See eSignature page*** C. Fitch, Deputy 8/26/2015 8:00:00 AM Filing ID 6820937 1 MARK BRNOVICH ATTORNEY GENERAL 2 (Firm Bar No. 14000) **JORDAN CHRISTENSEN** (Bar No. 029077) 3 ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL 1275 West Washington Street 4 Phoenix, Arizona 85007-2997 5 Telephone: (602) 542-8327 Facsimile: (602) 542-4377 6 consumer@azag.gov Attorneys for State of Arizona 7 8 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA 9 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA 10 STATE OF ARIZONA, ex rel. MARK 11 BRNOVICH, Attorney General, Case No.: CV2015-010079 12 Plaintiff. STIPULATED CONSENT JUDGMENT 13 VS. 14 Assigned to the Hon. Dawn Bergin 15 PANIOLO BUILDERS, LLC d/b/a Discover Energy Solutions, an Arizona limited liability 16 company; GO GREEN TODAY, LLC, an Arizona limited liability company, 17 18 Defendants 19 Plaintiff, State of Arizona, ex rel. Mark Brnovich, Attorney General, filed a 20 complaint alleging violations of the Arizona Consumer Fraud Act, A.R.S. § 44-1521 et 21 seg., and Defendants Paniolo Builders, LLC and Go Green Today, LLC(collectively 22 "Defendants") waived service of the Summons and Complaint. After being fully 23 advised of the right to a trial in this matter, each Defendant waived its right to trial and 24 admitted that this Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter and the parties for the 25 purposes of entry and enforcement of this Consent Judgment. The State and Defendants 26

Michael K Jeanes, Clerk of Court
*** Electronically Filed ***

Granted as Submitted

have agreed on a basis for the settlement of these matters in dispute. Defendants stipulate that the Court may enter the following Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Judgment.

PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff is the State of Arizona, *ex rel*. Mark Brnovich, the Attorney General ("the State"), who is authorized to bring this action under the Arizona Consumer Fraud Act, A.R.S. § 44-1521 *et seq*.
- 2. Defendant Paniolo Builders, LLC ("Paniolo") is an Arizona Limited Liability Company doing business in Maricopa County, Arizona at 2400 N. Central Ave. # 110, Phoenix, Arizona. Defendant Paniolo does business as Discover Energy Solutions ("Discover"). Paniolo has sold photovoltaic ("PV" or "solar") energy systems, solar water heaters, and energy saving components in Arizona since 2011.
- 3. When reference is made to Defendant Paniolo, it refers to the above named corporate Defendant, and to the actions of its managers, employees, agents and independent contractors.
- 4. Defendant Go Green Today, LLC ("Go Green") is an Arizona Limited Liability Company doing business in Maricopa County, Arizona at 398 S. Mill Ave Suite 202 in Tempe, Arizona. Defendant Go Green purchased Defendant Paniolo in 2011 and has remained the sole member of Paniolo since. After its acquisition of Paniolo, Go Green managed, directed, and controlled Paniolo's sales activities and conducted marketing and telemarketing efforts on behalf of Paniolo.
- 5. When reference is made to Go Green, it refers to the above named corporate Defendant, and to the actions of its managers, employees, agents, and independent contractors.

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. Defendant Paniolo was formed in 2002 and obtained a KB-2 Dual Residence and Small Commercial contractor's license from the Arizona Registrar of Contractors ("AZROC").
- 2. Defendant Go Green was formed in 2010 to market and sell PV energy systems, solar water heaters, and/or energy saving components.
- 3. In October of 2011, Defendant Go Green purchased Defendant Paniolo with the intention of using Paniolo's AZROC license to perform solar-related contracting.
- 4. Defendant Paniolo operated under the sole direction and control of Defendant Go Green. Go Green operated under sole direction and control of its members.
- 5. Defendant Go Green created a website, <u>discoverenergysolutions.com</u>, to market Paniolo's solar products.
- 6. Defendant Go Green established a call center, and hired telephone solicitors, as defined in A.R.S § 44-1271, to market Paniolo's solar products and services by initiating outbound telemarketing calls. In doing so, Defendant Go Green became a seller as defined in A.R.S § 44-1271.
- 7. Due to representations by a third-party leads vendor, Defendant Go Green believed it was not required to register with or attain access to the National Do Not Call Registry ("DNC Registry") of telephone numbers maintained by the Federal Trade Commission pursuant to 16 C.F.R § 310.4(b)(1)(iii)(B). As a result of the third-party lead sellers' representations, Defendant Go Green failed to register with or attain access to the DNC Registry.
- 8. While telemarketing its program, acting directly or through one or more intermediaries, Defendant Go Green initiated numerous telephone solicitations to

telephone numbers belonging to Arizona consumers that were registered on the DNC Registry and had been on the Registry for at least 30 days at the time Go Green initiated the call.

