Jennie Johnson See eSignature Page Filing ID 1419544 8/28/2012 11:16:18 AM Thomas C. Horne 1 Attorney General 2 (Firm State Bar No. 14000) CHERIE L. HOWE 3 Assistant Attorney General State Bar No. 013878 Office of the Attorney General 4 1275 West Washington Street 5 Phoenix, AZ 85007-2926 Telephone: (602) 542-7725 6 Facsimile: (602) 542-4377 consumer@azag.gov 7 Attorneys for the State of Arizona 8 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA 9 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA 10 STATE OF ARIZONA, ex rel. THOMAS C. Case No.: CV2012-003966 HORNE, Attorney General, 11 STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT Plaintiff, AS TO DEFENDANT THE MORTGAGE LAW GROUP, LLP, a/k/a THE LAW 12 FIRM OF MACY, ALEMAN & SEARNS VS. 13 THE MORTGAGE LAW GROUP, LLP, a 14 foreign limited liability partnership, also known as the law firm of MACEY, ALEMAN & Assigned to the Hon. Arthur Anderson 15 SEARNS, and UNDERWATER PROPERTY SOLUTIONS, LLC, an Arizona limited liability 16 company, 17 Defendants. 18 19 The State of Arizona ("State"), having filed a complaint alleging violations of the 20

Michael K. Jeanes, Clerk of Court
*** Electronically Filed ***

The State of Arizona ("State"), having filed a complaint alleging violations of the Arizona Consumer Fraud Act, Arizona Revised Statutes ("A.R.S.") § 44-1521, et seq., and Defendant The Mortgage Law Group, LLP ("TMLG") having been fully advised of the right to a trial in this matter and having waived the same, admits that this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter and the parties for purposes of entry of this Consent Judgment and acknowledges that this Court retains jurisdiction for the purpose of enforcing this Consent Judgment.

26 | ///

21

22

23

24

25

Granted ***

matters in dispute.

TMLG for any purpose of any violation of any state law, rule or regulation nor does this Consent Judgment constitute evidence of any liability of Defendant TMLG. This Consent Judgment is made without trial or adjudication of any issues of fact or law or finding of liability of any kind.

PARTIES

Defendant TMLG has agreed to a voluntary compromise of disputed claims, and the

Defendant TMLG denies the State's claims in its complaint that it violated the Arizona

State of Arizona and Defendant TMLG have agreed on a basis for the settlement of these

Consumer Fraud Act. This Consent Judgment does not constitute an admission by Defendant

- 1. The Plaintiff is the State of Arizona, ex rel. Thomas C. Horne, Attorney General, who is authorized to bring this action under the Arizona Consumer Fraud Act, A.R.S. § 44-1521, et seq.
- 2. Defendant The Mortgage Law Group, LLP is a Nevada limited liability partnership with its principal place of business in Chicago, Illinois. TMLG also does business as the law firm of Macey, Aleman & Searns.

ORDER

- 3. The injunctive terms of this Order shall apply to TMLG as well as any entity controlled by TMLG or any successor entity or entities, whether by acquisition, merger or otherwise, to the extent those entities are operating a business involving originating, closing, or modifying any term of a consumer's mortgage loan or obtaining principal reductions on a consumer's debt, of any kind, whether such activities are performed in the entity's own name or through or on behalf of a third party. The injunctive terms of this Judgment do not apply to TMLG's members other than to the extent that such members are acting on behalf of TMLG.
- 4. Defendant TMLG shall comply with the Arizona Consumer Fraud Act, A.R.S. § 44-1521 *et seq.*, as it is currently written and as it may be amended.

22

23

24

25

26

- 5. Defendant TMLG shall not engage in any activity, whether such activities are performed in the entity's own name or through or on behalf of a third party, that involves originating, closing, or modifying any term of a consumer's mortgage loan, or obtaining a principal reduction on a consumer's debt, of any kind, while in the State of Arizona or on behalf of any Arizona consumer.
- 6. Notwithstanding paragraph five herein, TMLG shall be permitted to continue working on any Arizona consumer file that it began work on before the date this Consent Judgment is approved by the Court.
- 7. Without admitting any liability and solely to resolve this matter without the fees, expenses, and risks of litigation, Defendant TMLG shall pay the Attorney General's Office the sum of thirty-nine thousand two hundred and eighty dollars (\$39,280) as consumer restitution, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1528, to be deposited into an interest bearing trust account and distributed to eligible consumers by the Attorney General's Office. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, "eligible consumers" mean consumers who filed a complaint with the Arizona Attorney General's Office before the date this Consent Judgment is submitted to the Court for its approval and whose complaint arose as a result of the consumer contracting with Defendant TMLG for mortgage loan modification services and who are not otherwise entitled to restitution pursuant to the State's Consent Judgment with co-defendant Underwater Property Solutions, LLC. In the event the amount ordered as restitution herein is not sufficient to fully restore eligible consumers the amounts they paid to TMLG for mortgage modification services, the amount shall be distributed to them on a pro rata basis. In the event that any portion of the restitution ordered herein cannot be distributed to eligible consumers, such portion shall be deposited by the Attorney General into the consumer protectionconsumer fraud revolving fund in accordance with A.R.S. § 44-1531.01 and used for the purposes specified therein.
 - 8. Without admitting any liability and solely to resolve this matter without the fees,

expenses, and risks of litigation, Defendant TMLG shall pay the shall pay the Attorney General's Office sixty thousand dollars (\$60,000) as fees and costs, pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1534, and said amount shall be deposited by the Attorney General into the consumer protection—consumer fraud revolving fund in accordance with A.R.S. § 44-1531.01 and used for the purposes specified therein.

