1 2	TERRY GODDARD Attorney General Firm Bar No. 14000				
3 4 5 6 7 8	NOREEN R. MATTS Assistant Attorney General State Bar No. #010363 Consumer Protection & Advocacy Sectio 400 W. Congress, South Bldg., Suite 315 Tucson, Arizona 85701-1367 Telephone: (520) 628-6504 Pima County Computer No. 36732				
8 9	Attorneys for Plaintiff				
10					
11	COUNTY	OF PIMA			
12	State of Arizona, ex rel. Terry Goddard,	No			
13	Attorney General,	COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE AND OTHER RELIEF			
14	Plaintiff, vs.	(Unclassified Civil)			
15	Deed and Note Traders, L.L.C.,				
16	Defendant.				
17 18					
10	JURISDI	CTION AND VENUE			
20		ings this action pursuant to the Arizona			
21		<i>et seq</i> ., to obtain restitution, injunctive relief,			
22		s, investigative expenses and other relief to			
23		alleged in this Complaint and to remedy the			
24	consequences of such unlawful practices				
25	2. Venue is proper in Pima Co				
26		sdiction to enter appropriate orders both prior			
27 28					
20	to and following a determination of liabilit	y puisuani io a.r.j. 8 44-1528.			

1	PARTIES
2	4. Plaintiff is the State of Arizona, ex rel. Terry Goddard, who is authorized
3	to bring this action under the Arizona Consumer Fraud Act, A.R.S. § 44-1521 et seq.
4	5. Defendant, Deed and Note Traders, LLC, is an Arizona limited liability
5	company. David A. Kinas and The David A. Kinas Exempt Trust are each 50%
6 7	members.
8	6. When reference is made to Deed and Note Traders, LLC, the reference
9	
	applies to DNT, its members, David A. Kinas and The David A. Kinas Exempt Trust
10	and all officers, managers, employees, independent contractors and agents of DNT
11 12	(referred to collectively as "DNT").
12	ALLEGATIONS
13 14	Foreclosure Assistance
	7. DNT's "HomeSavers" program offered consumers facing foreclosure a
1.21	
15 16	
13 16 17	purportedly simple way to save their homes. Consumers would sell their homes to
16	purportedly simple way to save their homes. Consumers would sell their homes to DNT; rent back their former homes from DNT; and, after approximately two years, re-
16 17	purportedly simple way to save their homes. Consumers would sell their homes to DNT; rent back their former homes from DNT; and, after approximately two years, re- purchase their homes from DNT. DNT's HomeSavers program was deceptive.
16 17 18	purportedly simple way to save their homes. Consumers would sell their homes to DNT; rent back their former homes from DNT; and, after approximately two years, re-
16 17 18 19	purportedly simple way to save their homes. Consumers would sell their homes to DNT; rent back their former homes from DNT; and, after approximately two years, re- purchase their homes from DNT. DNT's HomeSavers program was deceptive.
16 17 18 19 20	purportedly simple way to save their homes. Consumers would sell their homes to DNT; rent back their former homes from DNT; and, after approximately two years, repurchase their homes from DNT. DNT's HomeSavers program was deceptive. Consumers did sell their homes to DNT for a <i>de minimus</i> amount; consumers paid
 16 17 18 19 20 21 	purportedly simple way to save their homes. Consumers would sell their homes to DNT; rent back their former homes from DNT; and, after approximately two years, repurchase their homes from DNT. DNT's HomeSavers program was deceptive. Consumers did sell their homes to DNT for a <i>de minimus</i> amount; consumers paid exorbitant rent to DNT until they could not pay one more month's rent; and, DNT then
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 	purportedly simple way to save their homes. Consumers would sell their homes to DNT; rent back their former homes from DNT; and, after approximately two years, repurchase their homes from DNT. DNT's HomeSavers program was deceptive. Consumers did sell their homes to DNT for a <i>de minimus</i> amount; consumers paid exorbitant rent to DNT until they could not pay one more month's rent; and, DNT then evicted the consumers from their homes.
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	purportedly simple way to save their homes. Consumers would sell their homes to DNT; rent back their former homes from DNT; and, after approximately two years, re- purchase their homes from DNT. DNT's HomeSavers program was deceptive. Consumers did sell their homes to DNT for a <i>de minimus</i> amount; consumers paid exorbitant rent to DNT until they could not pay one more month's rent; and, DNT then evicted the consumers from their homes. 8. Among other matters, beginning in as early as 1998, DNT began
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	purportedly simple way to save their homes. Consumers would sell their homes to DNT; rent back their former homes from DNT; and, after approximately two years, re- purchase their homes from DNT. DNT's HomeSavers program was deceptive. Consumers did sell their homes to DNT for a <i>de minimus</i> amount; consumers paid exorbitant rent to DNT until they could not pay one more month's rent; and, DNT then evicted the consumers from their homes. 8. Among other matters, beginning in as early as 1998, DNT began advertising "HomeSavers Foundation of Arizona" by letter and through flyers, over the internet, and through direct contact with homeowners. At some point before April,
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	purportedly simple way to save their homes. Consumers would sell their homes to DNT; rent back their former homes from DNT; and, after approximately two years, re- purchase their homes from DNT. DNT's HomeSavers program was deceptive. Consumers did sell their homes to DNT for a <i>de minimus</i> amount; consumers paid exorbitant rent to DNT until they could not pay one more month's rent; and, DNT then evicted the consumers from their homes. 8. Among other matters, beginning in as early as 1998, DNT began advertising "HomeSavers Foundation of Arizona" by letter and through flyers, over the internet, and through direct contact with homeowners. At some point before April, 2003, DNT dropped "Foundation" from its name and became "HomeSavers, A Division
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	purportedly simple way to save their homes. Consumers would sell their homes to DNT; rent back their former homes from DNT; and, after approximately two years, re- purchase their homes from DNT. DNT's HomeSavers program was deceptive. Consumers did sell their homes to DNT for a <i>de minimus</i> amount; consumers paid exorbitant rent to DNT until they could not pay one more month's rent; and, DNT then evicted the consumers from their homes. 8. Among other matters, beginning in as early as 1998, DNT began advertising "HomeSavers Foundation of Arizona" by letter and through flyers, over the internet, and through direct contact with homeowners. At some point before April,