- 9. Third-party lead sellers represented to Go Green that its telemarketing leads were "opt-in" telephone leads, *i.e.*, marketing leads derived from sources where consumers purportedly agreed to be contacted telephonically by the source owner and its partners, even if the numbers had been previously registered on the DNC Registry. If the numbers were valid "opt-in" telephone leads, Go Green would have been exempt from having to register with, or attain access to, the DNC Registry pursuant to 16 CFR § 310.4(b)(1)(iii)(B)(i) and (ii).
- 10. Due to the representations that such leads were "opt-in" telephone leads exempt from the Federal Trade Commission's Telemarketing Sales Rule, Go Green failed to identify and remove all telephone numbers on the DNC Registry from its lead lists and/or automatic dialing system. Due to the third-party seller's representations, Defendant Go Green mistakenly believed that it was exempt from having to identify and remove all telephone numbers on the DNC Registry from its lead lists and/or automatic dialing system.
- 11. The State received several consumer complaints from Arizona consumers that alleged Going Green's telephone solicitors called them despite their being on the DNC Registry.
- 12. Go Green, acting directly or through one or more intermediaries, initiated some telemarketing calls that failed to truthfully, promptly, and in a clear and conspicuous manner disclose to the person receiving the call the identity of the caller and the purpose of the call.
- 13. Go Green's telephone solicitors stated that they were calling from the "Go Green Campaign" or "Go Green Initiative," failing to identify themselves as calling on

behalf of Paniolo, and failing to disclose the true nature of the goods/services being offered.

- 14. On at least one occasion, a Go Green telephone solicitor implied that the Go Green program was sponsored by, affiliated with, or operated in conjunction with, the federal government and local utility companies when no such sponsorship or affiliation existed.
- 15. Go Green's telephone solicitors arranged in-home sales presentation appointments between consumers and Paniolo sales representatives.
 - 16. Paniolo's solar division does business as Discover Energy Solutions.
 - 17. Paniolo calls its sales representatives "Energy Experts."
- 18. Paniolo's Energy Experts did not undergo any outside formal training or obtained any professional certification besides the sales training conducted by Paniolo.
- 19. Paniolo's Energy Experts conducted a PowerPoint presentation illustrating the benefits of obtaining Paniolo's solar products and services.
- 20. Paniolo's PowerPoint presentation inferred that consumers' household energy costs would increase unless they obtained Paniolo's solar products.
- 21. Paniolo's Energy Experts made numerous potentially misleading or deceptive statements or false promises to consumers regarding the potential energy cost savings that can be attained through Defendants' program.
- 22. Paniolo's Energy Experts used Paniolo's purchase order worksheet to illustrate the cost break-down of Paniolo's solar products and services after applying tax credits and utility incentives. Paniolo's Energy Experts may have overestimated the utility savings consumers could expect to receive. As a result, some consumers may have relied on incorrect utility savings estimates in making their decision to purchase Paniolo's products, only to later discover that their utility savings are less than the amount promised on the purchase order.

- 23. Paniolo's Energy Experts, in some instances, used a "5 Year Savings Plan" worksheet to illustrate the net monthly savings consumers would expect to achieve through their purchase of Paniolo's solar products. In some instances, this worksheet projected utility bill increases at a 10% average yearly rate, which lead consumers to believe that utility bill rate hikes would be so significant as to make their solar products increasingly profitable. Such illustrations falsely represented that consumers could expect to accumulatively save more than the cost of the system when total electricity cost savings were combined with available tax credits and utility rebates.
- 24. Paniolo's Energy Experts, in some instances, overstated the economic value or projected energy savings associated with energy saving components such as radiant barrier and Aeroseal duct sealing.
- 25. In reliance upon the statements and promises made by Paniolo's Energy Experts, Arizona consumers entered into costly long-term financing contracts for Paniolo's products.
- 26. Under several such contracts, consumers have failed to realize the energy cost savings represented and promised to them. Alternatively, such consumers experienced higher overall energy costs, when including the PV system finance payment, after installing the PV system than before.
- 27. Paniolo sometimes installed solar water heaters within one business day of the contract being signed. Due to the product being installed within one business day of the contract being signed, some consumer believed they were not given the opportunity to exercise their right to cancel such a home solicitation contract within three business days, in violation of A.R.S § 44-5002.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 28. The acts of Defendants, including, without limitation, those set forth in the Findings of Fact paragraphs 1 through 27 above, constitute deceptive or unfair acts or practices, fraud, false pretenses, false promises, misrepresentations or the concealment, omission, and suppression of material facts in violation of A.R.S § 44-1522, et seq.
- 29. Defendants were, at all times, acting willfully as defined by A.R.S. § 44-1522(B) while engaging in the acts and practices alleged herein.
- 30. The acts of Defendants, including, without limitation, those set forth in the Findings of Fact paragraphs 1 through 27 above, constitute violations of the Arizona Home Solicitations and Referral Sales Statutes, A.R.S § 44-5001 *et seq*.

