1 2	TERRY GODDARD Arizona Attorney General Firm State Bar No. 14000				
3 4	NANCY M. BONNELL, #016382 Antitrust Unit Chief SUSAN V. MYERS, #021949 Assistant Attorney General Antitrust Unit, Civil Division 1275 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007-2997				
5					
7	Telephone: (602) 542-7752 Facsimile: (602) 542-9088				
8	Attorneys for Plaintiff				
9	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA				
10	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA				
11					
12 13	STATE OF ARIZONA, ex rel. TERRY GODDARD, Attorney General,	Case No:			
14	Plaintiff,				
15	-VS-	CONSENT JUDGMENT WITH DEFENDANT TUCSON UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT			
16	TUCSON UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, an Arizona Political Subdivision; GUYTON				
17	CAMPBELL, an individual; RUDY FLORES, an individual; TRILLION				
18	PARTNERS, INC., a Delaware corporation; E-RATE CONSULTING SERVICES,				
19	L.L.C., a Georgia limited liability company; LOGICAL CHOICE TECHNOLOGIES,				
20	INC., a Georgia corporation; JOHN/JANE DOES 1-100; XYZ Corporations 1-100,				
21	Defendants.				
22					
23	TEL CLA CA				
24	The State of Arizona, through its Attorney General, filed its Complaint in this action				
25	on, acting on behalf of the general welfare and economy of the State. The Complaint alleges violations by the Tucson Unified School District, Guyton Campbell, Rudy				
26	ta in annual na sa annual na annual na sa annual	nitied School District Guyton Campbell Rudy			

Flores, Trillion Partners, Inc., E-Rate Consulting Services, L.L.C., and Logical Choice Technologies, Inc., of the Arizona Antitrust Act, A.R.S. § 44-1401 *et seq.*, the Arizona Conflict of Interest statutes, A.R.S. § 38-501 *et seq.*, the Arizona Procurement Code, A.R.S. § 41-2616, the Arizona Education Act, A.R.S. § 15-213, and the school procurement rules found in the Arizona Administrative Code, A.A.C. R7-2-1001 *et seq.*

To resolve this matter, Defendant, Tucson Unified School District and the State have agreed to the entry of this Consent Judgment setting forth the following findings and ordering the following relief.

THEREFORE, the Court finds as follows:

DEFINITIONS

Throughout this Consent Judgment, the following terms have the meanings indicated below:

- a. "Attorney General" or "AG" means the Arizona Attorney General and any duly authorized representative of the Office of the Attorney General, State of Arizona.
- b. "Board" or "Governing Board" means the members of the District's Governing Board.
- Auditor General in the Uniform System of Financial Records, promulgated pursuant to A.R.S. § 15-271, of informally soliciting bids or quotes, usually on the basis of price, for goods, services, materials, construction, or any other tangible or intangible thing where the amount expended is below a threshold dollar amount set by applicable procurement law. Competitive Purchasing also includes all functions that pertain to informal solicitations, including but not limited to identifying potential contractors, describing requirements or specifications, selecting contractors, and preparing and awarding contracts, work orders, and all

- other activities related to contracts to provide goods, services, materials, construction and tangible or intangible things.
- d. "Complaint" means the State's Complaint in this action.
- e. "Contract" means all types of agreements, including purchase orders, regardless of what they may be called, for the procurement of materials, services or construction, or the disposal of materials. "Contract" also means any agreement, understanding or meeting of the minds, irrespective of form, whether written, verbal or otherwise expressed or understood, and includes agreements implied in fact and in law.
- f. "Contractor" or "vendor" is any person who provides, and is paid for, goods, services, materials, construction or any other tangible or intangible thing to any government entity or political subdivision, and includes, but is not limited to, any person who has a contract with a school district. *See* A.A.C. R7-2-1001(16).
- g. "District" or "TUSD" means the Tucson Unified School District No. 1, a political subdivision of the State of Arizona.
- h. "Education Procurement Code" or "School Procurement Code" means those rules adopted by the Arizona State Board of Education pursuant to A.R.S. § 15-213, codified at A.A.C. R7-2-1001 *et seq*.
- "Ed. Tech." means the District's Educational Technology Department, part of the District's Department of Curriculum and Innovation.
- j. "Employee" means any person who is now or will become employed by the District in any capacity during the term of this Consent Judgment.
- k. "E-Rate" means the Schools and Libraries Program of the Universal Services Fund, which provides funding for schools and libraries to obtain affordable telecommunications and internet access.