I

1	9.	DNT's various advertisements and letters to consumers explained the		
2	HomeSavers	program. For example, but without limitation, the following are DNT's		
3	HomeSavers messages:			
4		a. June, 1998: [HomeSavers] LETTER OF APPROVAL		
5				
6		Thank you for your interest in HomeSavers Foundation of Arizona (HSF) . We are pleased to inform you that after our initial		
7		research and review, <u>you have been approved</u> for our Level One Foreclosure Assistance Program [L-1-FAP]. The following is an		
8		overview of the program Upon your acceptance and approval, HSF will:		
9		1 Day Off In Full all parties who have light on the property		
10		1. Pay-Off In-Full <i>all parties</i> who have liens on the property. <u>All of your debts on the property will be PAID IN FULL and</u>		
11		2. STOP THE FORECLOSURE!		
12		 Salvage your credit. Give you CASH. 		
13		 Allow you to re-purchase property via LEASE OPTION AGREEMENT. 		
14		6. Give you <u>2 Months Payment FREE</u> should you wish the		
15		LEASE OPTION AGREEMENT.7. Pay 100% of all closing costs.		
16		(Emphases original.)		
17		b. May, 2001: HomeSavers Foundation of Arizona		
18		SOLUTIONS TO FINANCIAL TROUBLES		
19		I've sent this letter to you to let you know we can still help you out of your unfortunate situation.		
20		•		
21		HomeSaver's "Fresh Start" PROGRAM – Sell your home to us and BUY IT BACK – <u>YOU DON'T HAVE TO MOVE</u> . Regardless		
22		of your credit rating, foreclosures or even bankruptcies, we will provide you with the following options:		
23		Buy it back via a Lease Purchase Agreement		
24		 Rent it back We are a local company with a track record that 		
25		includes the completion of over 200 properties here in the Tucson area.		
26		(Emphases original.)		
27		c. August, 2003: HomeSavers (A Division of D.N.T.)		
28		FREE CONSULTATION WITH A FORECLOSURE SPECIALIST		
		3		