ORDER

- 1. Defendants and their officers, agents, servants, and employees, successors, assigns, and upon those persons in active concert or participation with them, directly or indirectly, in connection with the advertisement, sale, or brokerage of any merchandise are permanently enjoined from:
- A. Engaging in any and all deceptive or unfair acts or practices, fraud, false pretense, false promises, misrepresentations, and/or concealment, suppression or omission of material fact in violation of the Arizona Consumer Fraud Act, A.R.S. § 44-1522, *et seq*, as it is currently written, or as it may be amended in the future;
- B. Engaging in any and all conduct in violation of the Arizona Telephone Solicitations statute, A.R.S. § 44-1271, *et seq.*, as it is written and as it may be amended in the future.

9

12

11

14

13

15 16

17

18

19

21

22

20

23

24

- C. Initiating an outbound telephone solicitation or appointment setting call to any person on the Federal Trade Commission's National Do Not Call registry unless:
- i. Defendants have obtained express agreement, in writing, of such person to place such calls to him or her, or
- ii. Defendants have an established business relationship with such person and such person has not previously stated that he or she does not wish to receive such calls;
- D. Initiating any outbound telephone solicitation or appointment setting call to a person or when that party has previously stated that he or she does not wish to receive such telephone solicitations from, or on behalf of, Defendants, even if such person is not on the National Do Not Call Registry;
- E. Abandoning any outbound telephone solicitation or appointment setting call to a person by failing to connect the call to a live operator within two (2) seconds of the person's completed greeting unless:
- i. Defendants employ technology that ensures abandonment of no more than three percent (3%) of all calls answered by a person, measured over the duration of a single calling campaign or thirty (30) days, whichever occurs first:
- ii. Defendants allow each telephone solicitation call to ring for at least fifteen (15) seconds or four (4) rings before disconnecting an unanswered call; and
- iii. Defendants promptly play a recorded message that states Defendants' name and telephone number when a live operator is not available to speak with the answering person within two (2) seconds of the completed greeting;
- F. Relying on the representations of third-party sellers and failing to obtain other verification that each telephone number called is not on the National Do Not Call Registry before initiating each telephone solicitation;

- G. Failing to disclose truthfully, promptly, and in a clear and conspicuous manner the Defendants' true identity, that the purpose of each outbound telephone call is to sell or set appointments to sell solar products and related services, and the nature of the goods or services;
- H. Initiating any outbound telephone solicitation or appointment setting call in which Defendants fail to transmit or cause to be transmitted to any Caller Identification Service in use by the recipient of the call Defendants' name and customer service telephone number;
- I. Representing to consumers that they have paid into government programs that have allocated funds, to them specifically, to spend on their home, cut energy usage, save on utility bills, and/or increase the value of their home.
- J. Representing to consumers that purchasing a PV system will unequivocally lower their monthly electric budgets;
- K. Representing to consumers, over the telephone or in person, that utility companies obtain an 10% yearly rate increase, or any other percentage yearly rate increase, unless the percentage yearly rate increase is calculated as a representative percentage rate increase average of the past five, ten, or twenty years of official rates published by the Arizona Corporation Commission or the United States Energy Information Administration;
- L. Representing that Aeroseal duct sealing, radiant barrier, or any other energy saving product or service will reduce energy usage by a specific percentage unless that percentage can be quantified and substantiated;
- M. Failing to adequately explain all possible monetary obligations resulting from the purchase contract including, but not limited to, the existence of monthly payments in addition to electricity bills, financing payments, early termination penalties, and resale implications, if any;

