1 2 3 4	Terry Goddard Attorney General Firm Bar No. 14000 Robert A. Zumoff Assistant Attorney General State Bar No. 006517 1275 W. Washington Street			
5 6 7	Phoenix, Arizona 85007-2997 Telephone: (602) 542-7722 Fax: (602) 542-4377 Consumer@azag.gov Attorneys for the State of Arizona			
8				
9	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA			
10	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA			
11				
12	STATE OF ARIZONA, ex rel.)			
13	TERRY GODDARD, Attorney General,) $CV 2005 - 002236$			
14	Plaintiff,) No			
15)			
16	SCOTTSDALE SUZUKI)COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVESUPERSTORE, INC., an Arizona)AND FOR OTHER RELIEF			
17	Corporation,) (Unclassified Civil)			
18	Defendant.			
19)			
20	II BISDICTION AND VENUE			
21	JURISDICTION AND VENUE			
22	1. The State of Arizona brings this action pursuant to the Arizona Consumer			
23	Fraud Act, A.R.S. § 44-1521 et seq., to obtain restitution, injunctive relief, civil			
24 25	penalties, attorneys' fees and costs, investigative expenses and other relief to prevent the			
26	unlawful acts and practices alleged in this Complaint and to remedy the consequences of			
27	such unlawful practices.			
28	2. Venue is proper in Maricopa County, Arizona.			

1	
1	3. The Superior Court has jurisdiction to enter appropriate orders, both prior
2	to and following a determination of liability, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1528.
3	PARTIES
4	
5	4. Plaintiff is the State of Arizona, ex rel. Terry Goddard, who is authorized
6	to bring this action under the Arizona Consumer Fraud Act, A.R.S. § 44-1521 et seq.
7	5. Defendant Scottsdale Suzuki Superstore, Inc., doing business as Scottsdale
8	Suzuki Superstore and Scottsdale Suzuki (hereafter, "Scottsdale Suzuki"), is an Arizona
10	corporation that does business as a car dealership in Maricopa County, Arizona.
11	6. When reference is made to "Scottsdale Suzuki," it applies to Scottsdale
12	
13	Suzuki and to the actions of Scottsdale Suzuki's owners, officers, employees, agents and
14	independent contractors.
15	BACKGROUND
16	7. During the month of May 2004, Scottsdale Suzuki made misleading and
17	deceptive statements in television advertisements concerning a guaranteed minimum
18	trade-in for the purchase of new motor vehicles at its dealership in Tempe, Arizona.
19	
20	8. Scottsdale Suzuki has also deceptively placed information concerning
21	"dealer installed accessories and service charges" on the window stickers of new motor
22	vehicles, during the month of May 2004 and, on information and belief, at other times.
23 24	Television Advertisements:
25	9. Between May 3 and May 23, 2004, Scottsdale Suzuki ran an
26	
20	advertisement on KSAZ, Channel 10, in the Phoenix area as part of an advertising
27	
28	
	2
	II CONTRACTOR

1	campaign titled "Stackin' 'Em Deep." This advertisement appeared on the air 85 times			
2	during this period.			
4	10. Between May 24 and May 31, 2004, Scottsdale Suzuki ran a second			
5	advertisement on KSAZ, Channel 10, in the "Stackin' 'Em Deep" campaign. The			
6	second advertisement appeared 31 times during this period.			
7	11. In one segment of the first advertisement a video display appeared as			
8 9	follows:			
10	PUSH, PULL TUG OR TOW YOUR TRADE			
11	\$5000			
12	MINIMUM			
13 14	Below this display, the following statement briefly appeared in much smaller			
15	print:			
16	"On Vehicles in Display Area Only"			
17	Accompanying the video display is the following audio statement:			
18	"And exclusive of those [previously described] offers we'll			
19 20	guarantee you \$5000 minimum for your trade."			
21	12. Scottsdale Suzuki ran a second similar advertisement for the Memorial			
22	Day weekend. One segment of that advertisement had a video display as follows:			
23	PUSH, PULL TUG OR TOW YOUR TRADE			
24 25	\$6000			
26	MINIMUM			
27				
28				
	3			

.....

Below this display the following statement appeared in much smaller print: "On vehicles in Display Area only. Cannot be combined with any other advertised offer. See Tribune for details"

An accompanying audio portion announced "We'll guarantee you \$6000
minimum for your trade."

7
13. On information and belief, the only further reference for Scottsdale
9
9
9
9
9
10 of two Tribune newspaper advertisements. The reference read: "5,000 minimum trade
11 on display area only."

12 14. In fact, the only vehicles for which Scottsdale Suzuki would accept the
13 advertised minimum trade were four or five used vehicles in Scottsdale Suzuki's
15 designated "Display Area."