1	Dear Head of Family:
2	Public records show that a foreclosure has already started on this
3	property [sic] therefore we have to move quickly. But, since I don't have your telephone number, you'll have to call me! <u>ALL OF OUR</u>
4	DISCUSSIONS ARE STRICTLY CONFIDENTIAL.
5	Today, more and more people are finding themselves facing
6	foreclosure against their home [sic]. Many of these people, like yourself [sic] <u>don't know what to do</u> or what rights they have
7	regarding a foreclosure. This is why HomeSavers offers FREE FORECLOSURE CONSULTATIONS with a FORECLOSURE
8	SPECIALIST, who <i>knows</i> the "In's and Out's" [sic] of foreclosures.
9	I have been personally involved in stopping or postponing
10	thousands [sic] foreclosures here in Tucson alone (Emphases original.)
11	d. February, 2004: [HomeSavers] LAST MINUTE SOLUTIONS
12	TO PENDING FORECLOSURE SALE
13	 HOMESAVER'S "FRESH START" PROGRAM - Sell your home
14	to us and BUY IT BACK LATER – <u>YOU DON'T HAVE TO MOVE.</u> Regardless or your credit rating , this program has the following
15	options:
16	MOST POPULAR PROGRAMS IN PIMA COUNTY
17	A. Rent It Back Either with or Without a Repurchase Option
18	Avoid Realtor [sic] commissions and traditional seller
19	closing costs by dealing directly with HomeSavers (buyers). We will pay All [sic] closing, title, loan, and
20	recording fees.
21	 Keep you and your family under the same roof top (same schools for your children).
22	Enjoy immediate CASH for Selling [sic] us this property.
23	Enjoy a trash new monthly navment to HomeSavers for
	Enjoy a fresh new monthly payment to HomeSavers for continued residency in this property.
24	
	continued residency in this property. (Emphases original.) e. March, 2006: [HomeSavers] IDEAS TO ASSIST FAMILIES
24	continued residency in this property. (Emphases original.) e. March, 2006: [HomeSavers] IDEAS TO ASSIST FAMILIES FACING FORECLOSURE:
24 25	continued residency in this property. (Emphases original.) e. March, 2006: [HomeSavers] IDEAS TO ASSIST FAMILIES FACING FORECLOSURE: Reinstate the Loan on your own Use of savings planned for retirement and/or emergency
24 25 26	continued residency in this property. (Emphases original.) e. March, 2006: [HomeSavers] IDEAS TO ASSIST FAMILIES FACING FORECLOSURE: Reinstate the Loan on your own

I	
1 2	Borrow against Life insurance policy Borrow against other assets (car, boat, RV) Apply for low interest credit card
3	Seek help from charity, or your church
4	Seek overtime work and/or side job
5	Request an IRS rapid refund on your taxes Sell other assets to generate cash. Place your ad in TNI.
6	Attempt a work-out a [sic] solution with your lender:
7	Make a written request for partial payment offer. See if they would agree to negative amort [sic]. Arrears.
8	Ask them for a 30, 60-days extra to list and sell the house.
9	HOMESAVERS of Tucson – Services We Offer.
10	Refinance your present Loan(s
11	Borrow on subject property (junior lien) Sell and Relocate
12	Ask HomeSavers about other available house [sic] in stock (trade-
13	in your house) Ask HomeSavers for an AS IS CASH OFFER (avoid realtor fees)
14	Sell and Stay:
15	Ask HomeSavers about their special SALE-LEASE BACK PROGRAM
16	Play the lottery Last [sic] minute, [sic] (Not a recommended measure)
TOL	
	(Emphases original.)
17 17 18	
17	(Emphases original.)
17 18	(Emphases original.) 10. The solicitations set out in Paragraph No. 9 above were deceptive. The
17 18 19	(Emphases original.) 10. The solicitations set out in Paragraph No. 9 above were deceptive. The consumers whom DNT targeted could not reinstate their loans through any of DNT's
 17 18 19 20 21 22 	(Emphases original.) 10. The solicitations set out in Paragraph No. 9 above were deceptive. The consumers whom DNT targeted could not reinstate their loans through any of DNT's purported "Ideas." For example, consumers facing foreclosure could not do the
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	 (Emphases original.) 10. The solicitations set out in Paragraph No. 9 above were deceptive. The consumers whom DNT targeted could not reinstate their loans through any of DNT's purported "Ideas." For example, consumers facing foreclosure could not do the following: use their savings planned for retirement and/or emergency as they
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	 (Emphases original.) 10. The solicitations set out in Paragraph No. 9 above were deceptive. The consumers whom DNT targeted could not reinstate their loans through any of DNT's purported "Ideas." For example, consumers facing foreclosure could not do the following: a. Use their savings planned for retirement and/or emergency as they did not have savings or had exhausted their savings.
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	 (Emphases original.) 10. The solicitations set out in Paragraph No. 9 above were deceptive. The consumers whom DNT targeted could not reinstate their loans through any of DNT's purported "Ideas." For example, consumers facing foreclosure could not do the following: a. Use their savings planned for retirement and/or emergency as they did not have savings or had exhausted their savings. b. Borrow against 401k plan as they had no 401(k) plans.
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	 (Emphases original.) 10. The solicitations set out in Paragraph No. 9 above were deceptive. The consumers whom DNT targeted could not reinstate their loans through any of DNT's purported "Ideas." For example, consumers facing foreclosure could not do the following: a. Use their savings planned for retirement and/or emergency as they did not have savings or had exhausted their savings.
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 	 (Emphases original.) 10. The solicitations set out in Paragraph No. 9 above were deceptive. The consumers whom DNT targeted could not reinstate their loans through any of DNT's purported "Ideas." For example, consumers facing foreclosure could not do the following: a. Use their savings planned for retirement and/or emergency as they did not have savings or had exhausted their savings. b. Borrow against 401k plan as they had no 401(k) plans.
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	 (Emphases original.) 10. The solicitations set out in Paragraph No. 9 above were deceptive. The consumers whom DNT targeted could not reinstate their loans through any of DNT's purported "Ideas." For example, consumers facing foreclosure could not do the following: a. Use their savings planned for retirement and/or emergency as they did not have savings or had exhausted their savings. b. Borrow against 401k plan as they had no 401(k) plans. c. Borrow against Life insurance policy as they had none.
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 	 (Emphases original.) 10. The solicitations set out in Paragraph No. 9 above were deceptive. The consumers whom DNT targeted could not reinstate their loans through any of DNT's purported "Ideas." For example, consumers facing foreclosure could not do the following: a. Use their savings planned for retirement and/or emergency as they did not have savings or had exhausted their savings. b. Borrow against 401k plan as they had no 401(k) plans. c. Borrow against Life insurance policy as they had none. d. Borrow against other assets (car, boat, RV): while consumers may