- N. Making claims to consumers as to the future projected cost of their average monthly utility bill if they do not purchase a solar product, unless such projections are based upon actual historical average electricity costs for the last ten (10) years for the locality or projected electricity costs for the locality as published by the United States Energy Information Administration;
- O. Using any worksheets or illustrative materials to calculate projected monthly energy savings without being able to substantiate the numbers underlying such projected savings;
- P. Representing to consumers, verbally or in writing, a projected payback period for a PV system without being able to substantiate such a projection;
- Q. Providing consumers an estimate of the increase in the value of their home through the addition of a PV system without being able to substantiate such an estimate; and
- R. Failing to allow consumers to cancel their contracts within three business days of signing pursuant to A.R.S § 44-5002.
- 2. If Defendants purchase appointment leads from lead generators, Defendants shall regularly determine and review the methods used by such lead generators to obtain the leads sold to Defendants and, if the Defendants reasonably determine such leads were obtained by means that do not comply with this Consent Judgment, Defendant shall immediately cease purchasing such leads from such lead generator.
- 3. Defendants shall submit a quarterly report to the State containing a list of all telephone numbers from which Defendants initiated outbound telemarketing calls in the previous quarter. The first such quarterly report shall be submitted to the Arizona Attorney General on October 1, 2015, with each report thereafter due on the first day of the month on each successive third month thereafter (e.g January 2016, April 2016, July

2016, October 2016, etc.); provided, however, the Defendants shall only be required to submit such quarterly reports if Defendants are actively engaged in telemarketing activities during the preceding three month period.

- 4. Defendants shall, jointly and severally, pay to the Arizona Attorney General the amount of One Hundred Thousand dollars (\$100,000) in civil penalties, due at the time of entry of this Consent Judgment, with interest thereon at five percent (5%) per annum from the date of entry until paid, to be deposited into the Consumer Protection – Consumer Fraud Revolving Fund pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1531.01 and used for the purposes set forth therein. Seventy Five Thousand dollars (\$75,000) of such civil penalties shall be suspended contingent upon Defendants' full compliance with all injunctive provisions in paragraph 1 above and timely payment of restitution, civil penalties, and attorneys' fees and costs. If this Court later determines that Defendants have violated the injunctive provisions in paragraph 1 above or defaulted on their payment obligations, Defendants shall pay the suspended civil penalty, in full, within ten (10) business days of entry of the Court's order, with interest thereon at ten percent (10%) per annum from the date of entry of the Court's order until paid. In the event of such a determination, Defendants obligation to pay the suspended civil penalties shall be in addition to any other monetary or other sanctions which may be imposed for any such violations of this Consent Judgment.
- 5. Defendants shall, jointly and severally, pay to the Arizona Attorney General the amount of Fifteen Thousand (\$15,000) in attorneys' fees, expert costs, and investigative costs, due at the time of entry of this Consent Judgment, with interest thereon at five percent (5%) per annum from the date of entry of this Consent Judgment until paid, to be deposited into the Consumer Protection Consumer Fraud Revolving Fund pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1531.01 and used for the purposes set forth therein.

6. Defendants shall, jointly and severally, pay to the Arizona Attorney General the amount of Twenty Five Thousand dollars (\$25,000) for consumer restitution, with interest thereon at five percent (5%) per annum from the date of entry of this Consent Judgment until paid. Additionally, Defendants shall, jointly and severally, pay to the Arizona Attorney General additional restitution for each consumer complaint, based upon the allegations herein, received by the Attorney General from the date this Consent Judgment is filed until December 31, 2015. The amount for additional restitution payments will be determined upon a mutual review, between the State and Defendants, of each eligible consumer's complaint. These additional restitution payments are due by January 31, 2016, with interest thereon accruing at five percent (5%) per annum from January 1, 2016 until paid. All restitution payments ordered herein shall be deposited by the Attorney General into the consumer restitution subaccount of the interest-bearing consumer restitution and remediation revolving fund pursuant to A.R.S. § 44-1531.02 (B) and distributed to eligible consumers by the Attorney General's Office. For purposes of this Stipulated Consent Judgment, "eligible consumers" shall include all consumers who (i) file a complaint with the Arizona Attorney General's Office before December 31, 2015, (ii) whose complaint arose as a result of the consumer purchasing a PV system or other energy saving components from Defendants, and (iii) whose complaint has been reasonably substantiated by the Attorney General after providing Defendants an opportunity to respond to the complaints. Such additional restitution payments shall not exceed Thirty Thousand Dollars (\$30,000). In the event the amount ordered as restitution herein is not sufficient to fully restore eligible consumers the amounts they paid Defendants, the amount shall be distributed to them on a pro rata basis. In the event that any portion of the restitution ordered herein cannot be distributed to an eligible consumer, or exceeds the amount of restitution due him or her, such portion shall be deposited by the Attorney General's

Office into the Consumer Protection – Consumer Fraud Revolving Fund in accordance with A.R.S. § 44-1531.01 and used for the purposes specified therein.

