1 Kristin K. Mayes Attorney General 2 Firm State Bar No. 14000 3 Julianne Blumenreich (SBN 019303) 4 OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 2005 N. Central Avenue 5 Phoenix, AZ 85004 Telephone: (602) 542-8628 6 Julie.blumenreich@azag.gov 7 Maura Hilser (036317) 8 OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 9 400 West Congress Street, Suite S-315 Tucson, AZ 85701 10 Telephone: (520) 628-6500 Civilrights@azag.gov 11 Maura.Hilser@azag.gov Assistant Attorneys General 12 Attorneys for Plaintiff 13 14 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA 15 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA 16 STATE OF ARIZONA, ex rel. KRISTIN K. 17 MAYES, the Attorney General, and the CIVIL Case No.: CV2024-000410 RIGHTS DIVISON OF THE ARIZONA 18 DEPARTMENT OF LAW, 19 **CIVIL COMPLAINT** Plaintiff, 20 VS. (Jury Trial Requested) SHIRLEY ANN ENTERPRISES, LLC, an 21 Arizona Limited Liability Company, 22 Defendant. 23 24 Plaintiff, the State of Arizona, ex rel. Kristin K. Mayes, the Attorney General, and the 25 Civil Rights Division of the Arizona Department of Law (collectively, "the State") alleges and 26

states, as follows:

INTRODUCTION

- 1. The State brings this public enforcement action under the Arizona Fair Housing Act ("AFHA"), A.R.S. §§ 41-1491 to 41-1491.37, to correct an unlawful housing practice, redress the injuries of aggrieved parties, and vindicate the public interest.
- 2. The State brings this public enforcement action because Defendant Shirley Ann Enterprises, LLC ("Defendant") discriminated against aggrieved parties ("and ("Collectively, the "Aggrieved Parties") by subjecting them to disparate treatment because of disability, in violation of A.R.S. § 41-1491.1(A)(1), (A)(3), (B)(1), (B)(3), (E)(2), and interfering with their fair housing rights and retaliating against them in violation of A.R.S. § 41-1491.18.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. This Court has jurisdiction of this matter pursuant to A.R.S. § 41-1491.34(A).
- 4. Venue is proper in Maricopa County pursuant to A.R.S. § 12-401(17).

PARTIES

- 5. The Civil Rights Division (the "Division") of the Arizona Department of Law is an administrative agency of the State of Arizona established by A.R.S. § 41-1401 to administer and enforce the provisions of the Arizona Civil Rights Act, A.R.S. § 41-1401, *et seq*, including the AFHA.
- 6. The State brings this action on its own behalf and on behalf of and aggrieved persons under A.R.S. § 41-1491(1)(a), (2).
- 7. Defendant Shirley Ann Enterprises, LLC ("Shirley Ann Enterprises") is an Arizona limited liability company doing business in Arizona and owns six rental properties in Flagstaff, Arizona, including 199 South O'Leary Street, Unit 2, Flagstaff, Arizona 86001 (the "Subject Property").
- 8. Defendant Shirley Ann Enterprises is Manager Managed and is managed by James Berthoud ("Berthoud") and the Trustee of the James and Phyllis Berthoud Trust.