- 1. "FAR" means the Federal Acquisition Regulations, including those which apply to purchases made through GSA contracts.
- m. "Goods" means personal property of any kind, other than services.
- n. "GSA" means the United States General Services Administration, a branch of the federal government.
- o. "Invitation for Bids" or "IFB" has that meaning set forth in A.A.C. R7-2-1001(46).
- p. "Person" means any natural person and any corporation, partnership, joint venture, formal or informal association, and any other legal entity.
- q. "Procurement" means the process of formally soliciting bids or quotes, such as by RFP, as set forth in the School Procurement Code, A.A.C. R7-2-1001 *et seq.*, for goods, services, materials, construction, or any other tangible or intangible thing where the amount expended is above a threshold dollar amount set by applicable procurement law. Procurement also includes all functions that pertain to formal solicitations, including but not limited to publishing notice, describing requirements or specifications, competitive sealed bidding, selecting contractors, and preparing and awarding contracts, work orders, all phases of contract administration, and all other activities related to contracts to provide goods, services, materials, construction and tangible or intangible things.
- r. "Request for Proposals" or "RFP" has that meaning set forth in A.A.C. R7-2-1001(73).
- s. "ROI" means Return on Investment and refers to the District's 2005-2006 Return on Investment Analysis for Voice Over Internet Protocol.
- t. "School District" means a political subdivision of this state with geographic boundaries organized for the purpose of the administration, support and maintenance of public schools or an accommodation school. A.R.S. § 15-101(21).

- u. "Services" means the furnishing of labor, time or effort by a contractor which does not involve delivery of a specific end-product other than required reports and performance. *See* A.A.C. R7-2-1001(79).
- v. "Solicitation" means a request for oral or written quotations as part of a competitive purchasing process.
- w. "State" means the State of Arizona, acting through its Attorney General.
- x. "TTS" means the District's Technology and Telecommunications Services

 Department.
- y. "USAC" means the Universal Services Administration Company, which administers the Universal Service Fund and provides E-Rate funding for schools and libraries.
- z. "USFR" means the Uniform System of Financial Records promulgated by the Arizona Auditor General pursuant to A.R.S. § 15-271(C).
- aa. "VoIP" and "VoIP Telephony" means voice over internet protocol, and refers to the transmission of voice over the internet, or more generally to using the internet to transmit telephone calls.
- bb. "WAN" and "WAN Services" means wide area network, or a network linking computers across a defined area, such as a school district, and may include wireless internet services.

FINDINGS

1. The causes of action alleged in this Complaint arose within Arizona. Three of the Defendants are corporations or limited liability companies that are incorporated or registered in other states and have their primary places of business without the state of Arizona. The Plaintiff is the State of Arizona. Therefore, venue in Maricopa County is proper for all claims pursuant to A.R.S. § 12-401(1) and § 12-401(17).

2. This Court has jurisdiction, pursuant to A.R.S. § 12-1801, § 44-1405 and A.R.S. § 15-213(G) over the subject matter of this action and over the parties stipulating to the entry of the Consent Judgment.

- 3. In late 2005, the Attorney General commenced an investigation of the purchasing and contracting activities of the District's TTS Department. In 2006, at the District's request, the Attorney General expanded that investigation to include the District's E-Rate related procurement activities. The investigation was further expanded in 2008 to include allegations of procurement violations and conflict of interest relating to the purchase of Promethean interactive whiteboards.
- 4. The Attorney General's investigation was conducted in accordance with and within the scope of its statutory authority.
 - 5. From 2004 through 2008, the District, based on the findings of the AG's investigation, engaged in activities not in accordance with the Uniform State Antitrust Act, A.R.S. § 44-1401 *et seq.*, A.R.S. Title 15, A.R.S. § 15-213, Arizona Conflict of Interest statutes, A.R.S. § 38-501 *et seq.*, the Arizona State Board of Education Rules and Regulations relating to educational procurement found at A.A.C. R7-2-1001 *et seq.*, the Arizona State Procurement Code, A.R.S. § 41-2616, the USFR requirements, or did not follow the District's own internal policies and procedures regarding vendor relations, procurement and contracting. Specifically, one or more District employees engaged in one or more of the following improper activities:
 - had improper contact and communication with prospective vendors before and during competitive purchasing and procurement processes;
 - provided access to personnel and information to selected prospective vendors resulting in unfair competition during competitive purchasing and procurement processes;
 - c. accepted gifts and gratuities from current and prospective vendors; and

1	d. circumvented the requirements of competitive purchasing, the school
2	procurement code and District policies by, without limitation, failing to obtain
3	the requisite number of oral or written quotes, permitting work to begin before
4	purchase orders were issued, and splitting purchases to avoid procurement
5	requirements.
6	6. To avoid the further expenditure of public funds in prosecuting and defending this
7	case, the State and the District desire to resolve without trial the civil liability of the

- 6. To avoid the further expenditure of public funds in prosecuting and defending this case, the State and the District desire to resolve without trial the civil liability of the District under A.R.S. § 15-213 and § 41-2616 relating to issues identified by the Attorney General in its report, dated January 13, 2009, and have consented to entry of this Consent Judgment.
- 7. Based on the foregoing, upon the Complaint, and upon the Stipulation to Entry of this Consent Judgment annexed below, the Court finds itself fully apprised.