16 15. On or about May 17, 2004, a consumer purchased a new Suzuki 2004
17 Forenza, stock number 40151, from Scottsdale Suzuki. The purchase price included a
18 trade-in for her used vehicle. Although the consumer shopped at Scottsdale Suzuki
20 based on her having seen the television advertisement for \$5,000 minimum trade, she
21 was told that the offer only applied to used vehicle purchases and she did not receive
22 \$5,000 for her trade-in.

23 24

1

2

3

4

Dealer Installed Accessories Listed on Window Stickers:

16. The Suzuki Forenza that the consumer, described in paragraph 15,
purchased from Scottsdale Suzuki had a sticker on the window listing a manufacturer's
suggested retail price of \$14,499, including a \$500 destination and handling charge.

1	17.	The Suzuki Forenza also had a second window sticker with the title			
2		"DEALER INSTALLED ACCESSORIES & SERVICE CHARGES."			
3					
4	18.	The second window sticker contained the following entries:			
5		Price Brought Forward \$14,499			
6		THEFT GUARD 799			
7		D.P.P. 799			
8		TINT 299			
9		PIN STRIPE 199			
10	Spart and the second				
11		TOTAL DEALERS \$16,595			
12		SUGGESTED RETAIL PRICE			
13	19.	The consumer agreed on a purchase price with Scottsdale Suzuki based on			
14	her understanding that the Suzuki Forenza she was purchasing included all of the dealer				
15	installed accessories and service charges listed on the second window sticker.				
16	20.	On May 18, 2004, the day after she purchased the vehicle the consumer			
17	y signed and any mark one parenable and temete and consumer				
18	realized that her new Suzuki Forenza did not have pin stripes. When questioned about it,				
19	Scottsdale Suzuki admitted that the dealer installed accessories and service charges listed				
20	on the windo	ow sticker had not been added on to her new Forenza.			
21	21.	On information and belief, Scottsdale Suzuki places similar "Dealer			
22					
23	Installed Accessories and Service Charges" stickers on many of its new vehicles offered				
24	for sale.				
25	Arizona Consumer Fraud Act, A.R.S. § 44-1521 et seq.:				
26	22.				
27					
28	The a	act, use, or employment by any person of any deception, deceptive act or			

practice, fraud, false pretense, false promise, misrepresentation, or concealment, suppression or omission of any material fact with intent that others rely upon such concealment, suppression or omission, in connection with the sale or advertisement of any merchandise whether or not any person has in fact been misled, deceived, or damaged thereby, is declared to be an unlawful practice.

VIOLATIONS OF THE CONSUMER FRAUD ACT

9 23. During the month of May 2004, with respect to its television advertising,
10 and for an unknown period, including at least the month of May-2004, vith respect to its
11 dealer installed accessory stickers, Scottsdale Suzuki has violated the Consumer Fraud
12 Act, A.R.S. § 44-1521 et seq.

Scottsdale Suzuki engaged in deceptive advertising, offering consumers a
\$5,000 or a \$6,000 minimum trade in toward the purchase of a vehicle, when in fact,
that offer extended to only four or five used cars in Scottsdale Suzuki's "Display Area."

Scottsdale Suzuki placed window stickers on new vehicles that
deceptively implied that the listed accessories had been installed and the listed
services had been performed, when in fact, these were only suggested options that a
consumer could purchase for a separately negotiated price.

22

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

13

WILFUL VIOLATIONS OF THE CONSUMER FRAUD ACT

24 26. With regard to the foregoing violations, Scottsdale Suzuki knew or
25 should have known that the above acts and practices violated the Consumer Fraud
26 Act, and those violations were, therefore, willful within the meaning of A.R.S. § 4427 1531(A).

1	RELIEF REQUESTED			
2	WHEREFORE, the State respectfully requests that the Court:			
3 4	1. Prohibit Defendant, Scottsdale Suzuki Superstore, Inc, an Arizona			
5	corporation, doing business as Scottsdale Suzuki Superstore and as Scottsdale Suzuki			
6	from violating the Consumer Fraud Act, A.R.S. § 44-1521 et seq.			
7 8	2. Prohibit Defendant and all persons in active concert or participation with			
9	Defendant from engaging in the course of conduct alleged herein as a violation of			
10	A.R.S. § 44-1522(A).			
11	3. Order Defendant to restore to all persons any money or property, real or			
12	personal, that was acquired by any means or practice alleged herein to be in violation of			
13 14	A.R.S. § 44-1522(A) as deemed proper by the Court pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1528.			
15	4. Order Defendant to pay the State of Arizona a civil penalty of \$10,000 for			
16	each violation of the Consumer Fraud Act pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1531.			
17 18	5. Order Defendant to reimburse the Attorney General for costs of investigation			
19	and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1534.			
20	6. Order such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.			
21	DATED this 8th day of February, 2005.			
22	TERRY GODDARD			
23	Attorney General			
24				
25	BY: Rhttl			
26	Robert A. Zumoff Senior Litigation Counsel			
27	#885511			
28				