compensator	y damages, including out of pocket expenses, lost housing opportunity, garden
variety emot	ional distress damages, humiliation, embarrassment, inconvenience, and loss of
their rights u	ander the AFHA. To remedy the effects of Defendants' discrimination, and
are ent	itled to relief under A.R.S. § 41-1491.34(C).
45.	Punitive damages are appropriate because Defendant intentionally discriminated
against	and because of sissability and Defendant acted with callous disregard
of or reckless	s indifference to and and civil rights.
	<u>COUNT II</u>
	Retaliation and Interference with Housing Rights
in Violation of A.R.S. § 41-1491.18	
46.	The State realleges and incorporates by reference the allegations contained in
paragraphs 1 through 45 of this complaint.	
47.	Under A.R.S. § 41-1491.18, a person may not coerce, intimidate, threaten, or
interfere with	any person in the exercise or enjoyment of, or having exercised or enjoyed, any
right granted or protected under the AFHA.	
48.	Defendant is a person as defined in the AFHA, A.R.S. § 41-1491(9).
49.	is a person with a disability as defined in the AFHA, A.R.S. § 41-1491(5).
50.	is associated with a person with a disability. A.R.S. § 41-1491.19(A)(3).
51.	exercised a right under the AFHA when she requested a reasonable
accommodati	ion to allow her emotional support animal to reside in the Subject Property, a
request that was necessary to afford her an equal opportunity to use and enjoy a dwelling, under	
A.R.S. § 41-1	1491.19(E)(2).
52.	Despite actual knowledge of disability and disability-related need for an
assistance an	imal, Defendant informed that he had a right to make sure her dog moves out
after he moved to the property next to hers.	
53.	Defendant, through Berthoud, informed that it would increase and
	variety emote their rights was are entited 45. against of or reckless 46. paragraphs 1 47. interfere with right granted 48. 49. 50. 51. accommodation request that was 49. 52. assistance and after he move

1	s monthly rental amount.	
2	54. The following day Defendant, through Berthoud, informed that it would no	
3	renew their lease.	
4	55. Defendant, through Berthoud, did not renew and lease at the Subjection	
5	Property because of request for reasonable accommodation that was necessary for he	
6	disability.	
7	56. In requesting a reasonable accommodation for disability, and and	
8	were engaging in protected activity under the AFHA.	
9	57. Defendant's threat of increased rent and ultimate non-renewal of and	
10	lease was done in retaliation for them engaging in protected activity and unlawfully interfere	
11	with their rights and protections under the AFHA.	
12	58. As a result of Defendant's interference, intimidation, and retaliation,	
13	suffered actual and monetary damages, including out of pocket expenses, lost housing	
14	opportunity, garden variety emotional distress damages, humiliation, embarrassment	
15	inconvenience, and loss of their rights under the AFHA. To remedy the effects of Defendant'	
16	discrimination, and are entitled to relief under A.R.S. § 41-1491.34(C).	
17	59. Punitive damages are appropriate because Defendant intentionally discriminate	
18	against and because of disability and Defendant acted with callous disregard	
19	of or reckless indifference to and and civil rights.	
20	PRAYER FOR RELIEF	
21	WHEREFORE, the State requests that this Court:	
22	A. Enter judgment on behalf of the State, finding that Defendant violated the AFHA	
23	by refusing to continue to lease to and because of disability.	
24	B. Permanently enjoin Defendant, their successors, assigns, and all persons in activ	
25	concert or participation with Defendant, from engaging in any housing practice that	
26	discriminates on the basis of disability in violation of the AFHA.	

- C. Order Defendant to institute and carry out policies and practices that provide equal housing opportunities for disabled persons by allowing them to rent from Defendant regardless of their disabilities and by granting necessary reasonable accommodations.
- D. Order Berthoud and Phyllis to undergo training with respect to the duty to provide housing to all individuals regardless of their disability and their duty to provide accommodations under the reasonable accommodation provision of the AFHA.
- E. Order Defendant to undergo training with respect to the duty to provide housing to all individuals regardless of their disability and its duty to provide accommodations under the reasonable accommodation provision of the AFHA.
- F. Order Defendant to make and whole for any damages suffered and award her actual and punitive damages in amounts to be determined at trial pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 41-1491.33 and 41-1491.34(C).
- G. Issue an Order authorizing the State to monitor Defendant's compliance with the ACRA;
 - H. Award the State its taxable costs incurred in bringing this action; and
- I. Grant such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper in the public interest.

DATED this 5th day of January, 2024.

KRISTIN K. MAYES Attorney General

Maura Hilser

Attorneys for the State