9. The payments ordered herein (collectively totaling ninety-nine thousand two hundred and eighty dollars (\$99,280), shall be made payable to the Office of the Arizona Attorney General in three payments according to the following schedule:

1st payment of thirty-three thousand ninety-three dollars and thirty-three cents (\$33,093.33), due within ten (10) days from the date this Consent Judgment is approved by the Court;

2nd payment of thirty-three thousand ninety-three dollars and thirty-three cents (\$33,093.33), due within fifty (50) days from the date this Consent Judgment is approved by the Court;

3rd payment of thirty-three thousand ninety-three dollars and thirty-three cents (\$33,093.33), due within ninety (90) days from the date this Consent Judgment is approved by the Court

The amounts ordered herein shall be distributed by the Attorney General's Office first as restitution, then as costs and fees.

- 10. In the event of a default of any payment obligation imposed by this Consent Judgment, and in addition to any other relief or remedy elected or pursued by the State, all payments set forth herein shall be accelerated and shall become due and owing in their entirety as of the date of the default, with interest accruing at the rate of ten percent (10%) per annum for the full amount owing as of that date.
- 11. Defendant TMLG shall not represent or imply that the Attorney General, the State of Arizona, or any agency thereof has approved any of its actions or its past, present or

future business practices, and TMLG is enjoined from directly or indirectly representing anything to the contrary.

- 12. This Court retains jurisdiction of this matter for the purposes of entertaining an application by Plaintiff, State of Arizona, for the enforcement of this judgment.
- 13. This Consent Judgment may be modified or vacated by order of this Court. After providing at least thirty (30) days written notice and after making a good faith effort to obtain concurrence of the other party for the requested order to modify or vacate, which concurrence shall not be unreasonably withheld, the party seeking an order to modify or vacate may petition this Court therefore. The Court will modify or vacate this Consent Judgment upon a showing of good cause.
- 14. Before initiating any proceeding to enforce this Consent Judgment, the Attorney General shall provide at least thirty (30) days written notice to Defendant TMLG to provide it a reasonable opportunity to cure any alleged violation. Whenever possible, the parties shall seek to resolve an alleged violation of this Consent Judgment by discussion. In addition, in determining whether to enforce this Consent Judgment or to seek an order for monetary, civil contempt, or any other relief or sanction, the Attorney General shall give good faith consideration to whether Defendant TMLG has taken corrective action designed to cause the claimed violation to be cured and to prevent future occurrences.
- 15. The State acknowledges by its execution hereof that this Consent Judgment constitutes a complete settlement of its allegations against Defendant TMLG and it agrees that it shall not institute any additional civil action against TMLG, or TMLG's members, managers, employees or agents, based on TMLG's alleged violations of the Arizona Consumer Fraud Act, as described in the State's Complaint.
- 16. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the State may institute an action or proceeding to enforce the terms and provisions of this Consent Judgment or to take action based on future conduct by Defendant TMLG, its owners, managers, employees or agents.

17. This Consent Judgment is entered as a result of a compromise and a settlement agreement between the parties. Only the parties to this action may seek enforcement of this Consent Judgment. Nothing herein is intended to create a private right of action by other parties. This Consent Judgment shall not limit the rights of any private party to pursue 18. any remedies allowed by law. DATED this ______ day of _______, 2012. Judge of the Superior Court

11 12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 ///

25 ///

26

CONSENT TO JUDGMENT

- 1. Defendant TMLG states that no promise of any kind or nature whatsoever was made to it to induce it to enter into this Consent Judgment and that it has entered into the Consent Judgment voluntarily.
- 2. Defendant TMLG has fully read and understands this Consent Judgment, understands the legal consequences involved in signing it, asserts that this is the entire agreement of the parties, and that there are no other representations or agreements not stated in writing herein, and no force, threats, or coercion of any kind have been used to obtain its representative's signature.
- 3. Notwithstanding paragraph fifteen of this Consent Judgment, wherein the State acknowledges that this Judgment constitutes a complete settlement of its allegations against Defendant TMLG and TMLG's members based on TMLG's alleged violations of the Arizona Consumer Fraud Act as described in the State's Complaint, Defendant TMLG acknowledges that Plaintiff's, State of Arizona's, acceptance of this Consent Judgment is solely for the purpose of settling this litigation and does not preclude the Plaintiff, or any other agency or officer of this State, or subdivision thereof, from instituting other civil or criminal proceedings as may be appropriate now or in the future.

///

///

/// ///

///

///

///

- 1	
1	4. Defendant TMLG acknowledges that the person signing this Consent Judgment
2	on its behalf is duly authorized to do so.
3	DATED this 17th day of Ayout, 2012.
4	The Mortgage Law Group, LLP
5	
6	By:
7	
8	APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT:
9	Thomas C. Horne Attorney General Robby H. Birnbaum Greenspoon Marder, P.A.
10	Attorney General Greenspoon Marder, P.A.
11	By: Chilline Mynz
12	Cherie L. Howe Rolby H. Birnbaum Assistant Attorney General Counsel for Defendant The
13	Assistant Attorney General Counsel for Defendant The Counsel for Plaintiff Mortgage Law Group, LLP oul Juiltel in Floria
14	only . Junted in Honda
15	#2617620v2
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
ha ha	

Granted

Signed on this day, August 28, 2012



/S/ Arthur Anderson
Judicial Officer of Superior Court