1		e.	Apply for a low interest credit card: consumers could not qualify
2			for any credit card, especially a low interest one.
3	11.	The	following "services" that DNT offered to consumers facing imminent
4	foreclosure	were a	also deceptive as there was no possibility that consumers could do
5	any of the fo		
6			
7		a.	Refinance your present Loan(s): Seek new financing from another
8			source, thus lowering monthly payment.
9		b.	Borrow on subject property (junior lien): Seek new junior lien from
10			another source. Normally will increase monthly payment.
11		C.	Sell and Relocate: Interview realtors for listing house and sale
12			concepts.
13	12.	A no	ssible solution for consumers facing foreclosure to consider was to
14			
15	file bankrup	tcy, bu	t this was not one of DNT's suggestions or services.
16	13.	DNT	set up the HomeSavers promotion to give consumers only one
17	option: Hor	neSave	ers "Special SALE-LEASE BACK PROGRAM."
18	14.	DNT'	s HomeSavers program was deceptive and misleading as DNT did
19 20	not "save" c	onsum	ers' homes.
20 21	15.	Spec	ifically, DNT's HomeSavers Program worked as follows:
22		a.	DNT targeted consumers facing foreclosure who had a certain
23			amount of equity in their homes.
24		b.	DNT convinced consumers that the only way to save their homes
25			was to transfer title to DNT, usually via a warranty deed.
26		C.	DNT then reinstated consumers' mortgages by paying the amount
27		0.	
28			of the consumers' arrearages. Within a year, DNT usually paid off
			6
	1		

1		the mortgages. This permitted DNT, for example, to acquire one
2		consumer's home, worth \$52,000, for \$31,000; a second
3		consumer's home, worth \$63,900, for \$33,000; and a third
4		
5		consumer's home, worth \$152,000, for \$100,000.
6	d.	DNT's offer to consumers that they would receive "immediate
7		CASH for Selling [sic] us this property" was deceptive. In reality,
8		DNT paid consumers a de minimus amount. For example, DNT
9		paid one consumer \$25 in cash. In another instance, DNT offered
10		a consumer \$4,600, but paid the consumer only \$1,600.
11	e.	DNT's offer to "give [consumers] <u>2 (or more) Months Payment</u>
12		Division to give [consumers] $\dots \underline{Z}$ (or more) working rayment
13		FREE should you choose the LEASE OPTION AGREEMENT"
14		was deceptive. In reality, DNT usually found an excuse to renege
15		on all or part of its free-rent offer. Without limitation, DNT offered
16		one consumer \$2,000 and two months' free rent for his home;
17		DNT actually gave the consumer \$1,500 and one month's free
18		rent.
19	f.	DNT's statement that consumers would "[e]njoy a fresh new
20	1.	Divis statement that consumers would regine by a nesh new
21		monthly payment to HomeSavers for continued residency in this
22		property" was misleading. Because DNT often did not provide
23		consumers with their leases until some time after the consumers
24		signed warranty deeds, the consumers did not know what their
25		monthly payments for the term of the lease would be.
26		
27	g.	Even in cases in which DNT set consumers' monthly rental
28		payments at a lower amount than what their mortgage payments
		7