NOW THEREFORE, it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

APPLICABILITY

8. The terms of this Consent Judgment shall apply to the District and its Board. So long as this Consent Judgment is in effect, the District shall be responsible to ensure that its Board and all Employees act in conformity with the terms of this Consent Judgment.

TERM OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

9. Unless otherwise indicated, this Consent Judgment shall be in full force and effect for thirty-six (36) months following its entry.

<u>PROVISIONS</u>

The following terms of the Consent Judgment will be effective immediately upon entry of the Judgment unless otherwise indicated:

10. The District, its Board, and Employees shall not engage in any conduct prohibited by the Uniform State Antitrust Act, A.R.S. § 44-1401 *et seq.*, the Arizona Procurement

Code, A.R.S. § 41-2616, the Arizona Education Act, A.R.S. § 15-213, the Education Procurement Code, A.A.C. R7-2-1001 *et seq.*, the Auditor General's Uniform System of Financial Records, or any other procurement rules or regulations adopted by the State for school districts.

- 11. The District and its employees shall refrain from accepting gifts and gratuities from vendors, including but not limited to meals, lodging and gift cards.
- 12. The District and its employees shall preserve all public records in accordance with A.R.S. § 39-121 *et seq*.
- 13. All future procurements of the District shall comply with applicable procurement laws and regulations and, at a minimum, shall meet the following requirements:
 - a. All procurements above the threshold amount, as set by applicable procurement law and District policies, shall be conducted by IFB or RFP and the procurement process shall strictly conform to the requirements set forth in the school procurement code, A.A.C. R7-2-1001 *et seq*.
 - b. All competitive purchasing below the threshold amount, as set by applicable procurement law and District policies, shall be conducted in strict accordance with the requirements of the USFR and District policies, and shall require a minimum of three oral or written price quotes before any contract is awarded.
 - c. No purchases shall be completed or work initiated until after a purchase order has been issued by the District's purchasing department.
 - d. Contact and communications, including but not limited to meetings, telephone calls, correspondence and e-mails, with vendors before the competitive purchasing or procurement process is initiated shall be kept to a minimum, meaning only such contact and communications as necessary for the routine course of business should occur. All contact and communications beyond said

- minimum contacts shall be documented on the appropriate disclosure form in the District's records.
- e. All contact and communications with vendors related to a new or ongoing procurements, including but not limited to meetings, telephone calls, correspondence and e-mails, during the procurement process shall be handled solely through the District's purchasing department and shall be documented in the relevant procurement file. Any such contact and communications with vendors during a new or ongoing procurement process by District employees other than those in the purchasing department shall be documented on the appropriate disclosure form in the District's records and in the relevant procurement file.
- 14. The District shall retrain its employees involved in vendor relations, public records, and competitive purchasing and procurement, including but not limited to any and all employees in the District's TTS and Ed. Tech. Departments who participate in competitive purchasing or procurements or who deal directly with vendors or prospective vendors, in compliance with conflict of interest, public records, procurement and antitrust laws, which training shall be completed no later than June 30, 2009. The District's plan for retraining its employees shall be submitted to the Attorney General for approval no later than March 31, 2009.
- 15. For all current employees who have or will have any contact with vendors or who have participated or will participate in any purchasing or procurement process, the District shall conduct an orientation to educate such employees as to this the terms and provisions of this Consent Judgment. The District shall further conduct ongoing orientations to educate any new employees who meet the criteria of this paragraph as to the terms and provisions of this Consent Judgment.

- 17. The District shall coordinate with the AG to competitively procure and hire, at the District's expense, an independent auditor for special audits of the District's vendor relations and procurement practices during the term of this Order. The scope of the special audits shall be approved by the AG and shall include, at a minimum, reviews of all competitive purchasing and procurement actions involving the District's TTS and Ed. Tech. Departments. Special audit reports verifying that the District's vendor relations, competitive purchasing and procurement practices, policies and procedures are properly administered and all findings and information gathered by the independent auditors shall be reported to the AG. The special audits will be conducted for six consecutive six-month periods beginning August 2009.
- 18. The District shall conduct yearly internal audits of its compliance with public records laws.
- 19. No later than January 1st of each year during the term of this Consent Judgment and at other times at the request of the Attorney General, the District shall submit to the AG evidence of compliance with the provisions of this Consent Judgment.
- 20. The District shall self-report any violation of Arizona procurement statutes or rules, conflict of interest statutes, antitrust statutes, this Consent Judgment, or the intentional destruction of public records to the AG within five (5) business days of discovery of any violation.
- 21. The District and its Board shall fully cooperate in the Attorney General's enforcement of the Consent Judgment and in any other investigation or civil or criminal action

Concerning any matter that has or may arise from any investigation by the Attorney General into any acts or practices of the District, its Board, or its Employees.