- 7. Defendants shall issue a cashier's check, made payable to the Office of the Arizona Attorney General, for the civil penalty, attorney's fees and investigative costs, and restitution ordered payable upon entry of the Consent Judgment immediately upon signature of this Consent Judgment. The State shall not negotiate such payment, until this Consent Judgment is entered by the court.
- 8. If Defendants fail to fulfill any payment obligation in this Consent Judgment within fifteen (15) calendar days of the date due, Defendants shall be deemed in default. In the event of a default, and in addition to any other relief or remedy elected or pursued by the State, all payments set forth herein shall be accelerated and shall become due and owing in their entirety as of the date of the default, including interest and any suspended civil penalties.
- 9. The effective date of this Consent Judgment is the date it is entered by the Court.
- 10. This Court retains jurisdiction of this matter for the purpose of entertaining an application by the State for the enforcement of this Consent Judgment.
- 11. This Consent Judgment does not in any way limit the right of the Attorney General to: (a) bring a legal action against Defendants for any future acts which violate the Consumer Fraud Act, whether or not such acts are in violation of this Consent Judgment; or (b) enforce this Consent Judgment.
- 12. Defendants shall not represent or imply that the Attorney General, the State, or any agency thereof, has approved any of Defendants' past actions or has approved any of Defendants' present or future actions or practices. Defendants are enjoined from representing anything to the contrary.

- 13. This Consent Judgment is entered as the result of a compromise and a settlement agreement to avoid unnecessary litigation and resolve this matter fully between the parties. Only the parties to this action may seek enforcement of this Consent Judgment. Nothing herein is intended to create a private right of action by other parties.
- 14. This Consent Judgment shall not limit the rights of any private party to pursue any remedies allowed by law.
- 15. Defendants shall not participate directly or indirectly in any activity to form a separate entity or corporation for the purpose of engaging in acts prohibited in this Consent Judgment or for any other purpose which would otherwise circumvent any part of this Consent Judgment or the spirit or purposes of this Consent Judgment.
- 16. No waiver, modification, or amendment of the terms of this Consent Judgment shall be valid or binding unless made in writing, signed by both parties, approved by the Court as necessary, and then only to the extent specifically set forth in such written waiver, modification, or amendment.
- 17. If any clause, provision, or section of this Consent Judgment shall, for any reason, be held illegal, invalid, or unenforceable, such illegality, invalidity, or unenforceability shall not affect any other clause, provision, or section of this Consent Judgment, and this Consent Judgment shall be construed and enforced as if such illegal, invalid, or unenforceable clause, section, or other provision had not been contained herein.
- 18. This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts, and a facsimile or .pdf signature shall be deemed to be, and shall have the same force and effect as, an original signature.

1	19. This Consent Judgment resolves all outstanding claims. Because no
2	further matters remain pending, this is a final judgment entered pursuant to Ariz. R.
3	Civ. P. 54 (c).
4	
5	
6	DATED this day of, 2015.
7	
8	
9	
10	Judge of the Superior Court
11	
12	
13	CONSENT TO JUDGMENT
14	1. Defendants state that no promise of any kind or nature whatsoever was
15	made to them to induce them to enter into this Consent Judgment and have entered into
16	the Consent Judgment voluntarily.
17	2. Defendants, or their authorized representative, have fully read and
10	understand this Consent Judgment understand the legal consequences involved in

read and Consent Judgment, understand the legal consequences involved in signing it, assert that this is the entire agreement of the parties, and that there are no other representations or agreements not stated in writing herein, and no force, threats, or coercion of any kind have been used to obtain its signature.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

3. Defendants understand that acceptance of this Consent Judgment is solely for the purpose of settling this litigation and does not preclude the Plaintiff, or any other agency or officer of this State, or subdivision thereof, or other third party from instituting other civil or criminal proceedings as may be appropriate for any acts unrelated to this litigation or committed after the entry of this Consent Judgment.

eSignature Page 1 of 1

Filing ID: 6820937 Case Number: CV2015-010079 Original Filing ID: 6804878

Granted as Submitted



ENDORSEMENT PAGE

SIGNATURE DATE: 8/25/2015

FILED DATE: 8/26/2015 8:00:00 AM

CASE NUMBER: CV2015-010079

E-FILING ID #: 6820937

JORDAN JOHN CHRISTENSEN

GO GREEN TODAY L L C NO ADDRESS ON RECORD

PANIOLO BUILDERS L L C NO ADDRESS ON RECORD