1		had been, DNT usually raised consumers' rent within a few
2		months so that the consumers' rent payments were equal to or
3		more than the consumers' mortgage payments had been. For
4		example, one consumer was in foreclosure because he could not
5		afford to pay \$380 a month on his mortgage. DNT charged the
6		
7		consumer \$300 a month for the first six months of his tenancy.
8		During the seventh month, DNT raised the consumer's rent to
9		\$700 a month.
10	h.	The rental payment DNT eventually charged almost always
11		ensured that consumers would not be able to pay the rent in a
12		timely manner just as they had not been able to pay their
13		mortgage payments in a timely manner.
14	i.	When consumers were unable to make their rental payments to
15	1.	
16 17		DNT on time, DNT imposed a 20% late fee on the monthly
17 18		payment. DNT's imposition of these fees ensured that consumers
18 19		would become further behind on their payments.
20	j.	At some point, consumers could no longer pay DNT what they
20 21		owed in rent and late fees. DNT then filed forcible entry and
21		detainer" actions (hereafter, "FEDs") against the consumers; the
23		FEDs usually resulted in consumers' evictions. ¹
24		
25		
26	¹ After evicting concu	imors from their homes. DNT often put these homes on the market as "No
27		imers from their homes, DNT often put these homes on the market as "No Own properties. Please see Paragraphs 17-19, below.
28		

1	k.	In some cases, DNT offered consumers who attempted to
2		exercise their option to purchase, but who failed to make the full
3		down payment, an extension of time to re-purchase their homes.
4		DNT's extensions were deceptive because if the consumers
5		·
6		agreed to a longer lease period, DNT required them to also sign
7		an agreement for a higher re-purchase price of the home. For
8		example, DNT offered numerous extensions to a couple, but
9		increased the couple's initial re-purchase price from \$89,000 to
10		\$165,000.
11	I.	DNT evicted many consumers to whom DNT had granted
12		extensions as the consumers could not afford the down payment
13		
14		and the new re-purchase price of the home.
15	m.	As an additional barrier to consumers who wanted to re-purchase
16		their homes, DNT set the terms of the re-purchase agreement to
17		make it virtually impossible for consumers to do so.
18		1) DNT required consumers to make a 10% down
19		payment.
20		2) DNT furnished financing under which consumers
21		had to purchase their homes over a period of seven
22		
23		years at a minimum interest rate of 10.5 to 11%,
24		ensuring that the payments consumers made were
25 26		interest-only payments except for a final balloon
26		payment.
27		
28		
		9

1	3) At the end of seven years, DNT required consumers
2	to pay off the financing with a balloon payment for
3	all or most of the principle and the remaining
4	interest.
5	n. Only two HomeSavers consumers have been able to re-purchase
6	their homes from DNT on their own. A third consumer hired legal
7	
8	counsel to facilitate the re-purchase of his home.
9 10	Rent-to-Own Transactions
10 11	16. DNT targeted consumers with credit problems by offering "NO
11 12	QUALIFYING" Rent-to-Own Transactions. DNT's offer was deceptive. Consumers
12	rarely were able to purchase the homes they were renting because of the many and
14	onerous qualifications they had to meet in order to purchase the homes.
15	17. In reality, DNT did not offer "No Qualifying" Rent-to Own Transactions.
16	To qualify to rent-to-own, consumers paid DNT several thousand dollars as a non-
17	refundable lease purchase deposit for the privilege to enter into an eighteen-month
18	lease with a purchase option. Consumers also had to make a down payment on the
19 20	house, often within six months of moving in.
20	18. In one case, a mother with seven children was to pay a \$21,885 down
21 22	payment (15%) toward the \$149,000 purchase price of the house by the end of her
22	18-month lease period. The consumer had to pay \$3,000 of the down payment within
23 24	
24	six months. She paid DNT \$2,005 of the \$3,000 at the beginning of the lease. After
26	five months, DNT informed the consumer that she would not only have to pay the
20	remaining \$995 down payment within a month, but an additional \$3,000 toward the
28	down payment. When the consumer failed to pay the balance of the \$6,000 portion of