- 22. The Attorney General, the Auditor General or any independent auditor, on reasonable notice to the District, shall be permitted, subject to the reasonable convenience of the District, and without the District's restraint or interference:
 - a. Access during office hours to inspect and copy all books, ledgers, accounts, correspondence, memoranda and other records and documents in the possession or under the control of the District, its Board, and Employees, relating to any matters raised or that could have been raised in the Complaint or in this Consent Judgment; and
 - b. Access to interview Employees and agents of the District.
 - The determination of whether a matter is relevant to this section shall be in the sole discretion of the Attorney General or Auditor General. The requirements set forth in this paragraph are in addition to any requirements of A.R.S. § 41-2642.
- 23. Upon request of the AG, the District shall submit such written reports, under oath if requested, with respect to any of the matters contained in this Consent Judgment as may be identified in the request. This reporting requirement shall be in addition to the requirements set forth above in paragraphs 19 and 20.
- 24. Nothing contained herein shall limit the rights of the State pursuant to its civil investigative authority or the Attorney General's right to contest any claim of attorney-client or work-product privilege relating to any matter that has or may arise from any investigation by the Attorney General into any acts or practices of the District, its Board, or its Employees.
- 25. The Consent Judgment constitutes a full and complete release of the State and the Attorney General with respect to the claims set forth in the Complaint.

RELEASE AND SETTLEMENT OF CLAIMS

- 26. The payment and performance as provided herein shall be, upon completion, in settlement of all claims the State may have against the District arising out of the conduct that is the basis for the State's Complaint in this action.
- 27. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed to release or to confer any right whatsoever on any person other than the District.

REMEDY ON DEFAULT

- 28. In the event the District violates any provision of this Consent Judgment, the State may move this Court for an order finding the District in default, upon affidavits stating the factual grounds therefore.
- 29. Upon the Court's order finding the District in default, the Attorney General may exercise all remedies available at law or in equity for failure of the District to obey an order of the Court.

RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

30. Jurisdiction is retained by this Court for the purpose of enabling the State and the District to apply to the Court at any time for such further orders and directions as may be necessary or appropriate for the construction or implementation of any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment, for the enforcement or compliance herewith, and for the punishment of any violations hereof. Nothing in this provision shall give standing to any person not a party to this Consent Judgment to seek relief related to it.

AGREEMENT OF THE PARTIES

31. The State and the District have stipulated to entry of this Consent Judgment. All prior oral or written agreements, commitments or understandings with respect to the matters provided for herein are hereby set aside and no evidence of these shall be admissible in any proceeding for any purpose absent written consent of all parties to this Consent Judgment.

1		<u>HEADINGS</u>	
2	32.	Article headings contained in this Consent Judgment are inserted for convenience of	
3		reference only, and shall not be deemed to be part of this Consent Judgment for any	
4		purpose, and shall not in any way define or affect the meaning, construction or scop	
5		of any of the provisions of it.	
6		PUBLIC INTEREST	
7	33.	The Attorney General has determined entry of this Consent Judgment to be in the	
8		public interest.	
9			
10			
11		DATED this day of 2009.	
12			
13			
14		Indian Mariana County County County	
15		Judge, Maricopa County Superior Court	
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			

STIPULATION TO ENTRY OF CONSENT JUDGMENT

2	The Tucson Unified School District, by and through its Superintendent, and th		
3	Tucson Unified School District Governing Board, by and through its President, after having		
4			
5	had the opportunity to consult with legal counsel about the terms of this Consent Judgment		
6	and the Arizona Attorney General, on behalf of the State of Arizona, agree that the Consen		
7	Judgment may be entered by the Court forthwith.		
8	DATED this day of	2009.	
9		TUCSON UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	
10		TUCSON UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT	
11		Des Elicabeth Calcula Escare	
12		By Elizabeth Celania-Fagen Superintendent	
13			
14		TERRY GODDARD	
15		Attorney General	
16			
17		By Nancy M. Bonnell Assistant Attorney General	
18		•	
19	Approved by the Governing Board of the Tucson Unified School District by action taken the		
20	day of 2009.		
21	2007.		
22		Judy Burns, President	
23		•	
24	Approved by Counsel for Tucson Unified School District:		
25	Dated:		
26			