

Exhibit 218

From: [REDACTED]
To: [REDACTED]
Sent: Thu, 23 Jun 2016 03:08:03 +0000
Subject: Re: [REDACTED] privacy review
Cc: [REDACTED]

Ok, I will add another team for the second half.
[REDACTED]

On Wed, Jun 22, 2016 at 5:53 PM [REDACTED] wrote:

I don't think I'll need the whole hour, the group chat section is left.

On Wed, Jun 22, 2016 at 8:28 PM [REDACTED] wrote:

Hi guys, just want to get peoples opinion on whether we need the whole hour to continue this discussion?
[REDACTED]

On Mon, Jun 20, 2016 at 9:27 AM [REDACTED] wrote:

On it.

On Mon, Jun 20, 2016 at 7:50 AM [REDACTED] wrote:

[REDACTED] can you add [REDACTED] to the deep dive meeting this Friday so we can cover the group conversation features?

On Wed, Jun 15, 2016 at 8:42 PM [REDACTED] wrote:

On Wed, Jun 15, 2016 at 7:19 PM [REDACTED] wrote:

I think most of the relevant bugs I would have listed were all covered by bullets in those slides, so should be sufficient for starting the discussion.

Random musings off the top of my head:

[REDACTED]

This is notifications, I added a slide on it in the group section so we can talk about it

There is a concern that [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] For example, [REDACTED] is wanting to use [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Similarly, I'm sure we are [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

(Search normally stores precise locations for signed-in WAA users)

[REDACTED]

I think the intricacies of when to show preview warrants a lot of discussion. "The user must know what is being shared" sharing tenet should be applied pretty liberally.

Yep, it's complicated

It's also worth a lot of discussion on the group memory model. In theory it all sounds reasonable, but I imagine there are some crazy edge cases that are going to crop up.

It is indeed crazy. If you want more documentation, there's some here:

[REDACTED]

Looking forward to the discussion on Friday; it looks like [REDACTED] has come a long way recently!

Cheers,

[REDACTED]

On Wed, Jun 15, 2016 at 3:42 PM [REDACTED] wrote:

I'll be the one giving the overview. I have some mostly done slides here:

[REDACTED]

There's a less complete PDD, but I think the slides are a better place to start.

On Wed, Jun 15, 2016 at 6:26 PM [REDACTED] wrote:

The only thing I have at the moment is random bugs and thoughts going through my head, but I can try to aggregate some of it before the meeting and send it out.

Cheers

[REDACTED]

On Wed, Jun 15, 2016 at 3:19 PM [REDACTED] wrote:

Just updated the cal entry.

Do you have any links, slides, or does you want to share ahead of time so I can add them to the calendar and give people the chance to go over them ahead of time?

On Wed, Jun 15, 2016 at 2:02 PM, [REDACTED] wrote:

Nothing else on the docket so we can use the whole hour :-).

Regards,
[REDACTED]

On Tuesday, 14 June 2016, [REDACTED] wrote:

+1 to a full hour if possible.

On Tue, Jun 14, 2016 at 5:44 PM, [REDACTED] wrote:

Oh, and I'm not sure what else is on the docket, but it wouldn't surprise me if we needed the whole hour. There's a lot to cover.

On Mon, Jun 13, 2016 at 12:45 PM, [REDACTED] wrote:

Thanks [REDACTED] for bringing this up.

Hey [REDACTED]

Friday's 10am deep dive is typically a good time scheduling wise. I will add you to the invite for this Friday. If we end up needing to bring it forward, we can coordinate when the best time will be :-).

Regards,
[REDACTED]

On Monday, 13 June 2016, [REDACTED] wrote:


I'm happy to join in at Friday's pwg, or if there is another time that works for everyone this week, I'm happy to do it earlier if that helps.

Cheers,
[REDACTED]


On Mon, Jun 13, 2016 at 7:43 AM, [REDACTED] wrote:

Hi [REDACTED] the [REDACTED] launch is coming up, and I think it would be useful to have another privacy review sooner rather than later. Can we add it to this Friday's pwg-k deep dive?

--



--



--



--

