)(2), Defendants shall pay to the Attorney General the amount of \$60,000 in consumer restitution due no later than 60 days after the Effective Date, to be deposited into the Consumer Restitution and Remediation Revolving Fund, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1531.02(B). The State will have sole discretion as to how and when these funds are distributed to consumers and the eligibility of any consumer to receive restitution. In the event that any portion of the restitution ordered herein is not distributed, such portion will be deposited by the Attorney General's Office into the Consumer Protection-Consumer Fraud Revolving Fund, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1531.02(B), and used for the purposes specified in A.R.S. § 44-1531.01.
- 30. The payments required herein must be paid in the form of cashier's checks or money orders made payable to "The State of Arizona," or by wire transfer according to instructions supplied by the State. Payment must be delivered, or mailed and postmarked, to:

Consumer Protection and Advocacy Section The Office of the Arizona Attorney General 2005 North Central Avenue Phoenix, Arizona 85004-1592

GENERAL TERMS

- 31. Release: The parties acknowledge by the execution hereof that this Judgment constitutes a complete settlement of the allegations contained in this Consent Judgment, and the State agrees not to institute any civil claims, causes of action, damages, restitution, fines, costs, and penalties for the violations of the ACFA against the Defendants or their employees or agents related to the Alleged Conduct up to and including the Effective Date. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the State may institute an action or proceeding to enforce the terms and provisions of this Consent Judgment, take action based on future conduct by Defendants, take action based on past conduct not related to the Alleged Conduct, and/or institute an action or proceeding to prevent the discharge of any debt acquired through this Judgment.
- 32. This Judgment is binding upon, inures to the benefit of, and applies to the Parties and their successors-in-interest. Except as otherwise set forth herein, it is not the intention of the parties to establish or create a third-party beneficiary interest on behalf of any Consumer that does not otherwise exist at law.
- 33. This Judgment does not affect, expand, or limit the rights of any private party to pursue any available remedy or remedies pursuant to applicable law, except that any common law right of set off would still be applicable. Further, this Judgment does not create any private right or cause of action to any third party.
- 34. This Judgment does not constitute an approval by the State of any of Defendants' business practices, and Defendants must not make any representation to the contrary.
- 35. No change or modification of this Judgment is valid unless in writing and signed by the Parties.
- 36. Unless the State determines that a violation of this Judgment has occurred and constitutes an exigent circumstance requiring the seeking a temporary restraining in order to preserve the public interest, the State will make reasonable efforts to provide written notice in the event the State believes Defendants to be in noncompliance with any provision of this Judgment, stating the basis for such belief. Frontier shall, within thirty (30) days of such notice, acknowledge its receipt of the notice and shall, within sixty (60) days of such notice, take all

steps necessary either to cure the alleged violation(s) or to demonstrate to the State that no such violation(s) occurred. If the State contends that the alleged violation has not been cured following the Cure Period, the Attorney General may seek judicial enforcement of this Judgment, without Frontier having waived any defenses to any alleged breach.

- 37. Except as otherwise specifically stated herein, all provisions of this Judgment shall remain in effect for a period of forty-eight (48) months from the Effective Date. This Court retains jurisdiction over Defendants and the subject matter of this Judgment for purposes of enabling the State to apply to this Court for enforcement of Defendants' compliance with this Judgment.
- 38. This Judgment represents the entire agreement between the Parties, and there are no representations, agreements, arrangements, or understandings, oral or written, between the Parties relating to the subject matter of this Judgment that are not fully expressed herein or attached hereto.
- 39. If any portion of this Judgment is held invalid by operation of law, the remaining terms thereof shall not be affected and shall remain in full force and effect.
- 40. This Judgment is the result of a compromise and settlement agreement between the Parties. Only the Parties may seek enforcement of this Judgment. Nothing in this Judgment is intended to create a private right of action by other parties.
- 41. Each person signing this Judgment acknowledges and represents that (a) they have read this Judgment in its entirety and fully understand all of its terms, conditions, ramifications, and consequences; (b) they unconditionally consistent to the terms of this Judgment; (c) they have consulted with or had ample opportunity to consult with legal counsel of their choosing prior to executing this Judgment; and (d) the consideration received by each party as described in this Judgment is adequate.
- 42. Each undersigned individual represents and warrants that he is fully authorized by the party he represents to enter into this Judgment and to legally bind such party to the terms and conditions of this Judgment.

1	43. The Parties may execute this Judgment in counterparts, each of which is deemed
2	an original and all of which constitute only one agreement.
3	44. The Parties agree that facsimile or electronically transmitted signatures may be
4	submitted in connection with this Assurance and are binding to the same extent as an original
5	signature.
6	45. The Effective Date of this Judgment is the date that it is entered by the court.
7	46. This Judgment resolves all outstanding claims expressly identified in the Allegeo
8	Conduct and the Complaint as to Defendants. As no further matters remain pending, this is
9	final judgment entered pursuant to Ariz. R. Civ. P. 54(c).
10	
11	DATED this day of July, 2025.
12	
13	
14	
15	JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

CONSENT TO JUDGMENT

- 1. Defendants acknowledge that they have waived service of the Summons and Complaint, have read the Background, Conclusions of Law, and Order, and are aware of their right to a trial in this matter and have waived the same.
- 2. Defendants admit the jurisdiction of this Court and consent to the entry of the foregoing Background, Conclusions of Law, and Order.
- 3. Defendants state that no promise of any kind or nature whatsoever was made to induce them to enter into this Consent Judgment and declare that they have entered into this Consent Judgment voluntarily.
- 4. This Consent Judgment is entered as a result of a compromise between the parties. Only the State may seek enforcement of this Consent Judgment. Nothing herein is intended to create a private right of action by other parties; however, this Consent Judgment does not limit the rights of any private party to pursue any remedies allowed by law.
- 5. Defendants acknowledge that their acceptance of this Consent Judgment is for the purpose of settling the ongoing consumer fraud lawsuit filed by the State, and further acknowledge that this Consent Judgment does not preclude any agency or officer of this State or subdivision thereof from instituting other civil or criminal proceedings as may be appropriate.
- 6. This Consent to Judgment may be executed in counterparts and be delivered by facsimile or electronic transmission, or a copy thereof, such constituting an original counterpart hereof, all of which together will constitute one and the same document.

21 | | ///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22 | | ///

23 | | ///

24 | 1///

25 | | ///

26 | | ///

27 | 1 ///

28 | 1 ///

11''

1	7. Defendants represent and warrant that the person signing below on their behalf is		
2	duly appointed and authorized to do so.		
3	DATED this <u>28th</u> day of <u>July</u> , 2025.		
4			
5	Citizens Utilities Rural Company, Inc.;		
6	Citizens Telecommunications Company of		
7	the White Mountains, Inc.; Frontier Communications of the Southwest, Inc.; and		
8	Navajo Communications Company Inc.		
9			
10			
11	Signature: Mare D. Niele		
12	Mark D. Nielsen Name:		
13	Chief Legal and Regulatory Officer		
14	Title:		
15	07/28/2025 Date:		
16			
17	APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT:		
18	KRISTIN K. MAYES		
19	Attorney General		
20			
21			
	to or francisco		
22	By: Dylan Jones Perry Rowthorn		
23	Dylan Jones Perry Rowthorn Assistant Attorney General Rowthorn Law LLC		
24	Attorneys for the State of Arizona Attorney for Defendants		
25			
26	SLQJ4PYG0FE8FP		
27			
28	II		