her early dow	n pay	ment and fell behind on one month's rent, DNT filed an FED and
evicted her.		
19. [DNT's	"No Qualifying" Rent-To-Own program worked in the following
manner:		
	a.	DNT did not provide consumers with written lease terms until the
		consumers paid their lease purchase deposit.
	b.	DNT did not require verification of income or otherwise check
		consumer's creditworthiness to see whether consumers could
		afford to pay the lease purchase deposit, the monthly rent, or the
		down payment. DNT did not verify whether the consumer could
		afford the purchase price of the home which consumers were to
		purchase at the end of their 18-month leases.
	C.	During the rental period, DNT charged the maximum of 20% late
		fees and other fees if consumers were late in paying. In many
		cases, consumers could not afford to pay the amount due on
		time, and DNT filed FEDs against the consumers. Most FEDs
		resulted in the consumers' eviction.
	d.	In instances in which consumers were able to make the
		necessary down payments and stay in the homes, DNT set up
		further hurdles for consumers to overcome:
		1) DNT often required consumers to contact only the
		lenders DNT had "approved" to obtain conventional
		financing to purchase the homes.
		11
	evicted her. 19. manner:	evicted her. 19. DNT's manner: a. b.

1	2) If consumers did not qualify for conventional
2	financing, DNT offered to finance the purchase for
3	"selected" consumers, requiring them to close with
4	Barbi Stewart of Fidelity National Title.
5	3) DNT usually offered financing for these consumers
6 7	at 10.5% to 11% for the seven years, ensuring that
8	
9	all but the last balloon payment, consumers'
10	payments were interest-only payments.
11	4) At the end of seven years, consumers owed DNT a
12	balloon payment for all or most of the principal and
13	the remaining interest.
14	5) If consumers fell behind on their payments at any
15	point during this process, DNT took action to
16	reacquire the home.
17	e. From October, 2004 through November, 2005, only 3 of 74
18	consumers in DNT's "No Qualifying" Rent-to-Own Transactions
19	were able to purchase their rentals from DNT.
20	
21	VIOLATIONS OF THE CONSUMER FRAUD ACT
22	20. A.R.S. § 44-1522 (A) of the Consumer Fraud Act, states the following:
23	The act, use, or employment by any person of any deception, deceptive act or practice, fraud, false pretense, false promise,
24	misrepresentation, or concealment, suppression or omission of any material fact with intent that others rely upon such
25 25	concealment, suppression or omission, in connection with the
26	sale or advertisement of any merchandise whether or not any person has in fact been misled, deceived, or damaged thereby, is
27	declared to be an unlawful practice.
28	

1	21. In al	I matters alleged in Paragraphs Nos. 7 through 19 above, DNT acted	
2	willfully in violation of A.R.S. § 44-1531(A).		
3	RELIEF REQUESTED		
4	WHEREFORE, the State respectfully requests that the Court:		
5	1. Proh	ibit DNT from violating the Consumer Fraud Act, A.R.S. § 44-1521 et	
6 7	seq.		
8		bibit DNT from engaging in the course of conduct alleged herein as a	
9	violation of A.R.S.		
10			
11	3. Proh	ibit DNT from offering foreclosure assistance and similar services.	
12	4. Proh	nibit DNT from engaging in Rent-to-Own Transactions with	
13	consumers.		
14	5. Orde	er DNT to restore to all persons any money or property, real or	
15	personal, that was acquired by any means or practice alleged herein to be in violation		
16	of A.R.S. § 44-1522(A) as deemed proper by the Court pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1528.		
17	6. Orde	er DNT to pay the State of Arizona a civil penalty of up to \$10,000 for	
18	each violation of the Consumer Fraud Act pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1531.		
19 20	7. Orde	er DNT to reimburse the Attorney General for costs of investigation	
20 21	and reasonable attorneys' fees pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1534.		
21	8. Orde	er such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and	
23	proper.		
24	DATED thisday of December, 2006.		
25	TERRY GODDARD		
26		Attorney General	
27	BY:		
28		Noreen R. Matts	
-	987613	Assistant Attorney General	
		13	